Louisiana Tech University

# Louisiana Tech Digital Commons

**Doctoral Dissertations** 

**Graduate School** 

Spring 5-19-2018

# Automatic Document Summarization Using Knowledge Based System

Andrey Timofeyev Louisiana Tech University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.latech.edu/dissertations

#### **Recommended Citation**

Timofeyev, Andrey, "" (2018). *Dissertation*. 28. https://digitalcommons.latech.edu/dissertations/28

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at Louisiana Tech Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Doctoral Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Louisiana Tech Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@latech.edu.

### Louisiana Tech University Louisiana Tech Digital Commons

**Doctoral Dissertations** 

Graduate School

Spring 5-19-2018

# Automatic Document Summarization Using Knowledge Based System

Andrey Timofeyev

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.latech.edu/dissertations

# AUTOMATIC DOCUMENT SUMMARIZATION USING KNOWLEDGE BASED SYSTEM

by

Andrey Timofeyev, B.S., M.S.

A Dissertation Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Degree Doctor of Philosophy

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE LOUISIANA TECH UNIVERSITY

May 2018

#### LOUISIANA TECH UNIVERSITY

#### THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

MARCH 23, 2018

Date

We hereby recommend that the dissertation prepared under our supervision by

#### Andrey Timofeyev, B. Sc., M. S.

Entitled Automatic document summarization using knowledge based system

be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Computational Analysis and Modeling

porvisor of Dissertation Research Head of Department CAM

Department

Recommendation concurred in:

**Approved:** 

Director of Graduate Studies

Dean of the College

Advisory Committee

Approved: Mundrume

Dean of the Graduate School

GS Form 13 (8/10)

#### ABSTRACT

This dissertation describes a knowledge-based system to create abstractive summaries of documents by generalizing new concepts, detecting main topics and creating new sentences. The proposed system is built on the Cyc development platform that consists of the world's largest knowledge base and one of the most powerful inference engines. The system is unsupervised and domain independent. Its domain knowledge is provided by the comprehensive ontology of common sense knowledge contained in the Cyc knowledge base. The system described in this dissertation generates coherent and topically related new sentences as a summary for a given document. It uses syntactic structure and semantic features of the given documents to fuse information. It makes use of the knowledge base as a source of domain knowledge. Furthermore, it uses the reasoning engine to generalize novel information.

The proposed system consists of three main parts: knowledge acquisition, knowledge discovery, and knowledge representation. Knowledge acquisition derives syntactic structure of each sentence in the document and maps words and their syntactic relationships into Cyc knowledge base. Knowledge discovery abstracts novel concepts, not explicitly mentioned in the document by exploring the ontology of mapped concepts and derives main topics described in the document by clustering the concepts. Knowledge representation creates new English sentences to summarize main concepts and their relationships. The syntactic structure of the newly created sentences is extended beyond simple subject-predicate-object triplets by incorporating adjective and adverb modifiers. This structure allows the system to create sentences that are more complex. The proposed system was implemented and tested. Test results show that the system is capable of creating new sentences that include abstracted concepts not mentioned in the original document and is capable of combining information from different parts of the document text to compose a summary.

#### APPROVAL FOR SCHOLARLY DISSEMINATION

The author grants to the Prescott Memorial Library of Louisiana Tech University the right to reproduce, by appropriate methods, upon request, any or all portions of this Dissertation. It is understood that "proper request" consists of the agreement, on the part of the requesting party, that said reproduction is for his personal use and that subsequent reproduction will not occur without written approval of the author of this Dissertation. Further, any portions of the Dissertation used in books, papers, and other works must be appropriately referenced to this Dissertation.

Finally, the author of this Dissertation reserves the right to publish freely, in the literature, at any time, any or all portions of this Dissertation.

Author \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

## DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my beloved wife and my family. Without their love and unconditional support, this dissertation would not see the light.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT		iii
APPROVAL FO	R SCHOLARLY DISSEMINATION	v
DEDICATION		vi
LIST OF TABLI	ES	xi
LIST OF FIGUR	RES	xii
ACKNOWLED	GMENTS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 IN	TRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 BA	ACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK	7
2.1 Autom	natic text summarization	7
2.1.1 Extr	active approach methods for text summarization	
2.1.1.1	Frequency-driven approaches	9
2.1.1.2	Graph models for sentence importance	
2.1.1.3	Machine learning and statistical applications	
2.1.1.4	Shallow semantic analysis methods	
2.1.1.5	Conclusion	
2.1.2 Abs	tractive approach methods for text summarization	
2.1.2.1	Graph reduction based methods	
2.1.2.2	Graph merging based methods	
2.1.2.3	Conclusion	
2.2 Knowl	edge based systems	
2.2.1 Wor	dNet lexical database	

2.2.2	BabelNet encyclopedic dictionary	25
2.2.3	ConceptNet semantic network	26
2.2.4	Cyc development platform	27
2.2.	4.1 Cyc knowledge base	27
2.2.	4.2 Cyc inference engine	29
2.2.5	Conclusion	30
2.3 A	Advanced natural language processing techniques	30
CHAPTER DEVELOF	3 ABSTRACTIVE TEXT SUMMARIZATION USING CYC PMENT PLATFORM	34
3.1 F	Knowledge acquisition	35
3.1.1	Syntactic structure extraction	35
3.1.2	Mapping words to Cyc concepts	37
3.2 k	Knowledge discovery	39
3.2.1	New concepts abstraction	40
3.2.2	Main topics identification	43
3.3 H	Knowledge representation	44
3.3.1	Candidate subjects discovery	45
3.3.2	New sentences generation	47
CHAPTER SUMMAR	A IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ABSTRACTIVE TEXT IZATION SYSTEM	51
4.1 C	Cyc development platform integration	51
4.2 \$	Summarization system's design	53
4.2.1	"Syntactic structure extraction" module	54
4.2.2	"Mapping words to Cyc concepts" module	55
4.2.3	"Concepts propagation" module	56
4.2.4	"Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" module	57

