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ABSTRACT

A surging demand for the development of new antimicrobial nanomaterials exists due to the frequency of medical device-associated infections and the transfer of pathogens from highly touched objects. Naturally occurring halloysite clay nanotubes (HNTs) have shown to be ideal particles for polymer reinforcement, time-release drug delivery, nano-reactor synthesis, and as substrate material for nanostructured coatings.

This research demonstrates the feasibility of a novel method for coating HNTs with metals for antibacterial applications. The first ever ability to coat HNTs through electrolysis was developed for customizable and multi-functional antibacterial nanoparticle platforms. HNTs were investigated as substrate for the deposition of copper (Cu) and silver (Ag) metal nanoparticles through electrochemical syntheses, and as a platform for nano-structured antibacterial polymer composites. Characterization of interfacial and material properties demonstrated the feasibility of electrolysis as a new efficient and replicable nano-scale surface modification route. Methods of encapsulating HNTs in nanofibers, three-dimensional printer filaments, and multifunctional polymer rubbers were also realized. The nanofabrication methods, nanoparticles, and polymer composites created in this work were novel, scalable, easy-to-replicate, and displayed antibacterial features with tunable properties.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Goal

The objective of this research was to develop novel hybrid nanoparticle composites and surface modification methods with a unified goal of advancing antibacterial surfaces by using HNTs as a platform. HNTs exist naturally around the world and can be mined from various mineral deposits, making it a very accessible nanomaterial (Lvov et al., 2008). HNT-supported metal nanoparticles and HNT-polymer composites were invented and characterized by various material and surface analyses, and cell culture assays. Invented HNT-composite rubbers, nanofibers, and three dimensional (3D) printing substrates were explored as antibacterial nanostructured surfaces. The invented nanoparticles and composite combinations were used to measure the effects against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The novel nanoparticles and nanocoatings were created to serve as multifunctional platforms for a range of antibacterial and biomedical applications.

1.2 Rationale

Nanotechnology concepts and nanoparticles are rapidly being integrated into consumer, medical, and industrial products to more precisely reduce biofilms and the spread of pathogens. HNTs have been shown to be an ideal component for fabricating
high performance polymer nanocomposites, and the clay nanotubes are currently economically viable and accessible (Abdllayev and Lvov, 2013; Guo et al., 2009; Lvov et al., 2008). Previous studies have shown HNTs to have a large surface area and can be loaded and coated with a variety of materials, such as drugs, polymers, and biomacromolecules for sustained and extended releases (Abdllayev and Lvov, 2013). The cytocompatibility properties of HNTs make them an ideal nanomaterial for new drug delivery systems (Mills, 2014; Vergaro et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2010).

Fabricating uniform nanomaterials in high yield is a major goal in nanotechnology. The feasibility and realization of new high-throughput antibacterial nanomaterials and coatings depend on the antibacterial response and whether the nanofabrication methods are cost effective. Metals have been used as antimicrobials throughout history and are still effective against bacteria today (Abdullayev et al., 2011; Yapijakis, 2009). Advancements in metallic nanoparticle synthesis have brought about a new age of antimicrobial technologies. Currently, there is nothing known about the electrolysis of metals in the presence of HNTs. The proposed electrochemical nano-assembly process acts as a new route for the deposition of metal nanoparticles on HNTs with antibacterial features. Existing HNT metallization fabrication methods rely on multi-step processes that include metal-salts or organic compounds, reducing agents, and high temperatures to achieve surface modifications (Abdullayev et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Liu and Zhao, 2009; Rawtani and Agrawl, 2012; Tang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhen et al., 2011). Investigating the nanoparticle composites as antibacterial agents and in combination with commonly used medical polymers is an adequate response to the challenges of our time.
1.3 Significance

The realization of scalable and cost-effective antibacterial nanocoating fabrication techniques may provide civilization with a new arsenal of antibacterial materials. The hybrid nanoparticle fabrication techniques created in this research and the invented HNT-polymer biomaterials provide a foundation for future nanotechnology researchers to explore.

A surging demand for the development of new antimicrobial materials exists across various industries. The frequency of medical device-associated infections, the spread of pathogens through highly touched objects, and the new era of resistant bacteria are examples of current challenges in healthcare.

Pathogens can survive for months on dry surfaces and spread from many frequently touched surfaces (Kramer et al., 2006). The spread of infectious diseases from highly touched objects remains a growing concern, and the number of medical device infections continues to be grand challenges in healthcare. In industrial environments, biofilms are a serious challenge to human health, quality control, and industrial productivity. Biofilms remain a constant and expensive problem for numerous industries and can affect water quality, contaminate products, enhance corrosion, and damage equipment over time (Parsek and Singh, 2003). Biofilms created by defective surfaces have been linked as the causative agent in a host of microbial infections (Breyers, 2008).

1.4 Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that electrolysis can be used to fabricate HNT-supported metal nanoparticles with predictable antibacterial features. It is hypothesized that as the concentration of Ag-HNT and Cu-HNT are increased in a fluid system, antibacterial
mechanisms occur and the concentration of bacteria is decreased. In addition, the multifunctional hybrid inorganic HNTs can be used to produce enhanced nanostructured polymer coatings for antibacterial and biomedical applications.
CHAPTER 2

BACKGROUND

2.1 Halloysite Nanotubes

HNTs exist naturally around the world and can be mined from various mineral deposits, making them a very accessible and cost effective nanomaterial (Lvov et al., 2008). The clay nanotubes exist as a two-layered aluminosilicate with tubular features and are chemically identical to kaolin clay. HNTs typically display an inner diameter between 15–50 nanometers (nm.), and a length between 100–2000 nanometers. HNTs present a large surface area and can be loaded and coated with a variety of materials, such as drugs and biomacromolecules (Abdllayev and Lvov, 2013). A wide range of active agents, including antibiotics, cancer drugs, marine biocides, and biological molecules can be entrapped within the inner lumen, as well as within void spaces within the aluminosilicate mineral shells (Lvov et al., 2016). HNTs have been shown to be non-cytotoxic on a variety of cell types including; chondrocytes, dermal fibroblasts, osteoblasts, and stem cells (Zhou et al., 2010). Examination of HNTs with in-vitro assays showed that cells proliferated and maintained their cellular phenotype (Vergaro et al., 2010). A recently completed biocompatibility study in a rat dermal model showed that HNTs do not provoke a cytotoxic response or a host immune response (Mills, 2014). As HNTs exhibit high levels of cytocompatibility, they represent ideal candidates for new
drug delivery, polymer additives, and as templates in nanotechnology (Abdullayev et al., 2013).

2.1.1 **Routes to a Metallized HNT**

A number of methods exist for depositing metal nanoparticles on the outer surfaces and inner lumens of HNTs with each using a specific metal compound or salt with multi-step chemical reactions and high temperature calcination. Many HNT metallization efforts use HNTs as a metal catalyst support and for sensing systems. The advantages of using HNTs as a metal support system include nanometer scale tubular morphology, high surface area, availability, and low cost.

For example, palladium nanoparticle deposition was achieved through multi-step reactions with sodium tetrachloride palladate (Na₂PdCl₄), methanol, and poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) followed by heating (Zhang et al., 2013). HNT-supported cobalt was achieved through calcination of HNTs and cobalt nitrite under temperatures as high as 349 °C (Chen et al., 2012). Deposition of Ag onto nanotubes has included in situ reduction of Ag-nitrite through the polyol process (Liu and Zhao, 2009). Similarly, HNT-supported gold was explored through reacting gold-chloride and ammonia solutions followed by heating to 300 °C (Zhen et al., 2011). Additionally, iron and nickel can be deposited on HNTs through mixing metal-compounds and calcination with high temperatures (Tang et al., 2013).

Biomedical applications of Ag-loaded HNTs have included DNA sensors (Rawtani et al., 2013). The Ag nanoparticles on the HNTs were created by reducing Ag-nitrate in solution. The Ag-HNT particles were able to interact with single and double-stranded oligonucleotides. Ag nanorod synthesis in the inner lumen of HNTs was
achieved by vacuum loading Ag-acetate followed by high temperature calcination (Abdullayev et al., 2011). The study validated the nanoparticles as antibacterial additives for commercial paints. The paint composites were shown to be effective against bacteria and the HNTs strengthened the material properties. Overall, metal-HNTs have demonstrated multifunctional properties and are viewed to be an important material for future technologies.

2.2 Antibacterial Metal Surfaces and Particles

Metals have been used as antimicrobials since the time of Hippocrates (Yapijakis, 2009) and continue to show effectiveness today (Lemire et al., 2013). During the first part of the 20th century until the introduction of synthetic antibiotics, electric colloids of Ag were commonly used for antimicrobial therapy (Alexander, 2009). Ag and Cu particle technologies are commonly seen in health related applications, from wound dressings to disinfecting sprays (Piozzi, 2015). Metal nanoparticles, such as Cu and Ag, have shown to be extremely toxic to bacteria at very low concentrations, and it is theorized that transition metals disrupt respiration and electron transport systems upon absorption into bacterial cells (Lemire et al., 2013). Transition metals have been incorporated into inert polymer materials for the prevention of infections. Recent trends in industry show an increase in use of Ag as antimicrobial agents for textiles. Many methods have produced platforms for high performance textiles, antimicrobial polymers and washes (Windler et al., 2013).