4.2	.5 "Main topics identification" module	59
4.2	.6 "Candidate subjects discovery" module	60
4.2	.7 "New sentences generation" module	61
CHAPT	ER 5 EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS	64
5.1	Experiments conducted on artificially generated data	64
5.2	Experiments conducted on encyclopedia articles	71
5.3	System performance	76
CHAPT	ER 6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	
APPEN	DIX A SOURCE CODE	
A.1	"Syntactic structure extraction" function	
A.2	"Mapping words to Cyc concepts" function	
A.3	"Concepts propagation" function	
A.4	"Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" function	
A.5	"Main topics identification" function	
A.6	"Candidate subjects discovery" function	
A.7	"New sentences generation" function	
APPEN	DIX B DOCUMENTS USED FOR TESTING	
B.1	"Dog" Wikipedia article.	
B.2	"Computer" Wikipedia article.	100
B.3	"Hamburger" Wikipedia article	101
B.4	"Grapefruit" Wikipedia article	102
B.5	"Grapefruit" Morton encyclopedia article.	103
B.6	"Grapefruit" New World Encyclopedia article	104
B.7	"Cat" Wikipedia article	105
B.8	"Tiger" Wikipedia article	106

B.9	"Cougar" Wikipedia article.	107
B.10	"Jaguar" Wikipedia article	108
<b>B</b> .11	"Lion" Wikipedia article	109
REFERE	ENCES	110

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 2-1:</b> Parts of speech tags from Universal Dependencies treebank
<b>Table 2-2:</b> Syntactic dependency relationships tags from Universal Depenencies     scheme
<b>Table 4-1:</b> Description of Cyc commands used by "Mapping words to Cyc concepts"     module
Table 4-2: Description of Cyc commands used by "Concepts propagation" module 57
<b>Table 4-3:</b> Description of Cyc commands used by "Concepts weight and relationships accumulation" module
Table 4-4: Description of Cyc command used by "Main topic identification" module 60
<b>Table 4-5:</b> Description of Cyc commands used by "Candidate subjects identification"     module
<b>Table 4-6:</b> Description of Cyc commands used by "New sentences generation"     module
<b>Table 5-1:</b> Summarization results of applying system to the first set of artifical data 65
<b>Table 5-2:</b> Summarization results of applying system to the second set of artifical data
<b>Table 5-3:</b> Concepts and main topics derived from Wikipedia articles describing various topics.   72
<b>Table 5-4:</b> Concepts and main topics derived from Wikipedia articles describing felines.     75
Table 5-5: System performance scores using encyclopedia articles

# LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1-1:</b> KBS algorithm workflow diagram
Figure 2-1: Graph representation of an arbitrary text
Figure 2-2: Cyc knowledge organization
<b>Figure 3-1:</b> Example of syntactic dependency relationships in a sentence
Figure 3-2: Syntactic structure extraction sub-process workflow diagram
Figure 3-3: Mapping words to Cyc concepts sub-process workflow diagram
Figure 3-4: Upward concepts propagation in Cyc ontology
Figure 3-5: Concepts propagation step workflow diagram
Figure 3-6: Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation step workflow diagram 43
Figure 3-7: Main topics identification sub-process workflow diagram
Figure 3-8: Candidate subjects discovery sub-process workflow diagram
Figure 3-9: Enhanced structure of newly created sentence
Figure 3-10: New sentences generation sub-process workflow diagram
<b>Figure 4-1:</b> Communication between summarization system and Cyc development platform
Figure 4-2: Modular design of the system
Figure 5-1: Artificial sentences with simple structure used for testing
Figure 5-2: "Dog" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology
Figure 5-3: "Meat" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology
Figure 5-4: "Bird" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology
Figure 5-5: "Colored" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology 69

Figure 5-6: Artificial sentences with complex structure used for testing70
Figure 5-7: New sentences created for Wikipedia articles describing various topics 74
<b>Figure 5-8:</b> Test results of new sentences created for multiple articles about grapefruit; (a) – single article, (b) – two articles, (c) – three articles
Figure 5-9: New sentences created as a summary for multiple articles about felines 76
Figure B-1: Screenshot of the first page of "Dog" Wikipedia article
Figure B-2: Screenshot of the first page of "Computer" Wikipedia article 100
Figure B-3: Screenshot of the first page of "Hamburger" Wikipedia article 101
Figure B-4: Screenshot of the first page of "Grapefruit" Wikipedia article 102
Figure B-5: Screenshot of the first page of "Grapefruit" Morton article 103
Figure B-6: Screenshot of the first page of "Grapefruit" New World Encyclopedia article
Figure B-7: Screenshot of the first page of "Cat" Wikipedia article 105
Figure B-8: Screenshot of the first page of "Tiger" Wikipedia article 106
Figure B-9: Screenshot of the first page of "Cougar" Wikipedia article 107
Figure B-10: Screenshot of the first page of "Jaguar" Wikipedia article 108
Figure B-11: Screenshot of the first page of "Lion" Wikipedia article 109

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my academic advisor Dr. Ben Choi and my committee members Dr. Pradeep Chowriappa, Dr. Weizhong Dai, Dr. Sumeet Dua, and Dr. Galen Turner. In addition, I would like to thank all of my Tech friends and colleagues for their encouragement and helpful advice.

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Problems with information overload have drawn attention because of the exponential growth of information creation and distribution that has recently gained an incredible pace. Ninety percent of the entire world's recorded data has been generated in the past few years with two and a half million terabytes of data being created daily [1]. Around eighty percent of the data is unstructured and represented in the form of documents, web pages, images, and videos. This vast amount of data turns into a distraction and has a negative impact on human productivity and decision-making [2]. It is becoming harder for the public to navigate and comprehend information conveniently [3]. The issue of information overload raises a number of important questions – how to make this overwhelming amount of information accessible for users; how to find necessary information and to filter out the useless ones; and how to absorb and employ information effectively.

Information overload is very complex, and currently there is no known solution that can solve it all together, yet a number of approaches exist that try to address some of the issues. One of such approaches is text summarization. It aims to mitigate information overload specifically in the domain of unstructured data. Summarization process condenses text in a form of a summary while preserving the most important information, which ensures its high relevance. This drastically reduces the amount of information

1

people would have to comprehend, thus decreasing the amount of time and effort spent on finding relevant information. Automatic text summarization is part of a broader field of natural language processing that combines advances in computer science, artificial intelligence and computational linguistics [4].

Automatic text summarization can be divided into two main approaches – extractive and abstractive. Extractive approach algorithms form a summary by choosing the most significant words, phrases or sentences in the text. Summaries created by such approach are highly relevant to the original text, but do not convey novel information. Extractive text summarization is a well-studied topic that has reached its potential [5]. Abstractive approach algorithms, in contrast, aim to create new phrases or sentences by analyzing the semantics of the text to form a summary. Such algorithms perform a synthesis of source text to derive knowledge that is more general. This branch of automatic text summarization is less studied and more complex. In order to create abstractive summary of a text, the algorithm has to obtain novel knowledge form original text and meaningfully combine information from different parts [6]. Summaries created by abstractive approach algorithms are more favorable, but inherently harder to achieve. The algorithm must use background knowledge of the subject matter to abstract new information. It must perform deep syntactic analysis of the input text to be capable of combining information from different parts appropriately. It must also use advances of natural language generation process to represent newly created knowledge in a way that is suitable for users to comprehend.

This dissertation provides the description of an abstractive text summarization algorithm that:

- Derives deep syntactic structure of the text;
- Generalizes new concepts based on the information derived from the text;
- Automatically discovers general topics described in the text;
- Identifies most informative subjects based on discovered topics;
- Creates new sentences for identified subjects combining information from different parts of the text to compose a summary.

Described algorithm uses Cyc development platform as a source of background knowledge. Cyc development platform consists of the world's largest ontology of commonsense knowledge and a reasoning engine [7]. Cyc ontology serves as a backbone for semantic analysis, knowledge generalization and natural language generation functionality of the algorithm. Deep syntactic analysis is performed by using capabilities of advanced natural language processing techniques. Combining both semantic knowledge and syntactic structure allows the algorithm to have domain knowledge of the subject matter and utilize relationships between words within given sentences. The following is the Knowledge Based System (KBS) algorithm, the details of which will be fleshed out in Chapters 3 and 4.

The KBS algorithm is composed of three main processes: knowledge acquisition, knowledge discovery, and knowledge representation. Knowledge acquisition process receives documents as an input and transforms them into syntactic representation. Then, it maps each word in the text to an appropriate Cyc concept and assigns the word's weight and the word's relationships to that concept. Knowledge discovery process finds the ancestor for each mapped Cyc concept, records ancestor-descendant relationships, and adds scaled descendant weight and descendant relationships to the ancestor concept. This process allows the algorithm to abstract novel concepts that are not mentioned directly in the original text. Then, the process identifies the main topics described in the text by clustering the mapped Cyc concepts. The knowledge representation process creates sentences in English for the most informative subjects identified in the main topics. This process allows the summary sentences to be composed by using the information from different parts of the text while preserving their coherence to the main topics. The workflow diagram of the algorithm is outlined in **Figure 1-1**.



Figure 1-1: KBS algorithm workflow diagram.

An automated modular framework has been implemented to test the functionality of the proposed algorithm. Two sets of test experiments were conducted: first using synthetically created data and second using various documents and encyclopedia articles. Test results demonstrate that the algorithm is capable of generalizing concepts that are not mentioned explicitly in the original text, deriving general topics of the text and creating new sentences that combined information from different parts of the text to form an abstractive summary.

Main contributions of proposed algorithm are outlined as follows:

- We introduce a method to derive the main topics automatically and identify the most significant subjects based on the concepts clustering and syntactic structure of the text;
- We propose new sentence creation technique using semantic analysis and natural language generation capabilities of Cyc development platform.
  Proposed technique enhances the structure of newly created sentences by adding adjective and adverb modifiers to subject-predicate-object triplets;
- We propose a mechanism of combining information from different parts of the text to form a summary based on deep syntactic analysis of the text.