The recent developments in metal nanoparticle synthesis and characterizations have led to various new areas of science and technology (Anselmann, 2001; Mazzola, 2003). Metal nanoparticle synthesis approaches include laser ablation (Lee et al., 2001),
gamma irradiation (Long et al., 2007), electron irradiation (Bogle et al., 2006), various chemical reductions (Bonnemann and Richards, 2001), photochemical methods (Mallick et al., 2004), microwave processing (Yin et al., 2004), thermal decompositions (Navaladian et al., 2007), and electrochemical synthesis techniques (Reetz and Helbig, 1994).

Cu nanoparticles are redox-active essential metals that can be easily oxidized during interaction with bacteria cell membranes. Cu nanoparticles can act as catalytic cofactors generating or catalyzing reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Shleeva et al., 2005). Cu-induced toxicity is theorized to occur by several mechanisms, which include the formation of ROS by free Cu ions, where cupric and cuprous ions can participate in redox reactions (Gaetke and Chow, 2003). Studies show that Cu^{2+} can be reduced to Cu^{+} in the presence of reducing agents, catalyzing the formation of hydroxyl radicals from hydrogen peroxide (Bremner, 1988). The extremely reactive hydroxyl radicals further react and can be detrimental to cellular molecules and processes, such as protein oxidation.

Ag nanoparticles anchor to the bacterial cell wall, penetrate, and cause structural damage that eventually leads to cell death (Gianluigi et al., 2015; Prabhu and Poulose, 2012; Franci et al., 2015). As seen with most metals, the main toxic mechanism of Ag nanoparticles is the release of Ag ions (Feng et al., 2008). It is suggested that Ag nanoparticles may also generate free radicals in the presence of bacteria, when examined under electron spin resonance spectroscopy (Danilcauk et al., 2009). The free radicals make the cell membrane porous and lead to cell death (Kim et al., 2007). In a recent study, Ag nanoparticles increased membrane permeability of Gram-negative bacteria, and also restored antibiotic susceptibility to a resistant strain. Within in-vitro and in-vivo
models of urinary tract infection, Ag induces oxidative stress and potentiates antibiotic activity (Ramirez et al., 2013). The research by Ramirez’s group showed that Ag could be used to enhance existing antibiotics, thus strengthening the antibacterial arsenal for infectious diseases.

2.3 Bacterial Biofilms

A biofilm is a multicellular community of microbes that forms on a solid surface or at a liquid-air interface (Stoodley et al., 2002). In a biofilm, microbes are densely packed within a self-assembled extracellular matrix that provides protection for resident bacteria from various environmental agents (Bryers, 2008; Niklas et al., 2003; Stewart and Costerton, 2001). Biofilm formation occurs in several stages, which include attachment, development, maturation, and disassembly (Vlamakis et al., 2013). Biofilms remain a constant and expensive problem for healthcare and industry. Biofilms can affect water quality, contaminate products, enhance corrosion, and damage equipment over time (Parsek and Singh, 2003). In industrial environments, biofilms are a serious challenge to human health, quality control, and industrial productivity. Pathogens can spread from many frequently touched surfaces (Kramer et al., 2006). Biofilms created by defective surfaces have been linked as the causative agent in a multitude of microbial infections (Breyers, 2008).

Hospital acquired infections (HAI) are commonly associated with implantable medical devices or surgical procedures. In intensive care units (ICUs), Gram-negative bacteria account for about 70% of hospital-acquired infections (Gaynes et al., 2005). The most common biomaterial-associated HAI is catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) and infections are predominately caused by Gram-negative bacteria (Behzadi et
Surface adsorption of serum proteins initiates bacterial adhesion and proliferation on the implant surface leading to biofilm formation on devices.

A number of attempts were made to prevent biofilm formation on surfaces, including antimicrobial anti-fouling coatings on titanium implants and other medical devices (Zhao et al., 2009). Various biomaterials have been developed as drug-releasing device coatings and include polyurethanes, poly(vinyl alcohol), poly(acrylic acid), polyamide, poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), polylactides, polyanhydrides, and poly(dimethylsiloxane) (Vasiliev et al., 2009). There has been a considerable research effort aimed at preventing post-surgical infections after implantation of permanent devices and from minimally invasive devices. A common approach to prevent biofilm is to coat the surface with bactericidal or bacteriostatic substances. The antibacterial properties of antibiotics are well documented in the scientific literature, as well as the uses in implantable devices (Hickok and Shapiro, 2012).

Many commonly used medical implants, especially those in urinary catheters, promote biofilm formation and are responsible for infections. Catheter associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) is one of the most common hospital acquired infections worldwide, which causes serious economic burdens for patients and hospitals (Behzadi et al., 2010). The majority of commercial catheters and implantable materials offer no surface protection against bacteria and biofilm formation. This has become a major public health crisis and a major economic burden on healthcare. CAUTI rates increased since 2009 and the estimated total cost in the USA ranges from $340 million - $450 million annually (Meddings et al., 2013). There are over 30 million urinary catheter insertions a year in the United States in hospital settings, and the World Health
Organization (WHO) estimates that over 200 million people are suffering from bladder control problems that require urinary catheterization.

2.4 Layer-by-Layer Self-Assembly

Layer-by-layer (LBL) nanofabrication is based on the sequential adsorption of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes and materials with intermediate wash steps. The self-assembly process occurs spontaneously and structural formations occur from disordered systems. Nano-assembly through a layer-by-layer technique was first proposed in 1966 (Iiller, 1966), and was later pioneered during the 1990’s by Gero Decher (Decher, et al., 1991; Decher, 1997) and Yuri Lvov (Lvov et al., 1995). The LBL method is cheap, versatile, easy to replicate and can be used with a wide variety of polyelectrolytes and nanoparticles.

There are a variety of biocompatible and biodegradable materials that can be used in LBL multilayers. The LBL processes are reversible and interact by non-covalent intermolecular forces. This process is often referred to as supramolecular chemistry and is widely used in thin film fabrication methods. Common polycation and polyanion materials used in the LBL process include polystyrene sulphonate, polyethylene imine, poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), poly(acrylic acid), dextran sulfate, and sodium alginate. Recent progress has shown LBL microcapsules to be an important new tool for drug delivery applications (Ariga et al., 2011). Studies have shown that encapsulation of polyelectrolyte microcapsules are capable of delivering drugs through a potential hydrogen (pH) responsive release (Kumar et al., 2009). LBL can be used to encapsulate a variety of biomaterials and nanomaterials, including HNTs for extended and sustained release of drugs and bioactive agents (Veerabadran et al., 2009).
2.5 Three Dimensional Printing

Three-dimensional (3D) printing through hot melt extrusion is an emerging field that may replace many conventional biomaterial-manufacturing approaches, where customizable implants and localized drug delivery is more feasible (Mamidwar et al., 2012). Typically in 3D plastic printing, material is extruded from a heated nozzle in a layer-by-layer fashion and the layers form a 3D structure. The positioning is controlled with an x, y, z-axis system (Kesner and Howe, 2011) and can be used to create custom medical devices with tailored formulations. Medical applications for 3D printing are expanding rapidly and have gained much interest for pharmaceutical applications. Antibiotic drugs and other agents are effective when coupled with 3D printing technologies and the combination is creating a new era of custom antibacterial medical devices (Sandler et al., 2014; Weisman et al., 2015).

2.6 Nanofiber Production

Nanofiber mats and scaffolds have a wide range of biomedical applications including bone repair and regeneration, drug delivery, wound dressings, regenerative medicine, and tissue engineering (Kolakovic et al., 1997; Vasita and Katti, 2006). Nanofibers are typically generated by the method of electrospinning. During the electrospinning process, surface tension holds a polymer solution at the tip of the needle (Shin et al., 2001), and a high voltage electric field is applied in the needle tip; charge is induced in the polymer solution. The polymer jet is initiated when the charge repulsion within the solution overcomes the surface tension. When the polymer jet travels, the solvent evaporates and the polymer fibers are deposited on the collector plate. The jet from the needle forms a cone-like pathway known as the Taylor cone (Zuo et al., 2005).
Generating fibers and films through solution blow spinning is a high-throughput alternative technique requiring a very simple set-up: a concentrated polymer solution in a volatile solvent, and an airbrush fitted to a high-pressure gas source. Previous studies have described its ease of use, and rapid deposition rate as compared to electrospinning (Behrens et al., 2014). This technique has been studied for a range of applications including drug delivery, microfiltration, and tissue engineering (Tutak et al., 2013). The solution blow-spin method allows for direct deposition of nanofiber meshes and scaffolds onto a variety of geometries. The concept allows for on-demand fabrication of conformal nanofiber mats and allows for precise and site-specific construction. The approach may potentially be used as surgical sealant in place of or in addition to sutures in applications such as vascular, intestinal, or airway anastomosis. Solution blow spinning could also be useful in areas requiring the use of a hemostatic material or sealant, especially when large areas are exposed and conventional suturing may not be possible.
CHAPTER 3

METHODS

3.1 Design and Objectives

This research examined HNTs as a platform for antibacterial nanostructured coatings. HNTs were used as substrate material for antibacterial agents and also explored as composite additives for plastic and elastic biomaterials. Electrolysis techniques were explored as novel methods for depositing metal nanoparticles onto HNT surfaces. HNT-particles were validated through various instruments including scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The antibacterial activity of metal-HNTs and drug-loaded derivatives were monitored against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria with a spectrophotometer. Plastic and elastomeric polymers infused with HNTs were examined through various surface characterization methods, material analysis, and in-vitro assays. The following sections give a more in depth breakdown of the objectives and methods.