Proposed KBS algorithm falls into the intersection of text data mining, natural language processing and artificial intelligence domains. It gathers and analyzes text data, extracts deep syntactic structures of the text and generates new sentences as a summary. It utilizes Cyc development platform – world's longest-lived artificial intelligence platform [7], as a backbone for the semantic reasoning. The rest of the dissertation is organized as follows. Chapter 2 outlines previous work in the field of automatic text summarization and gives background of knowledgebased systems and advanced natural language processing techniques. The chapter provides the description of extractive and abstractive approaches, highlighting recent advances and gives an overview of Cyc development platform, its knowledge base and inference engine. Chapter 3 thoroughly describes the methodology of the proposed KBS algorithm. This chapter provides details of the knowledge acquisition, knowledge discovery and knowledge representation processes. Chapter 4 presents details of the implementation of the summarization system based on the proposed KBS algorithm. Chapter 5 discusses the results obtained by applying the implemented system to synthetically generated data and encyclopedia articles. Finally, Chapter 6 concludes the dissertation and provides discussion of directions for the future work.

#### **CHAPTER 2**

#### **BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK**

In this chapter, we outline related work undertaken in the field of automatic text summarization. In addition, we provide an overview of the knowledge-based systems employed in the area, and give the background of the advanced natural language processing techniques used.

#### 2.1 Automatic text summarization

Computational community has been studying automatic text summarization problem since the late 1950s. In literature, automatic text summarization is traditionally divided into two main areas, namely extractive and abstractive. The approaches in these two areas differ fundamentally by the way they compose the summary of the text.

Extractive methods create a summary by selecting the most informative phrases or sentences from the original text and filtering out those that do not convey useful information. Such methods generally vary by the different intermediate representations of the candidate phrases or sentences and different sentence scoring schemes [8]. The advantage of the extractive approach is that it does not require much semantic knowledge or deep syntactic analysis of the text because it is solely based on the statistics of word or phrase occurrences in the text. Summaries created by the extractive approach methods

7

exhibit higher statistical correlation with the original text, which makes their performance easier to evaluate.

In contrast with the extractive approach, abstractive methods aim to create new sentences that carry novel knowledge or abstraction, not mentioned in the original text. Such methods involve generalization and aggregation of the information based on the content of the given text. New sentences are composed using natural language generation techniques by fusing the information that belongs to the same concept from different parts of the text. Summaries created by the abstractive approach methods tend to be more desirable because they have a higher correlation with the human expert created summaries [6]. At the same time, such summaries are harder to evaluate quantitatively since most of the metrics are based on the statistics that measure an overlap between the summary sentences and the sentences from the original text. Utilization of such metrics to evaluate the abstractive approach methods is impractical, since the main aim of the abstractive summarization is to deduce new information that was not explicitly mentioned in the original text.

#### 2.1.1 Extractive approach methods for text summarization

In this subsection, we cover the most prominent methods used in extractive summarization. We progress through different intermediate representations of the features used by the methods, starting with a simple word frequency count based methods and progressing to more sophisticated graph representation of the text and machine learning applications.

#### 2.1.1.1 <u>Frequency-driven approaches</u>

Methods based on the frequency counts are the simplest, oldest and most widely used in the area of extractive text summarization. These methods select the most representative sentences that contain significant words. The significance of the words is evaluated by the various frequency measures.

The first paper in the field of text summarization that was published in the late 1950s described the method based on raw frequency as a measure. The author concluded, however, that the raw frequency measure is not the best indicator, since some words could be frequent in many documents [9]. To take into account the length of the text to be summarized, word probability measure is introduced as an improvement on raw frequency counts [10], [11]. Another major improvement in frequency-based approach methods is the TF-IDF measure that is calculated by the product of term frequency (TF) and inverse document frequency (IDF) measures.

$$TFIDF(t, d, D) = TF(t, d) \times IDF(t, D)$$
 Eq. 2-1

This measure was adopted from information retrieval domain. It favors the terms that are very frequent among a small number of documents in the corpus. In **Eq. 2-1**, *t* denotes the term, *d* denotes each document in the corpus, and *D* denotes the collection of all documents in the corpus. Selecting the sentences that contain terms with high TF-IDF score yields better extractive summaries [12], [13], [14]. A variation of TF-IDF score that uses the log-likelihood ratio test is introduced to identify topic signatures. Topic signature is the set of words that describes similar concept. The idea of this measure is similar to the TF-IDF in terms that it gives a higher score to the words frequently used in the input text and rare in the other texts, but it also provides a cutoff to include the words into topic

signatures [15]. In the methods that use topic signature measure, the sentences are included in the summary by their significance that is computed by the number of topic signature words contained in the sentence [16], [17].

#### 2.1.1.2 <u>Graph models for sentence importance</u>

Graph representation of the text aided the automatic text summarization area in many different ways. The main idea of such methods is to model a text as a graph, where the nodes are words, phrases, sentences or paragraphs, and the edges are weights that represent the similarity measure between text elements. Graph representation of an arbitrary text is illustrated in **Figure 2-1**. Informative sentences for the summary are selected based on the edges' weights by using graph traversal algorithms, such as the breadth-first search and the depth-first search.



Figure 2-1: Graph representation of an arbitrary text.