3.2 Materials and Methods

Halloysite nanoclay, Escherichia coli (E. coli) ATCC® 11775™ 1000 CFU, Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) ATCC® 6538™ 50 CFU, chloroform (HPLC grade), absolute ethanol, acetone (HPLC grade), poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA, 50:50,
molecular weight ~30,000-60,000), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP, molecular weight ~1,3000,000), poly(acrylic acid) solution (PAA, molecular weight ~250,000, 35 wt.% in H2O), acetone (HPLC grade), LB powder growth medium, nitrofurantoin crystalline (N), hydrochloric acid, Alcian blue stain, and bovine fibrinogen (≥70% protein basis) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Silver rods (99.99% pure, 304 mm. x 3.3 mm.) and copper rods (99.95% pure, 304 mm. x 3.3 mm.) were purchased from Amazon.com LLC (Seattle, WA). Picrosirius red stain and Von Kossa stain kit were purchased from PolySciences (Warrington, PA). Poly(dimethylsiloxane ethylene oxide), methyl terminated (molecular weight ~ 600) was acquired from Polysciences Inc. (Warrington, PA). Liquid implant grade silicone rubber was purchased from Applied Silicone Corporation (Santa Paula, CA). Translucent silicone rubber (PDMS) was purchased from AeroMarine Products (San Diego, CA). Antibacterial intermittent catheters and silver coated Foley catheter were purchased from commercial antibacterial catheter suppliers. Nitrofurantoin susceptibility test discs (100 mm.) were purchased from Becton Dickenson and Company (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Micro BCA protein assay kit, Mueller-Hinton agar plates, and Mueller-Hinton liquid broth were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). A Master Airbrush G22 with 0.2 mm. needle was purchased from TCP Global (San Diego, CA) and 3-(trihydroxysilyl) propyl(dimethyloctadecyl ammonium chloride (S) was purchased from Gelest Inc. (Morrisville, PA).

3.2.1 Instrumentation

A FEI Tecnai G2 F30 twin transmission electron microscope (Hillsboro, OR) was used to study the nanoparticle structures (located at Tulane University in New Orleans, LA)
(Figure 3-1). The particles were stabilized on carbon support film mounted on 200 mesh Cu gilder TEM grids. All other instruments used were located at Louisiana Tech University (Ruston, LA). A HITACHI S-4800 field-emission scanning electron microscope (Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 3-2) was used to characterize the HNTs and polymer surfaces, and the energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopic analysis feature was used for evaluation of chemical elements on HNT surfaces. The HNTs were mounted to carbon conductive adhesive tapes for SEM viewing and elemental analysis. A D8 X-ray diffractometer by Bruker (Billerica, MA) was used to study the HNT diffraction patterns (Figure 3-3). A Genesys™ 20 Visible Spectrophotometer by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA) was used to monitor bacteria absorbance values (Figure 3-4). A Fourier transform infrared spectroscope Nicolet IR100 and a NanoDrop 2000c by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA) were used to monitor HNT samples.

Figure 3-1: FEI Tecnai G2 F30 TEM at Tulane University.
Figure 3-2: HITACHI S 4800 FE-SEM/EDAX at Louisiana Tech University.

Figure 3-3: Bruker D8 XRD at Louisiana Tech University.
3.3 Methods

Electrolysis of pure Ag and Cu was explored as a route to modify the surface of HNTs for antibacterial applications. In distilled water, HNTs were mixed and a constant direct current was applied to metal electrodes to synthesize metal nanoparticles and facilitate metal nanoparticle deposition on HNT surfaces. The following sections give a more in depth breakdown of the electrochemical syntheses.

3.3.1 Silver-HNT Synthesis

Ag electrodes were placed in 500 milliliters (ml.) of distilled water and the distance between electrodes was 0.5 inches (in.). The mixture was heated to 95 °Celsius (C) and connected to a direct current (D/C) power supply. Next, 300 milligrams (mg.) of HNTs was added and continuously mixed with a magnetic stirrer. Next, direct current
was applied and kept constant at 30 volts (V) for 40 minutes. After 40 minutes, the power supply was disconnected and the mixture was centrifuged. The supernatant was decanted and the final Ag-HNT product was dried at 60 °C for 24 hours (hr.) for SEM and EDAX.

To examine scalability, Ag electrodes were placed in 1,000 ml. of distilled water and heated to 95 °C. The distance between electrodes was 0.5 in. and 5 grams (g.) of HNTs was added and continuously mixed with a magnetic stirrer. D/C voltage was applied and was kept constant at 30 V for 2 hours. After 2 hr. the D/C power supply was disconnected, the solution was centrifuged and decanted. The Ag-HNT product was dried at 60 °C for 24 hr. and stored for material characterization and antibacterial assays.

3.3.2 Copper-HNT Synthesis

Cu electrodes were placed in 500 ml. of distilled water and the distance between electrodes was 0.5 inches. The solution was heated to 95 °C and connected to a D/C power supply. Next, 300 mg. of HNTs were added and continuously mixed to create a suspension. Next, D/C voltage was applied and was kept constant at 240 V for 40 minutes. After 40 minutes the power supply was disconnected and the mixture was centrifuged and decanted. The Cu-HNT product was dried at 60 °C for SEM and EDAX.

To examine scalability, Cu electrodes were placed in 1,000 ml. of distilled water and heated to 95 °C. The distance between electrodes was 0.5 in. and 5 g. of HNTs was added and continuously mixed with a magnetic stirrer. Next, D/C voltage was applied and kept constant at 240 V for 2 hr. The power supply was disconnected after 2 hr. and the mixture was centrifuged and decanted. The Cu-HNT product was dried at 60 °C for 24 hr. and stored for material characterization and antibacterial assays. It is important to note that all antibacterial assays were examined with the scaled synthesis products.
3.3.3 **Metal-HNT Drug Loading**

Ag-HNT and Cu-HNT powders were explored as platforms for delivering multiple antibacterial agents in combination. Nitrofurantoin (N) (25 mg.) was mixed with 5 ml. of acetone. The dissolved nitrofurantoin mixture was next added to 45 ml. of water and was used to load Ag-HNT and Cu-HNT (1 ml./25 mg.) for 24 hr. under a vacuum (28 pounds per square inch). Next, the powders were air-dried for 24 hr. for antibacterial assays. The drug loaded HNTs are referred to as Ag-HNT-N and Cu-HNT-N.

Ag-HNT-N and Cu-HNT-N samples were nanocoated with polyelectrolytes and silane-quaternary ammonium salt (S). PVP powder was mixed with distilled water (1 mg./ml.) and PAA solution was mixed with distilled water (3 ml./100 ml.). Each polyelectrolyte mixture was adjusted to pH 3 by adding hydrochloric acid. PVP (1 ml.) and PAA (1 ml.) coatings, with wash steps in between, were repeated to form three bilayers on Cu-HNT (25 mg.) and Ag-HNT (25 mg.) surfaces. Lastly, the samples were immersed in 3-(trihydroxysilyl) propyldimethyloctadecyl ammonium chloride (S) (6% in distilled water) for five minutes and air dried for 24 hr. for antibacterial assays. The LBL coated HNTs are referred to as Ag-HNT-N-S and Cu-HNT-N-S.

3.3.4 **Fabrication of Polymer-HNT Composites**

Fabrication of HNT-loaded nanofibers used solution blow-spinning methods. PLGA was dissolved in acetone at 10% weight/weight (wt./wt.). HNT, Ag-HNT, Ag-HNT-N, Ag-HNT-N-S, Cu-HNT, Cu-HNT-N, and Cu-HNT-N-S powders were added to PLGA-acetone mixtures to form 1% wt./wt. HNT mixtures. The mixtures were placed in a sonication water bath for 20 minutes and then 1 ml. of each was pipetted into a separate commercial airbrush system reservoir. The air pressure for each run was set to 30 pounds.
per square inch and the mixtures were sprayed onto wax paper with a 5 cm. spray
distance. The PLGA products were air dried for antibacterial assays. Each fibrous mat
had final dry weight of 10% wt./wt. HNTs. For SEM viewing, square silicon wafers (1x1
mm.) were sprayed with PLGA and PLGA-HNT at 5 cm. distances.

Fabrication of HNT-loaded filaments used direct bulk additive manufacturing and
hot-melt extrusion. ABS plastic pellets were mixed with HNT, Ag-HNT, and Cu-HNT
dry powders (5% wt./wt.), and blends were extruded at 230 °C to form filaments for SEM
and antibacterial assays.