TextRank approach proposed by [18] models input text as a graph, where nodes are represented as the words, phrases or sentences depending on the desired application. Edges between the nodes are expressed as a similarity measure weight based on the semantical or lexical relationships between the text elements or their contextual overlap. Nodes with the highest similarity weight are picked to form the final summary of the input text. The idea of graph ranking is exploited by [19] in the LexRank graph-based summarization approach. Their proposed method represents a document cluster as a graph where sentences are used as vertices, and the edges are defined as a degree of similarity between sentences. Summary of the text is then composed by the sentences that are chosen based on the number of links incident upon a node in the graph. Authors define sentence centrality in terms of similarity to other sentences. The sentences that are similar to many other sentences have higher centrality.

The idea of representing the document as a semantic graph is proposed by [20]. In the semantic graph text representation, nodes are modeled as noun phrases or verb phrases, and the edges connecting them are derived based on the syntactic relations analysis of the text elements. The authors trained Support Vector Machines (SVM) learning method on the described graph representation of the text using sets of various attributes, such as linguistics attributes, graph and document structure, to identify summary nodes and use them for extracting sentences that form a summary of the text. An affinity graph representation of the text is introduced by [21]. Affinity graph representation of the text expressed the semantic relations between sentences in terms of their content similarity. Candidate sentences for a summary are evaluated by two factors – information richness and information novelty. These properties are computed based on the number of the informative neighbors the sentence is linked to.

#### 2.1.1.3 <u>Machine learning and statistical applications</u>

Statistical methods and machine learning techniques showed great potential in scoring the candidate's sentences that are to be extracted to form a summary. The extractive approach methods based on such techniques improve state-of-the-art performance for the variety of tasks in the domain of text summarization. Majority of the methods utilize the idea of training a model using various sentence features to find most appropriate sentences for the extraction.

Sentence selection is approached as a simple classification problem in [22]. Their model, based on the Naïve Bayes classifier, estimates the probability of a given sentence to be included in the summary. The model is trained on the number of sentence features such as thematic words, fixed phrases' and proper names' inclusiveness, sentence length and sentence position in the paragraph. A similar set of features with a little variation is used in [23]. The authors propose to use the Hidden Markov model classification instead of the Naïve Bayes classifier, since some of the features used to train the model are violating the assumption of independence. Furthermore, they introduce the assumption that the probability of including the next sentence into the summary depends on the inclusion of the current sentence.

Another proposed method for the task of choosing sentences for summarization is to treat it as a sequence-labeling problem [24]. The objective of the summarization task is to label sentences as those that will be included in the summary and those that will not. The authors proposed the solution to this sequence-labeling problem by applying the conditional random field (CRF) method, which was state-of-the-art in sequence labelling at that time. Their approach also takes into consideration the sentence inclusion dependency. When a new sentence is added to the summary, one or more already chosen sentences might be deleted based on the calculated probability values. The sentence feature space used in this method is extended by more complex features like similarity of the sentence to its neighboring sentences, latent semantic analysis score and hyperinduced topic scores.

SVM classification methods showed promising results when applied to the sentence ranking problem for automatic text summarization. Methods based on the SVM use different set of sentence features to extract the most informative sentences to form a summary. Wide range of the semantic and the syntactic sentence features are used in a method proposed by [25]. Authors trained Mapping-Convergence (MC) version of the One-Class Support Vector Machine (OCSVM) classifier using following features: the position of the sentence in the document; the total number of sentences in the document, the total number of named entities found in the sentence; probabilities of the informative words contained in the sentence, the existence of discourse markers and the existence of particular words. Top ranked sentences extracted by a trained classifier are also checked for redundancy before being included into the final summary. One of the drawbacks of such supervised classification method is the need of large amount of labeled data for training, which is usually not feasible to obtain in the domain of automatic text summarization.

In order to address the lack of labeled data the semi-supervised SVM classification approach is proposed by [26]. The authors co-train SVM classifier on both

13

labeled and unlabeled data combining various sentence features. Their semi-supervised method shows compatible performance while saving the time cost on labeling the data. The authors propose four different groups of sentence features: surface, content, event and relevance. The surface features consist of sentence position in the text and the length of the sentence. The content features measure the quantity of the indicative words, such as centroid words, signature terms and high frequency words. The event features are based on "person", "location", "organization" and "date" named entities contained in the text. Finally, the relevance features measure sentence relationships to other sentences in the text. The authors describe a co-training mechanism using the Probabilistic Support Vector Machine (PSVM) method for supervised training and the Naïve Bayes classification for semi-supervised training utilizing derived sentence features. The summary is then composed of the sentences is conditioned on the sentence length and its position in the text.

#### 2.1.1.4 *Shallow semantic analysis methods*

Since statistical analysis is not capable of discovering the meaning of the words, and performing deep semantic analysis has high computational cost, the number of methods were proposed that leveraged parts of both approaches. Such methods are categorized as the shallow semantic analysis methods. Most prominent techniques used the idea of the lexical chains – sequences of related words; the concept lattice – document representation using concepts semantically linked to each other; and the Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) – the process of clustering related words and sentences based on their semantics. The idea of the lexical chains – representation of lexical cohesive structure of the text expressed by the sequence of related words, was first applied to the problem of automatic text summarization by [27]. The authors proposed the method for text summarization that does not require computing the full semantic representation of the text, but rather extracts significant sentences based on the strong lexical chains constructed for the input text. The summarization process starts with composing a set of candidate lexical chains. The construction process first selects a set of candidate words, then finds an appropriate chain for each word based on the similarity measure derived from the WordNet thesaurus and then updates the chain accordingly. After the set of candidate lexical chains is constructed, the strongest among them are selected by the ranking mechanism based on the scoring function. Finally, the significant sentences are extracted based on the distribution of the strongest lexical chains.

The idea of using lexical chains for the summarization task was later exploited by [28]. The authors propose improvements to the lexical chain construction process and a method to evaluate lexical chains as an intermediate representation of the input text. Their described approach uses scoring system based on the analysis of words relationships to assess the contribution of a candidate element to the chain. To evaluate if the lexical chains are a good representation of the text to use for the summarization task, the authors analyzed manually created summaries for the exclusiveness of words from the lexical chains. The results of the study shows great potential of the utilization of the lexical chains as a form of shallow semantic representation of the text as opposed to the single words and phrases frequencies.

Another type of shallow semantic representation of the text is a document concept lattice that is introduced by [29]. The concept lattice models the information contained in the text using the idea of linked concepts that cover the main facts and topics of the text. Such concepts are represented by the words that describe concrete or abstract entities together with their behavior. The process of concept lattice construction starts with the analysis of the input sentences parse trees to identify repeated concepts. Then the maximal common concepts are determined according to the concepts' frequency. The hierarchical representation of the concepts is then formed to serve as a structure for the document concept lattice. Final summary of the text is then composed by extracting an optimal set of the sentences by utilizing the derived document concept lattice representation as a basis. The advantage of the concept sa possible with the least amount of words.

Latent semantic analysis (LSA) is another shallow semantic analysis technique applied to the problem of identifying candidate sentences to be extracted from a given text to form a summary. LSA performs the singular value decomposition of the term by sentence matrix representation of the text to discover words or phrases that describe similar topic. This approach is driven by the assumption that the words that describe the same topics will generally appear in a similar context and will be mapped near to each other in the decomposed matrix. Such a decomposition allows to semantically group terms or sentences operating solely on the words or phrases frequencies. Text summarization method based on the shallow semantic representation of the text derived by LSA is described by [30] and [31]. In their proposed summarization methods, the input text is first decomposed into a term by sentence matrix representation based on various term frequency measures. Then the singular values decomposition technique is applied on the matrix to discover vector representation of the salient topics contained in the text. Finally, the sentences are extracted to form a summary based on the various vector relations between the sentence vector representation and the topic vector representation. Applying LSA method for text summarization allows extracting the sentences that are semantically related to the main topics of the text without performing the costly deep semantic analysis.

#### 2.1.1.5 <u>Conclusion</u>

The described extractive text summarization methods suffer with the major drawback of inability to synthesize new information, being limited to the words and phrases comprised in the original text. The summaries produced by such methods tend to have high statistical correlation with the input documents, but do not convey any novel information.

#### 2.1.2 <u>Abstractive approach methods for text summarization</u>

Abstractive text summarization methods are more desirable because they resemble the summarization process that the human experts undergo when they create the summaries, but such methods are inherently hard to develop and evaluate. Most of the methods in the area involve transforming the text into a graph representation, where the nodes denote text elements and the edges represent various relationships between these text elements. The final summary of the text is constructed by applying the graph transformation techniques, such as graph reduction, merging and compression.

#### 2.1.2.1 <u>Graph reduction based methods</u>

The application of word graphs text representation for the purpose of the abstractive text summarization was investigated by [32] and illustrated by their multisentence compression algorithm. The algorithm is applied on a cluster of similar sentences to compose a single sentence as a summary. The algorithm starts by creating a word graph representation of a cluster using all words in the sentences. Such a graph is constructed iteratively by adding one sentence at a time. The nodes in the graph represent words, and the edges represent adjacency relation between words – carrying a weight, which expresses the frequency of the syntactic relation of the words. After the word graph representation of a cluster is built, the algorithm identifies the best path in the graph to assure high compression and informativeness. The best path is evaluated based on presence of the strong links and such a path has to follow through, what they refer to as the salient nodes [32]. Both of these criteria are identified by experimenting with the various weighting formulas. The path that has the lightest average edge weight is chosen as the summary sentence for the cluster of the input sentences.

The application of words graphs was extended to cover the whole document rather than a small cluster of sentences in [33]. The authors propose document-level representation of the text using the word graphs. Their method employs Dijkstra's algorithm to find the shortest path in the graph to accommodate for the sentence compression and to retain informative parts of the text. The algorithm that they describe generates a number of the candidate summary sentences and the final summary of the whole document is composed by choosing the most important ones, according to the heuristic rules. Methods based on the word graphs representation are capable of effectively combining information from different sentences, but lack the ability to produce novel information, not explicitly mentioned in the text.

Abstractive text summarization by the semantic graph text reduction technique was proposed by [34]. The authors introduce the idea of the rich semantic graph text representation, and enhancing graph nodes with the associative attributes derived from domain ontology. In the described graph, the nodes represent the verbs and nouns, and the edges represent the semantic and topological relationships among words. Such a rich semantic graph is constructed for the input document utilizing deep syntactic analysis. Initially, the sub-graphs are created for each sentence in the document and then merged together to derive a rich semantic graph of the whole document. On the next step, the graph is reduced according to the set of the heuristic rules. During the process, the nodes of the graph are combined, replaced or removed based on the additional semantic relationships derived from the WordNet thesaurus. Finally, the summary of the document is created from the reduced rich semantic graph using domain ontology. The method proposed by the authors uses the WordNet system to create a set of sentences with the synonyms of the words from the original document. The sentences to be included in the final summary are picked based on the frequency of the used words and the sentence discourse relations.