Fabrication of the PDMS-HNT nanocomposites used direct bulk modification
methods. Translucent PDMS was thoroughly mixed with HNT, Ag-HNT, and Cu-HNT
powders (0 - 10% wt./wt.) and with curing agent (10:1 wt./wt.). The uncured PDMS-
HNT composites were poured into flat polystyrene petri dishes and stored in a
refrigerator at 8 °C for 24 hr. to remove trapped air. The samples were then cured in an
oven at 60 °C for 24 hr. and were cut into circular discs (0.60 mm diameter and 0.2 mm
thickness) using a sterile hole punch for antibacterial assays.

Similarly to the metal-HNT loaded PDMS fabrication, a PEO-antibiotic version
was fabricated and used bulk modification. Implant grade silicone samples were
thoroughly mixed with HNTs (0 and 10% wt./wt.) and with curing agent (10:1 wt./wt.).
The uncured PDMS-HNT composites were poured into flat polystyrene petri dishes and
stored in a refrigerator at 8 °C for 24 hr. to remove trapped air. The samples were then
cured in an oven at 60 °C for 24 hr. and were cut into circular discs (0.60 mm diameter
and 0.2 mm thickness) using a sterile hole punch. A PDMS hydrophilic surface
modification method was adapted from the established surface modification method
(Dhruv, 2009) and applied to PDMS-HNT substrates. The samples were submerged in chloroform for 24 hr. to remove residual cross-linker and unreacted monomers, then dried in an oven for 24 hr. at 60 °C. Next, the samples were placed in chloroform solutions for 24 hr. with amphiphilic block copolymer PDMS-PEO (PEO) (0%-5% wt./wt.). Samples were dried in an oven at 60 °C for 24 hr. and then thoroughly rinsed with ethanol and air-dried. The treated PDMS-HNT-PEO composites were then placed in nitrofurantoin-acetone (5.1 mg./ml.) for 24 hr. and air-dried. The fabricated rubber composite is referred to as PDMS-HNT-PEO-N.

3.3.5 Antibacterial Assays

*E. coli* and *S. aureus* vitroids were used to create 0.5 McFarland standard bacterial suspensions. Fifty microliters (μl.) of standard bacterial suspensions were added to Mueller-Hinton broths and standard Mueller-Hinton agar plates for incubation with samples. Mueller-Hinton broth (5 ml.) assays were tested against different HNT concentrations (0-24 mg.). Each were added to broths and compared in triplicates after 24 hr. of incubation at 37 °C. Additional control broths with and without bacterial suspensions and with HNTs were monitored in triplicates. Bacterial optical densities (OD) of the broth cultures were monitored with a visible spectrophotometer at wavelength (λ) 600 nanometers (nm.) for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. For qualitative analysis, 12 mg. of HNT, Ag-HNT, Cu-HNT, Ag-oxide, and Ag-HNT-N-S powders were added to Mueller-Hinton agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.

For antibacterial assays with HNT-loaded polymers, *E. coli* and *S. aureus* vitroids were used to create 0.5 McFarland standard bacterial suspensions. Mueller-Hinton broths (5 ml.) were used to validate the antibacterial properties of PDMS-HNT discs, PLGA-
HNT mats, and ABS-HNT filaments. PDMS-HNT-PEO-N were validated by agar disc diffusion and broth assays. Samples of each set were compared in triplicates after 24 hr. of incubation at 37 °C. Bacterial zones of inhibition (ZOI) were monitored with a digital caliper. The optical densities (OD) of the broths were monitored with a visible spectrophotometer at λ=600 nm. for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. 
CHAPTER 4

RESULTS

4.1 Metal-HNT Characterization

The feasibility of using electrolysis as a HNT surface modification platform for antibacterial applications was confirmed in a series of experiments designed to characterize material properties, interface, and antibacterial activity. Validation of the hybrid nanotubes included SEM, TEM, EDAX, XRD, and FTIR. The characterization techniques demonstrated metallic nanoparticles could be deposited on HNT surfaces through electrolysis.

The naturally occurring white appearance of the HNT powder was altered to a variety of colors depending upon the type of metal used during electrolysis processing. During the electrolysis of Ag, the mixtures appeared to change color. The final Ag-HNT dry product was colored gray, which indicated that the HNTs underwent a chemical change or adsorption of Ag particulate (Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2: A and C). The electrolysis of Cu with HNTs produced a final product with brown coloration (Figure 4-2: B). The electrolysis syntheses were repeated five times and the color changes were replicable. It was concluded by visual inspection that the nanotubes underwent surface modification during the electrolysis processing.
4.1.1 *EDAX, SEM, and TEM*

EDAX was used to further explore the HNT surfaces and it appeared that the HNT tubular structures underwent a metallic surface modification. It is important to note that the presence of carbon in measurements was due to the conductive carbon adhesive tape and should be ignored in all EDAX readings. EDAX of HNTs are well documented and the weight % ratios of alumina to silica display similar percentages to previous studies (Fernandez *et al.*, 2014). EDAX readings of the pure HNTs showed a similar elemental weight percentage ratio of alumina (21.48 %) and silica (20.45 %)(Figure 4-3).

*Figure 4-1:* Image of the Ag-HNT Electrolysis Process.

*Figure 4-2:* Image of HNTs, A) HNT, B) Cu-HNT, and C) Ag-HNT.
EDAX of the first Ag-HNT synthesis route showed a 26% elemental weight percentage of Ag on the surface (Figure 4-4). EDAX of the scaled Ag-HNT synthesis route also showed the presence of Ag, with 10.17% Ag elemental weight on the surface (Figure 4-5). EDAX of the first Cu-HNT synthesis route showed 9.99% Cu elemental weight on the surface (Figure 4-6) and the scaled Cu-HNT synthesis (Figure 4-7) displayed 6.89% Cu elemental weight on the surface. EDAX further validated the assumptions and it was concluded that metallic particulates were present in the various nanotube samples.

![EDAX of HNT](image)

**Figure 4-3: EDAX of HNT.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>21.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>49.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>20.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4-4: EDAX of Ag-HNT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>14.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>30.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>11.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-5: EDAX of Scaled Ag-HNT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>20.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>49.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>19.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Element - Wt\%  
Cu   9.99  
O    37.89  
Al   23.06  
Si   21.57

Figure 4-6: EDAX of Cu-HNT.

Element Wt.%  
Cu   6.89  
Al   20.47  
O    11.51  
Si   19.55

Figure 4-7: EDAX of Scaled Cu-HNT.
The Ag-HNT and Cu-HNT products were examined under SEM and TEM to validate the deposition of metal particles on the surface. The electron micrographs further validated the assumption that the electrolysis method was capable of producing metallic nanocoatings on the surfaces of HNTs.

The pure HNT powder showed typical tubular features when viewed under SEM and TEM (Figure 4-8, Figure 4-9, and Figure 4-10). Typical tubular features were also detected for the Ag-HNT powder (Figure 4-11). Spherical Ag nanoparticles and clusters were clearly visible on the Ag-HNT surfaces in SEM and TEM images (Figure 4-12 and Figure 4-13). Ag-HNT particles that underwent drug loading and LBL nanocoatings also displayed Ag nanoparticles on the surfaces (Figure 4-14). All Ag nanoparticles detected in TEM images displayed diameters under 100 nanometers.

SEM images of the Cu-HNT showed HNT typical tubular structures (Figure 4-15 and Figure 4-16). Cu nanoparticles and clusters were visible on the surfaces of HNTs in TEM images (Figure 4-17 and Figure 4-18). The metal Cu-HNT displayed different morphologies compared to Au-HNT. The Cu-HNT displayed Cu clusters on the surface of HNTs. As evidenced by electron micrographs, it was confirmed that metallic nanoparticles were successfully deposited on HNTs through the electrochemical syntheses.
Figure 4-8: SEM Image of HNT.

Figure 4-9: SEM Image of HNT.
Figure 4-10: TEM Image of HNT.

Figure 4-11: SEM Image of Ag-HNT.
Figure 4-12: SEM Image of Ag-HNT.

Figure 4-13: TEM Image of Ag-HNT.
Figure 4-14: TEM Image of Ag-HNT-N-S.

Figure 4-15: SEM Image of Cu-HNT.
Figure 4-16: SEM Image of Cu-HNT.

Figure 4-17: TEM Image of Cu-HNT.
XRD was used as a tool for analyzing the HNT and metal-HNT crystal structures. Various factors like Bragg factor, crystallographic factor, and profile factor determined the sharpness of peaks and number of noise peaks seen in the XRD patterns. The calculated pattern of pure HNT powder displayed a maximum peak at 20 (2θ) with an intensity of more than 4500 A.U., which indicated the maximum crystallinity (Figure 4-19). No impurity was found in the HNT samples, which indicated a single-phase sample. Calculated XRD patterns of HNTs are well documented and these results showed similar patterns when compared to previous HNT XRD patterns (Fernandez et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013). The XRD pattern of Ag-HNT showed peaks at 20 (2θ) and at 38 (2θ) (Ag), and the pattern indicated that the crystallinity of HNTs was not disturbed (Figure 4-20).
Ag-oxide was used as a control and showed a similar Ag peak at 38 (2\(\theta\)) (Figure 4-21). The XRD pattern of Cu-HNT powder showed a broader peak at 20 (2\(\theta\)) as compared to HNT and Ag-HNT powders. A peak was also observed at 35 (2\(\theta\)) for the Cu samples, which indicated the crystallinity of Cu (Figure 4-22). Cu XRD patterns are well documented and these results showed similar patterns (Venkata et al., 2015). The Cu-HNT XRD pattern indicated that no chemical reaction occurred and the crystallinity of HNTs was not disturbed during the Cu electrolysis processing.

Figure 4-19: XRD Pattern of HNT.

Figure 4-20: XRD Pattern of Ag-HNT.
FTIR was used to examine the adsorption bands of HNTs and the metallized versions. The general stretching absorption bands for kaolin clays are well established (Fernandez et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013) and were detected by Interpret IR+ software analysis. The software demonstrated the similarities between kaolin clay and experimental samples. The HNTs displayed band patterns consistent with kaolin clay highlighted patterns (Figure 4-23). For the Ag-HNT, similar bands were detected and were consistent with kaolin clay highlighted bands (Figure 4-24). Cu-HNT also displayed similar bands when compared to standard kaolin bands (Figure 4-25).
Figure 4-23: FTIR Spectrum of HNT.

Figure 4-24: FTIR Spectrum of Ag-HNT.
4.2 Metal-HNT Antibacterial Assays

The feasibility of the electrolysis method for creating nanostructured antibacterial coatings on HNTs was confirmed in a series of experiments designed to optimize inhibitory responses of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. It was determined that the pure HNTs were not effective at inhibiting growth under dynamic contact conditions (Figure 4-26 and Figure 4-27). As the concentration of Ag-HNT was increased in the broth cultures, the absorbance values were decreased (Figure 4-28 and Figure 4-29). The Ag-HNT was more effective at inhibiting the growth of *E. coli* when compared to *S. aureus*. A 99.9% reduction of *E. coli* was detected for the Ag-HNT samples (2-24 mg.). Similar to Ag-HNT, the Cu-HNT was more effective at reducing *E. coli* growth. As the concentration of Cu-HNT was increased in broth cultures the absorbance values were decreased (Figure 4-30 and Figure 4-31). Ag-HNT was concluded to be more effective than Cu-HNT at reducing bacterial growth in liquid broth assays.
Figure 4-26: Effect of HNT on Absorbance of *S. aureus*.

Figure 4-27: Effect of HNT on Absorbance of *E. coli*. 
Figure 4-28: Effect of Ag-HNT on Absorbance of *S. aureus*.

Figure 4-29: Effect of Ag-HNT on Absorbance of *E. coli*. 
Figure 4-30: Effect of Cu-HNT on Absorbance of *S. aureus*.

Figure 4-31: Effect of Cu-HNT on Absorbance of *E. coli*.
Ag-HNT-N was effective at inhibiting the growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in broth cultures (Figure 4-32 and Figure 4-33). The growth of *S. aureus* significantly decreased at 4-24 mg. concentrations (Figure 4-32). Similar to Ag-HNT, the Ag-HNT-N decreased *E. coli* by 99% at 2-24 mg. concentrations (Figure 4-33).

The Cu-HNT-N powder was also effective at inhibiting the growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. (Figure 4-34 and Figure 4-35). Cu-HNT-N decreased the absorbance of *S. aureus* by 99% at 16-24 mg. concentrations (Figure 4-34) and decreased the absorbance of *E. coli* by 99% at 4-24 mg. concentrations (Figure 4-35). The loading of nitrofurantoin in Cu-HNT and Ag-HNT samples resulted in greater inhibitory effects on growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.

**Figure 4-32:** Effect of Ag-HNT-N on Absorbance of *S. aureus*. 
Figure 4-33: Effect of Ag-HNT-N on Absorbance of *E. coli*.

Figure 4-34: Effect of Cu-HNT-N on Absorbance of *S. aureus*.
Figure 4-35: Effect of Cu-HNT-N on Absorbance of *E. coli*.

Ag-HNT-N-S was effective at inhibiting *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Figure 4-36 and Figure 4-37). As the concentration of the Ag-HNT-N-S was increased, the absorbance was decreased. The Ag-HNT-N-S showed similar inhibiting effects against *S. aureus* as compared to Ag-HNT-N (Figure 4-36). Ag-HNT-N-S reduced *E. coli* absorbances by 99% at 2-24 mg. concentrations (Figure 4-37). Cu-HNT-N-S showed significant reductions of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* at 4-24 mg. concentrations (Figure 4-38 and Figure 4-39). Overall, it was concluded that by increasing the concentration of metallized HNTs in the inoculated broth cultures, *E. coli* and *S. aureus* concentrations were decreased.
Figure 4-36: Effect of Ag-HNT-N-S on Absorbance of *S. aureus*.

Figure 4-37: Effect of Ag-HNT-N-S on Absorbance of *E. coli*. 
**Figure 4-38:** Effect of Cu-HNT-N-S on Absorbance of *S. aureus*.

**Figure 4-39:** Effect of Cu-HNT-N-S on Absorbance of *E. coli*.
All metal-HNT samples reduced *E. coli* growth in broth cultures (Figure 4-40). Cu-HNT and Ag-HNT reduced the turbidity of *S. aureus* broth cultures; however, the nitrofurantoin-loaded versions were most effective (Figure 4-41). There were consistent trends across each type of nanoparticle tested (Figure 4-42), where as the concentration was increased, the amount of bacteria growth was decreased. For broth tests, the concentrations (mg.) were the predictor variables and the bacteria absorbance values were the response data. The HNT-metal composites displayed high correlation and the P-values were lower than 0.05, which showed that the concentration of metallized HNTs could be used as accurate predictors of the response characteristics of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Figure 4-42).

![Figure 4-40: Effect of Concentration of HNTs on Absorbance of E. coli.](image)

Samples: 1) Ag-HNT, 2) Ag-HNT-N, 3) Ag-HNT-N-S, 4) Cu-HNT, 5) Cu-HNT-N, 6) Cu-HNT-N-S, and 7) HNT.
Figure 4-41: Effect of concentration of HNTs on Absorbance of *S. aureus*. Samples: 1) Ag-HNT, 2) Ag-HNT-N, 3) Ag-HNT-N-S, 4) Cu-HNT, 5) Cu-HNT-N, 6) Cu-HNT-N-S, and 7) HNT.

Figure 4-42: Effect of concentration of HNTs on Absorbance of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* with Correlation and Exponential Comparison.

Mueller-Hinton agar assays were used for qualitative analysis of the metal-HNTs.

Dense biofilm formation occurred on the control HNT sample and displayed no ZOI (Figure 4-43: A). Metallized-HNTs were effective at reducing bacteria growth and
biofilm formation near the surfaces. Ag-HNT displayed a large ZOI and prevented biofilm formation on the surfaces (Figure 4-43: B).

Cu-HNT displayed a smaller ZOI and appeared to have changed colors during the assay (Figure 4-43: C). In all broth and agar tests performed, the Cu-HNT changed from brown to a white-blue coloration after incubation. It was assumed that oxidation reactions occurred during the incubation periods with Cu-HNT.

In agar and broth assays, Ag-HNT particles retained gray coloration during incubation periods with bacteria (Figure 4-43: B). Black Ag-oxide powder was used for color change comparison with Ag-HNT, and color change was not detected for Ag-HNT during incubation periods (Figure 4-43: B and E). The Ag-oxide powder converted to a red-orange color during incubation periods. Ag-HNT-N-S displayed a large zone of inhibition and appeared to enhance the inhibiting effect of the Ag (Figure 4-43: F). A standard nitrofurantoin disc was used for comparison with Ag-HNT-N-S (Figure 4-43: D).

Figure 4-43: Effect of HNTs on E. coli in Agar Diffusion Assays. Sample: A) HNT, B) Ag-HNT, C) Cu-HNT, D) Nitrofurantoin disc, E) Ag-Oxide, F) Ag-HNT-N-S.
4.3 Polymer-HNT Composites

4.3.1 _PLGA-HNT Nanofibers_

Current methods for encapsulating HNTs within nanofibers include electrospinning, which has many limitations such as the need for high-voltage and a conductive collection system (Xue _et al._, 2015). The solution blow-spin method is a more rapid nanofiber production method that can deposit nanofibers on any surface geometry (Behrens _et al._, 2014). The feasibility of using the solution blow-spin method for encapsulation of HNTs in nanofibers was validated through electron microscopy.

The nanofibers produced through solution blow-spinning displayed a variety of surface morphologies when viewed under SEM and TEM. Image J analysis of pure PLGA fibers generated data with a mean fiber diameter of 678.5 nm. +/- 170 nm. (_Figure 4-44_ and _Figure 4-45_). The pure PLGA fibers had diameters as low as 68 nm. and as high as 692 nm. (_Figure 4-44_). For PLGA-HNT fibers, larger diameters were detected with a mean average of 793 nm. +/- 456 nm. (_Figure 4-45_). PLGA-HNT fibers had a minimum diameter of 62 nm. and a maximum diameter of 1.7 micrometers (µm) (_Figure 4-45_).