#### 2.1.2.2 <u>Graph merging based methods</u>

Creating an abstractive summary of the text involves composing new sentences that combine the information from different parts of the text. The new sentence creation approach by the phrase selection and merging was proposed by [35]. The authors argue that using more fine-grained syntactic units such as the noun and verb phrases improves the process of the new sentence creation. Their described algorithm starts by extracting noun and verb phrases from each sentence dependency tree, and forming a set of the concepts and facts described in the input text. Then the salience score is calculated for each extracted phrase. This score incorporates the concept-based weight and the positionbased frequency of the phrases. Next, new sentences are generated by identifying the most informative phrases and merging them while maximizing the salience and satisfying the predefined construction constraints. The structure of the composed sentences is based on the heuristic rules and the relations derived from the dependency trees, and follows the summarization requirements, such as the sentence length constrains, the avoidance of the redundancy and the utilization of the pronoun phrases. Finally, some of the postprocessing steps are carried out to improve the order of the elements in the sentence and enhance the sentence readability.

The analysis of the discourse structure of the input text shows promising results in the area of abstractive summarization as reported by [36]. They propose an algorithm that creates a summary by using the discourse tree structure as an intermediate representation of a text. Such a representation illustrates how the text spans are connected and related to each other. The discourse trees of each sentence in the text are used to compose a directed graph that allows multiple connections between the two nodes. Such a graph is called the aspect rhetorical relation graph (ARRG). The nodes of ARRG represent the concepts derived from the text, and the edges represent specific relations between them, together with an importance weight. Their proposed algorithm starts the summarization process by extracting the sub-graphs containing the most informative concepts from the ARRG using the weighted page rank algorithm. Then the extracted sub-graphs are
combined into the aspect hierarchical trees to be used by the abstract generation process implemented by natural language generation techniques such as the microplanning and the sentence realization.

Another type of graph text representation, namely Abstractive Meaning Representation (AMR), was applied to the problem of summarization by [37]. The AMR provides a semantic representation of each sentence in the text as the rooted, acyclic, directed graph. Their proposed approach performs the graph transformation that compresses the source graph into a summary graph and creates an abstractive summary based on it. The summarization process starts by transforming each sentence into AMR graph using the statistical semantic parser. Then the created graphs are merged and transformed into a single AMR graph that represents the whole document. This process involves pruning of the certain fragments of the graph and combining the parts of the graph that has the same labels. While merging subgraphs represent different sentences, every concept that is a root concept in the sentence graph is connected to new "ROOT" node to assure the connectedness of the final graph. Finally, additional edges are added to create a dense graph representation of the document. Such a representation is used to select the subset to represent a summary graph that is concise, contains important information and allows creating meaningful sentences. The final summary subgraph is selected by the integer linear programming technique. Since there is no automatic process to create natural language sentences from the AMR graphs, the authors propose a set of the heuristic rules to create the text from the final graph.

The sentence enhancement technique applied to the graph representation of the text to perform abstractive summarization was proposed by [38]. The novelty and

advantage of the described approach is in allowing the conjunction of the syntactic dependency trees from any sentence of the input text. The event co-reference resolution algorithm controls correctness of such trees combination by using the distributional semantics approach. The summarization process is implemented in several steps. Initially, the algorithm finds the clusters of compatible sentences, ranks the clusters based on their salience, and picks the top ranked cluster to represent the core. Next, the algorithm composes sentence graph by merging similar vertices based on their syntactic features and the external information derived from the WordNet thesaurus. Then, the sentence graph is extended by adding the dependency trees of the sentences that were not the part of the core cluster, but still had been expressed by the similar features. Such an expanded sentence graph is pruned according to the defined heuristics. Finally, the summary dependency tree is extracted from the sentence graph by the integer linear programming techniques with the constraints for the salience, importance, grammatical correctness and length characteristics. The summary dependency tree is transformed into a final sequence of words with the help of the linearization technique.

# 2.1.2.3 <u>Conclusion</u>

Abstractive text summarization methods described above attempt to derive the latent semantic structure of the given text by transforming it into the graph representation and preserving various relationships among the text elements. While such techniques allow obtaining the shallow semantic features of the text and combining the information from different sentences, they lack the ability to generalize novel information that has not been mentioned in the input text, and only merge the information from the compatible sentences.

### 2.2 Knowledge based systems

A knowledge-based system (KBS) is a computer system that utilizes a combination of the data, information, and knowledge to allow solving complex problems with domain expertise capabilities. Such systems use artificial intelligence techniques in an attempt to understand the information related to the problem to provide a decision supported by the underlying knowledge. Regular information systems operate on data, but KBS exploit the knowledge contained in the information [39]. KBS generally consist of three main parts: a knowledge base for information storage and organization; an inference engine for the reasoning about the information stored in the knowledge base; and the user interface to allow system-user communication. Knowledge base (KB) resembles the idea of an intelligent database. Information is stored in the KB in an ontological form that grants performing the reasoning and deduction. Inference engine (IE) goes beyond simple search engine abilities by deducting new knowledge and utilizing existing information for the effective problem solving. IE can reason with the subjective fuzzy knowledge together with the explicit facts of established theories that resemble the human experts approach for the problem solving [40]. User interface allows users to communicate with KBS by providing access to the information contained in the knowledge base and to the capabilities of the inference engine.