TEM images of solution blown PLGA showed a uniform fiber formation (_Figure 4-46_). Solution blown PLGA with HNTs appeared to encapsulate the HNTs during solvent evaporation and was clearly visible in TEM images (_Figures 4-47_). Fiber networks were more uniform in the pure PLGA nanofibers. Solution blow spun PLGA-HNT showed for the first time that HNTs could be encapsulated within sprayed nanofiber networks.
Figure 4-44: SEM Images of PLGA Nanofibers.

Figure 4-45: SEM Images of PLGA-HNT Nanofibers.
The feasibility of HNT-loaded PLGA nanofibers as multifunctional antibacterial substrates was demonstrated in Mueller-Hinton broth assays. PLGA and PLGA-HNT were not effective at reducing *E. coli* growth (Figure 4-48: 2 and 3). Ag-HNT, Ag-HNT-
N, and Ag-HNT-N-S were effective at reducing *E. coli* absorbance values (Figure 4-48: 4, 6, and 8). Cu-HNT had a slight inhibiting effect on *E. coli* (Figure 4-48: 5). Cu-HNT-N and Cu-HNT-N-S showed significant reduction of *E. coli* (Figure 4-48: 7 and 9). Results concluded that the *E. coli* concentration was decreased effectively in the samples containing Ag and nitrofurantoin and appeared to enhance inhibition.

PLGA, PLGA-HNT, PLGA-HNT-Ag, and PLGA-HNT-Cu were not effective at reducing *S. aureus* growth (Figure 4-49: 2, 3, 4, and 5). Samples containing nitrofurantoin showed a greater reduction of bacteria growth (Figure 4-49: 6, 7, 8, and 9).

![Figure 4-48: Effect of HNT-Nanofibers on Absorbance of E. coli. Sample: 1) Control E. coli, 2) PLGA, 3) PLGA-HNT, 4) PLGA-Ag-HNT, 5) PLGA-Cu-HNT, 6) PLGA-Ag-HNT-N, 7) PLGA-Cu-HNT-N, 8) PLGA-Ag-HNT-N-S, and 9) PLGA-Cu-HNT-N-S.](image-url)
4.3.2 ABS-HNT and PDMS-HNT

Cu-HNT and Ag-HNT were examined as antibacterial materials for additive manufacturing with ABS plastic. ABS filaments were extruded with HNTs and the bacterial responses were monitored in broth assays. Surface analysis of the ABS filaments showed the dispersion of HNTs on the filaments (Figure 4-50: A and B). ABS loaded with Ag-HNT and Cu-HNT displayed different surface morphologies in SEM images (Figure 4-50: C and D). The extrusion process was able to produce extruded filaments with HNT clusters scattered along the filament edge.
Results showed that pure ABS and ABS-HNT were not effective at reducing the growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Figure 4-51 and Figure 4-52: 2 and 3). ABS-Ag-HNT was not effective at reducing *S. aureus* (Figure 4-51: 4). However, ABS-Ag-HNT showed a slight inhibiting effect against *E. coli* (Figure 4-52: 4). ABS-Cu-HNT was not effective against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Figure 4-51 and Figure 4-52: 5). PDMS-Ag-HNT and PDMS-Cu-HNT samples were not effective at inhibiting *E. coli* and *S. aureus* and displayed high absorbance values (Figure 4-53 and Figure 4-54).
Figure 4-51: Effect of HNT-Filaments on Absorbance of *S. aureus*. Sample: 1) Control *S. aureus*, 2) ABS, 3) ABS-HNT, 4) ABS-Ag-HNT, 5) ABS-Cu-HNT.

Figure 4-52: Effect of HNT-Filaments on Absorbance of *E. coli*. Sample: 1) Control *E. coli*, 2) ABS, 3) ABS-HNT, 4) ABS-Ag-HNT, 5) ABS-Cu-HNT
Figure 4-53: Effect of HNT-PDMS Samples on Absorbance of *E. coli*. Sample: 1) Control *E. coli*, 2) PDMS, PDMS-HNT (3-7), PDMS-Ag-HNT (8-12), and PDMS-Cu-HNT (13-17).

Figure 4-54: Effect of HNT-loaded PDMS Samples on Absorbance of *S. aureus*. Sample: 1) Control *S. aureus*, 2) PDMS, PDMS-HNT (3-7), PDMS-Ag-HNT (8-12), and PDMS-Cu-HNT (13-17).

4.3.3 **PDMS-HNT-PEO-N**

The feasibility of the PDMS-HNT-PEO-N for creating nanostructured antibacterial coatings was confirmed in a series of experiments designed to optimize inhibitory responses of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. PDMS-HNT-PEO composites loaded and coated with nitrofurantoin showed competitive antibacterial performance when compared with commercial antibacterial urinary PDMS catheters (Figure 4-55 and Figure 4-56: A and C). Table 4-1, and Table 4-2 also show the
effectiveness of PDMS-HNT-PEO-N against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. For Mueller-Hinton leaching agar assays, the 100% PDMS catheters and silver catheter were not effective. PDMS-HNT-PEO-N and drug-coated catheters were shown to be the most effective at inhibiting both bacteria types in both agar and broth assays.

Figure 4-55: Images of PDMS samples in Agar Disc Diffusion Assays Against *E. coli*. A) Antibacterial Catheter, B) Silver Coated Catheter, C) PDMS-HNT-PEO-N, D) 100% PDMS Catheter, E) PDMS-HNT-PEO, and F) Nitrofurantoin Disc.
Figure 4-56: Images of PDMS Samples in Agar Disc Diffusion Assays Against *S. aureus*. A) Antibacterial Catheter, B) Silver Coated Catheter, C) PDMS-HNT-PEO-N, D) 100% PDMS Catheter, E) PDMS-HNT-PEO, and F) Nitrofurantoin Disc.

Table 4-1: Table Showing Mueller-Hinton Agar Zone of Inhibition Results for Commercial Catheters and PDMS-HNT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ZOI (mm.) <em>E. coli</em></th>
<th>ZOI (mm.) <em>S. aureus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantoin Disc</td>
<td>19.730 ± 1.219</td>
<td>20.166 ± 0.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS-HNT-PEO-N</td>
<td>21.100 ± 1.326</td>
<td>21.955 ± 0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS-HNT</td>
<td>No ZOI</td>
<td>No ZOI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibacterial Catheter</td>
<td>18.222 ± 0.864</td>
<td>20.300 ± 1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Catheter</td>
<td>No ZOI</td>
<td>7.322 ± 2.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% PDMS Catheter</td>
<td>No ZOI</td>
<td>No ZOI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-2: Table Showing Mueller-Hinton Broth Absorbance Results for PDMS-HNT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Abs. (600 nm.)</th>
<th>Abs. (600 nm.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>0.967 ± 0.016</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control <em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.923 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantoin Disc</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.047 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS-HNT-PEO-N</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS-HNT</td>
<td>0.700 ± 0.073</td>
<td>0.565 ± 0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibacterial Catheter</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Catheter</td>
<td>0.798 ± 0.151</td>
<td>0.657 ± 0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% PDMS Catheter</td>
<td>0.873 ± 0.020</td>
<td>0.763 ± 0.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION

5.1 Metal-HNT Electrolysis Fabrication

The phenomenon of electrolysis remains an important chemical effect in chemistry and manufacturing. The technique uses D/C to drive an otherwise non-spontaneous chemical reaction through a solution. Several methods of electrolysis have since been developed for industry, which include electro-refining, electro-synthesis, and electroplating. Electrolysis continues to be a valuable technique for chemists, scientists, and industrial scale productions (Allanore, 2012).

Current methods for fabricating metal-HNT composites rely on multi-step processing with metal-compounds, metal-salts, reducing agents, chemicals, and high temperatures (Abdullayev et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Liu and Zhao, 2009; Rawtani and Agrawl, 2012; Tang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhen et al., 2011). The previous metal-HNT fabrication methods focus on using a specific metal with multi-step reactions.

In this first ever account, the electrolysis method was shown to act as a new route, in which multiple types of metal could be deposited on HNT surfaces. In water with neutral pH 7, the outer silica surface of HNTs display a negative zeta-potential (Lvov et al., 2008). It is theorized that the electrolysis produces Ag and Cu nanoparticles with positive zeta-potential and facilitates deposition on the HNT surfaces (Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2). It is suggested that HNTs act as a support system and may extend the
shelf life of the metal nanoparticles. EDAX readings of HNT, Ag-HNT samples, and Cu-HNT samples proved that electrochemical deposition is an effective route to create HNT-metal composites (Figure 4-3, Figure 4-4, Figure 4-5, Figure 4-6, and Figure 4-7). It is suggested that the concentration of metal deposited is dependent on the concentration of HNTs and amount of time under electrolysis. For example, Ag-HNT fabricated at 300 mg. showed a much higher Ag weight % compared to the Ag-HNT fabricated with 5 g. (Figure 4-4 and Figure 4-5). Cu-HNT fabricated at 300 mg. also had a higher Cu weight % compared to Cu-HNT fabricated with 5 grams (Figure 4-6 and Figure 4-7). Perhaps by increasing the amount of time under electrolysis, a higher metal weight % on HNT surfaces may be achieved.

Metal particles and clusters were clearly detected under TEM and further validated that the electrochemical synthesis is an effective route towards creating metal-HNT composites (Figure 4-10, Figure 4-13, Figure 4-14, Figure 4-17, and Figure 4-18). The Ag particles appeared mostly spherical and the Cu particles appeared to form clusters with irregular geometries (Figure 4-13, Figure 4-14, Figure 4-17, and Figure 4-18).

It is suggested that the amount of voltage applied affected the metallic particle sizes. Additional studies showed that lower voltages produced smaller particle sizes under TEM (Figure A-4 and Figure A-5). It appeared that as the voltage levels increased the nanoparticles deposition and size of particles increased. Voltage variation during electrolysis altered the coloration of the final Cu-HNT powders and appeared that particle size influenced color. Electrolysis of Cu at 60 V with HNTs produced Cu-HNT with blue coloration (Figure A-1: B). Electrolysis of Cu at 120 V produced Cu-HNT with a green
coloration (Figure A-1: C), and electrolysis at 240 V produced Cu-HNT with brown coloration (Figure A-1: D). The electrolysis syntheses were repeated five times and the color changes were replicable. XRD patterns and FTIR spectrums of HNT, Ag-HNT, and Cu-HNT showed that the electrolysis approach did not damage the crystal structures or adsorption band patterns of HNTs (Figure 4-19, Figure 4-20, Figure 4-21, Figure 4-22, Figure 4-23, and Figure 4-25). This is important because HNTs are projected to be a common template in future nanotechnologies. The combination may offer more potential applications and devices that have not yet been discovered.

Overall, the materials characterization showed that the electrolysis process was successful at creating metal-HNT composites. The advantages of using electrolysis as an HNT surface modification technique include the simple setup, the ability to fabricate using minimal starting materials, and the scalability potential. It is theorized that larger custom electrodes, designed with more surface area could be used to synthesize industrial-scale amounts of metal-HNTs. The electrolysis method may not be not limited to Ag and Cu, as other metals such as gold, palladium, platinum are theorized to behave similarly with HNTs during electrochemical syntheses.

5.1.1 Metal–HNTs as Antibacterial Agents

Ag has been previously coupled with HNTs for antibacterial applications in paints (Abdullayev et al., 2011). In the 2011 article, nanorods were synthesized in the inner lumen of HNTs and were added to composite paints. Previous research shows the outer surface has more surface area for the loading of drugs or polymers (Lin et al., 2016; Veerabadran et al., 2009). In this research, the outer surface was used to deposit Ag and Cu nanoparticles. An advantage of using the outer surface for particle deposition is that
the nanoparticles are more readily available for interactions. The electrolysis method takes advantage of the outer HNT surfaces and allows for additional loading of antibacterial agents within the inner lumens. The additional antibacterial agents offer multi-modes of action against bacteria.

The metal-HNT types created in this research showed significant reduction of bacteria growth with predictable responses (Figure 4-28, Figure 4-29, and Figure 4-42). There were predictable responses across all HNT nanocomposites and the null hypothesis was rejected. As the concentration of metal-HNT was increased in broth cultures, the absorbance values were decreased. The Ag-HNT-N and Ag-HNT-N-S combinations demonstrated significant reductions in both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Figure 4-32, Figure 4-33, Figure 4-36, and Figure 4-37). Cu-HNT-N and Cu-HNT-N-S performed better than Cu-HNT against bacteria compared to Cu-HNT (Figure 4-30, Figure 4-31, Figure 4-34, Figure 4-35, Figure 4-38, and Figure 4-39).

### 5.2 Polymer Metal-HNT Nanocomposites

HNTs have shown to be ideal particles for polymer reinforcement (Abdilayev and Lvov, 2013; Guo *et al.*, 2009; Lvov *et al.*, 2008). The utilization of nanofiber mats and scaffolds has been widely investigated for a variety of surgical and tissue engineering applications. The current fabrication methods, for example, electrospinning, are difficult to translate into an operating room. Solution blow spinning may offer an easily adaptable alternative that has the potential to generate on demand conformal nanofiber mats directly on a wide range of targets.

The solution blow spun nanofibers loaded with metal-HNTs and loaded with nitrofurantoin were shown to be effective at reducing bacterial growth. The addition of
metal-HNTs and drugs demonstrated the feasibility and versatility of solution blown HNT-nanofibers as antibacterial nanostructured coatings. The present study demonstrates facile fabrication of nanofibers loaded with HNTs using only a commercial airbrush and compressed air. SEM and TEM image showed that HNTs could be encapsulated in PLGA nanofibers during solution blow spinning (Figure 4-45 and Figure 4-47). The images show single and clusters of HNTs in the nanofibers. The prevention of HNT aggregates in nanofibers may be achieved by reducing the concentration of HNTs or by modifying the HNT surfaces.

Additional studies showed the cytocompatibility of PLGA-HNT nanofibers (Figure C-1, Figure C-2, Figure C-3, Figure C-4, and Figure C-5). In the cytocompatibility assays, all cell types behaved normally on PLGA and PLGA-HNT substrates. This method offers much potential as the nanofibers can be directly deposited on to any surface and geometry with a vast array of polymer materials. It is suggested that these types of composites may have potential applications in wound healing, 3D tissue engineering, infection prevention, and hemostatic devices.

5.2.1 ABS and PDMS Loaded with Metal-HNTs

ABS and PMDS substrates loaded with HNTs were not effective at reducing bacterial growth (Figure 4-51, Figure 4-52, Figure 4-53, and Figure 4-54). The plastic and rubber materials are not as easily degraded when compared to the PLGA polymer. Perhaps coating the ABS and PMDS through the LBL method may be a more effective route. Fabricating a degradable coating may allow for available metal-HNTs on the surfaces.
Antibacterial surface modifications may allow for more effective substrates. Additional PDMS-HNT-PEO-N studies showed that the surface may be modified for antibacterial applications (Figure 4-55, Figure 4-56, Table 4-1, and Table 4-2). The PEO coatings may have additional biomedical applications, as additional studies showed a reduction of protein adsorption of the surfaces and enhanced wettability (Figure B-3, Figure B-4, and Figure B-5). The substrates displayed similar surface characteristics and showed for the first time that the hydrophilic properties could be maintained with the addition of HNTs in the PDMS networks after PEO modification. Previous studies and patents have explored hydrophilic PDMS surface modifications; however, no research has been conducted with the addition of HNTs. This data confirms that PDMS-HNT (10% wt./wt. HNT) maintains hydrophilic properties when treated with PEO and may have potential uses for medical device coatings.
CHAPTER 6
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

6.1 Conclusions

The high-throughput electrochemical fabrication approach further advances the field of inorganic hybrid nanoparticle synthesis, specifically HNT-metallization. The feasibility of electrolysis as a method for depositing metal nanoparticles on HNT surfaces was successfully demonstrated and proved to be a new route to modifying HNTs with antibacterial features. It was concluded that metallized HNTs could be used as an antibacterial agent for predictable responses with bacteria in fluid systems. Overall, the metal-HNTs created through electrolysis provide a foundation for future researchers to explore. The realization of scalable and cost effective antibacterial fabrication methods may provide civilization with a new arsenal of antibacterial additives.

6.2 Future Work

Future work will be focused on increasing the effectiveness of the metallized HNTs and polymer loaded substrates against bacteria. The electrolysis method will be guided toward testing with other metals and with combinations of metals. In the future, custom electrodes designed with greater surface area will be explored and the electrolysis of metal-compounds. Scaling fabrication for commercial feasibility will be explored. Examining the metallized HNTs with multi-drug combination formulations will continue
to be examined in an effort to further advance current antibacterial nanomaterials. With the emergence of resistant bacteria and the increase in health-care related infections, plastic and elastic polymer substrates should continue to be examined in an effort to create new nanostructured coatings for antibacterial applications.

The inorganic hybrid nanotubes are not limited to antibacterial applications. LBL strategies in combination with metal-HNTs may be used for chemical and biological sensors. Ag nanoparticles have optical applications, conductive applications, chemical applications, and thermal applications. Some of the potential uses of silver include optical limiters, solar cells, medical imaging, conductive adhesives, catalysts, and chemical vapor sensors. Potential uses of Cu nanoparticles include electromagnetic interference shielding, thermal conductive materials, catalysts, conductive inks, electronics, and nanolubricants. These types of products will be explored in the future. Coupling metal nanoparticles with HNTs through electrolysis may allow for the creation of novel devices and advanced antibacterial additives.
APPENDIX A

EFFECT OF VOLTAGE ON CU-HNT PRODUCTION
A.1 Effect of Voltage on Cu-HNT Color and Morphology.

Figure A-1: Image of Cu-HNT Produced at Different Voltages. A) Control, B) 60 V, C) 120 V, and D) 240 V.

Figure A-2: XRD Pattern of Cu-HNT Produced at 60 V.

Figure A-3: XRD Pattern of Cu-HNT Produced at 120 V.
Figure A-4: TEM Image of Cu-HNT Produced at 60 V.

Figure A-5: TEM Image of Cu-HNT Produced at 120 V.
APPENDIX B

PDMS-HNT-PEO SURFACE ANALYSES
B.1 SEM and Protein Adsorption Assays

SEM was used to examine the nanocomposite surface topographies. PDMS-HNT composites treated with PEO (0%-5% wt./wt.) were adhered to a conductive adhesive tape and placed onto a stage for viewing. Gold sputter coatings (4 nm.) were applied to the surfaces with a Cressington 208 HR Metal Sputter Coater (Watford, England). The prepared stages were placed into the sample chamber and viewed with 1.0-2.0 kV. A Dynamic Contact Angle (OCA-15 plus) by DataPhysics (San Jose, CA) was used to study water contact angles.

A NanoDrop 2000c by Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MA) was used to monitor the protein adsorption properties of the modified PDMS-HNT composites with bovine-fibrinogen. All samples were pre-equilibrated for 24 hr. in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4). A bovine fibrinogen solution was prepared in PBS (pH 7.4) (1 mg./ml.) and samples were placed in the protein solution for 4 hr. on a rocker. Samples were then thoroughly washed with PBS and sonicated in PBS (1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution for 30 minutes to desorb protein from surfaces. The product protocol for Micro-BCA assay kit was followed, added to protein solutions, and absorbance (\( \lambda = 562 \text{ nm.} \)) was monitored for each set of samples in triplicates.

SEM was used to study the modified PDMS composite surfaces morphology and nanostructure. It also provides a means for obtaining detailed topographical information about surface features. SEM micrographs showed changes in surface roughness with the addition of PEO into PDMS and PDMS-HNT (10% wt./wt. HNTs). To monitor the coating process, different PEO concentrations (0%-5% wt./wt.) were applied to the PDMS and PDMS-HNT discs. Surface roughness appeared to increase for both PDMS
and PDMS-HNT with the sequential addition of PEO concentrations (Figure B-1 and Figure B-2). The PEO chains appeared to accumulate on the outermost surface (Figure B-1: A and D, and Figure B-2: A and D).

**Figure B-1**: SEM Images of PDMS Coated with Different Concentrations of PEO. A) PDMS, B) PDMS-PEO (1%), C) PDMS-PEO (2.5%), and D) PDMS-PEO (5%).
Figure B-2: SEM Images of PDMS-HNT-PEO Coated with Different Concentrations of PEO. A) PDMS-HNT, B) PDMS-HNT-PEO (1%), C) PDMS-HNT-PEO (2.5%), and D) PDMS-HNT-PEO (5%).

Similar surface characteristics were observed with the HNT loaded PDMS versions. Surface roughness appeared to increase as the PEO concentration increased. The PEO chains on the PDMS surface were easily hydrated and the rubber-water interface interactions were significantly altered. Water contact angle measurements showed the hydrophilic properties of the PEO coatings on both normal PDMS and PDMS-HNT loaded versions. Similar wettability was observed for both treated and untreated versions. Water contact angles above 100° were observed for the untreated PDMS and PDMS-HNT composites (Figure B-3: A and B, and Figure B-4: A and B). The PDMS and PDMS-HNT composites treated with PEO showed significant reduction
in water contact angle measurements, as contact angles for both versions reached under 10° angles (Figure B-3: C and D, and Figure B-4: C and D).

Figure B-3: Water Contact Angle Images of PDMS and PDMS-PEO. A) 103.3°, B) 97.7°, C) 8.2°, and D) 3.9°.
Figure B-4: Water Contact Angle Images of PDMS-HNT and PDMS-HNT-PEO. A) 103.8°, B) 90.8°, C) 15.8°, and D) 8.7°.

Hydrophilic properties were observed for the PDMS-HNT-PEO composites, and showed that wettability was maintained with the addition of HNTs. The PDMS-HNT-PEO composites were shown to reduce fibrinogen adsorption when compared to normal implant grade PDMS (Figure B-5). Micro BCA protein assays showed that total protein content was reduced on the composite surfaces when PEO concentrations increased on the composite surfaces. The result showed that PDMS-HNT-PEO composites were able to reduce surface protein content and offer additional biomedical applications.
Figure B-5: Effect of PDMS-HNT-PEO on Absorbance of Fibrinogen (0-5% PEO). Samples: 1) PDMS, 2) PDMS-HNT, 3) PDMS-HNT-PEO (1%), 4) PDMS-HNT-PEO (2.5%), 5) PDMS-HNT-PEO (5%).
APPENDIX C

CYTOCOMPATIBILITY OF HNT-NANOFIBERS
C.1 PLGA-HNT Cytocompatibility Assays

Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) (50:50, mol. wt. 30,000-60,000), halloysite nanoclay, acetone (HPLC grade), and Alcian blue were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Picrosirius red stain and Von Kossa kit were purchased from PolySciences (Warrington, PA), and NucBlue® was purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA). Mouse Embryonic Fibroblasts (MEF) NIH/3T3 ATCC CRL 1658, Mouse Preosteoblasts (MPO) subclones E1/3T3 ATCC CRL 2593, Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution, Dulbecco’s Minimal Essential Medium, α- Minimal Essential Medium was purchased from Gibco, Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA).

The previous nanofiber coating procedure was repeated and HNT mixtures were sprayed into 24-well culture plates in triplicates to form nanofiber coatings for histological investigation with two cell types. The nanofiber scaffolds were pre-treated before starting the cell studies by ultra-violet radiation for 40 minutes followed by a wash with sterile 1X Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) to wash off the traces of chemicals. The cytocompatibility of the blow-spun PLGA-HNT nanofibers was tested on mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) and the osteogenicity was tested on mouse preosteoblasts (MPO). The cells were cultured as per the standard cell culture protocols. Sterile conditions were maintained throughout the experiments and cell culture. The cell culture and growth medium for MEFs was Dulbecco’s Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) and for MPOs, α- Minimal Essential Medium (α- MEM).

For cytocompatibility and cellular adhesion, NucBlue® and Picrosirius red stains were used to monitor the MEF cells. The cells used were passage two cells pre-treated with NucBlue® and seeded on the blow-spun fibers (2.5 X 10⁶ cells/ml). The cells were
incubated at 37 °C (days 1-8) and 5% CO₂ injected in the incubator. Picrosirius red was used to stain for collagen secretion, an indicator of extracellular matrix production. All cellular imaging was done under fluorescent and light microscope by Olympus (Tokyo, Japan).

For studying the osteogenicity of HNTs, MPO cells were seeded onto the fiber scaffolds and stained with Alcian blue to visualize the extracellular matrix production of glycosaccharides, mucosaccharides and glycoproteins over three days. Von Kossa staining assays were performed on the MPO laden scaffolds to visualize differentiation and the phosphate deposits from the mineral secretion of calcium phosphate (hydroxyapatite).

High cytocompatibility and proliferation levels were observed for both cell types on all scaffolds. High levels of cell attachment and growth were observed under Picrosirius red staining at days 3 and 7 and the arrows point to locations of interest such as cellular multi-layers and dense collagen production sites (Figure C-1 and Figure C-2). Picrosirius red staining showed that dense collagen networks formed with both PLGA and PLGA-HNT nanofiber scaffolds. Cellular penetration was observed with the scaffolds and produced dense multilayered three-dimensional cellular networks and collagen secretion (Figure C-2). The collagen production and networks appeared to be more diverse in staining for the HNT loaded versions, which indicated multiple collagen types might have been produced (Figure C-2).

Both nanofiber scaffolds, pure PLGA and PLGA-HNT, were able to support the growth and proliferation of fibroblast cells over seven days. Von Kossa assays showed that preosteoblasts were able to proliferate, mature, and start mineralization production
on all blow-spun scaffolds by day 3 (Figure C-3 and Figure C-4). Dense mineralization occurred along fiber networks. Darker silver staining was observed at the denser scaffold regions, which indicated cellular penetration and compatibility along the nanofiber networks. Additionally, Alcian blue staining demonstrated that both scaffold types could support preosteoblast attachment, maintain growth, and support extracellular matrix production at as early as 24 hr. (Figure C-5). Overall, the blow-spun PLGA and PLGA-HNT nanofibers were shown to support cell attachment and growth for both cell types, and demonstrated that multilayered cellular networks could be created.
Figure C-1: Picrosirius Red Stain Assays with Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast Cells at Days 1-7 on PLGA Nanofibers.
Figure C-2: Picrosirius Red Stain Assays with Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast Cells at Days 1-7 on PLGA-HNT Nanofibers.
Figure C-3: Von Kossa Assays with Mouse Preosteoblast Cells at Days 1 and 3 on PLGA Nanofibers.

Figure C-4: Von Kossa Assays with Mouse Preosteoblast Cells at Days 1 and 3 on PLGA-HNT Nanofibers.
Figure C-5: Alcian Blue Stain Assays with Mouse Preosteoblast Cells at Day 1 on PLGA and PLGA-HNT Nanofibers.
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