The ability to derive underlined semantics and to reason about the knowledge comprised in the text are the crucial parts of the effective abstractive summarization algorithm. These factors distinguish the abstractive approaches from the extractive approaches in the area of text summarization. Achieving pure abstractive summary requires the algorithm to combine text from different parts of the input document to abstract and synthesize new knowledge based on the information contained in the document, and to utilize the common sense knowledge to compose the new sentences that represent the summary. Such a functionality is not feasible without taking the advantage of capabilities provided by the knowledge-based systems. Researchers attempting to tackle abstractive summarization problem used various knowledge based systems with WordNet, BabelNet, ConceptNet, and Cyc among the most noticeable.

### 2.2.1 <u>WordNet lexical database</u>

WordNet is a thesaurus that was developed with an aim to organize the lexical knowledge with regards of the word semantics, rather than the word forms. This is achieved by introducing the mappings between the word meaning and the word character representation. The vocabulary in WordNet is divided into four categories that correspond with the English language parts of speech: nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs. The nouns are organized as the topical hierarchies, the verbs represent various relationships, and the adjectives and adverbs serve as the modifiers for the nouns and verbs. The central idea of the semantic representation in WordNet is the grouping of words into synonym sets, known as "synsets". The semantic relations are then defined as the pointers between different "synsets".

There are four main categories of pointers between "synsets": synonymy, antonymy, hyponymy, and meronymy. Synonymy and antonymy pointers form lexical relations between word forms, hyponymy and meronymy define semantic relations between word meanings. The latter two represent relations of a form "is-a" and "has-a" that are allowed to represent knowledge in a hierarchical form [41]. WordNet thesaurus showed promising potential in the area of abstractive text summarization providing a resource to enhance the algorithms with the semantic knowledge. However, the lack of the commonsense knowledge and the ability to reason about it is a major drawback of WordNet thesaurus to be widely applicable in the area of abstractive text summarization problems.

# 2.2.2 BabelNet encyclopedic dictionary

BabelNet is an encyclopedic dictionary that was created as an attempt to enhance WordNet thesaurus with the information from Wikipedia, a multilingual encyclopedic knowledge repository. The project resulted in multilingual semantic network providing the concepts and named entities connected by the numerous semantic relations. In BabelNet, the knowledge is encoded as a graph where the vertices are the concepts derived from Wikipedia and the edges are the semantic relations derived from WordNet. Such a network is populated automatically by retrieving the semantic information, such as the word senses and the semantic pointers from WordNet, and then merging it with the encyclopedic entries from Wikipedia pages. The linkage between the content to be merged is established by disambiguating the context in both Wikipedia pages and WordNet senses, and computing the conditional probabilities of the candidate contexts. The main advantage of BabelNet semantic network is adding more lexical structure to the encyclopedic knowledge by linking the information repository with the organized computation lexicon [42]. Although BabelNet enhanced WordNet with the world knowledge, it still lacked the commonsense reasoning capabilities that are crucial in the abstractive summarization domain.

#### 2.2.3 <u>ConceptNet semantic network</u>

ConceptNet is a commonsense knowledgebase with the natural language processing capabilities. Inspired by the structure of WordNet knowledgebase, ConceptNet was developed with an aim to capture the content of a general world knowledge in a way that is more suitable for the natural language processing purposes. The main advantage of ConceptNet knowledgebase is in its emphasis on the contextual reasoning. The knowledgebase stores the information as a graph focusing on the semantically rich relationships represented as the edges and the complex concepts represented as the vertices. Such a graph is generated automatically by connecting over a million facts into a semantic network of three hundred thousand nodes.

The corpus of the English sentences from the Open Mind Common Sense project is taken as a basis for the semantic knowledge. The idea of WordNet graph knowledge representation is extended by the several enhancements. Vertices of ConceptNet semantic knowledge graph consist of the compound concepts, such as verb phrases rather than the atomic words. The edges in such a graph represent a wider variety of the semantic relationships between the concepts, including causality, affect, event hierarchy and location. Finally, the knowledge represented in ConceptNet is more casual, informal and applicable [43]. Although the aforementioned enhancements allow ConceptNet knowledgebase to be used for the applied reasoning over the raw text data, the amount of the knowledge captured and the types of the relationships between the concepts appear to be a major drawback when creating purely abstractive and domain independent summarization algorithm.

# 2.2.4 Cyc development platform

Cyc project started in the mid-1980s with an ambitious goal of encoding the commonsense knowledge of the whole world in the way that a computer can understand and be able to reason. To this date, Cyc contains more than 600,000 concepts, around 40,000 relationships connecting these concepts, and more than 7,000,000 of assertions about these concepts. The volume of the information captured in Cyc makes it the world's largest knowledge based system. The knowledge inside Cyc development platform is organized in a form of an ontology, and the powerful inference engine is provided to perform reasoning based on the knowledge. In order to formalize such an enormous amount of knowledge and ensure the machine readability and inference, the knowledge base is implemented in the CycL – flexible knowledge representation language. CycL syntax is a combination of the features from the first-order predicate calculus and Lisp high-level programming language. High expressiveness of CycL language allows the inference engine to perform the effective reasoning about the knowledge.

#### 2.2.4.1 <u>Cyc knowledge base</u>

Cyc knowledge base arranges enormous volumes of common sense knowledge about the world such as the facts, rules of thumbs, concepts, and their interconnections, into a hierarchy that forms the knowledge ontology. The organization of the knowledge in Cyc ontology is illustrated in **Figure 2-2** [44]. The ontology can be viewed as a pyramid, where each layer is arranged by the level of the knowledge generalization. Elements of the ontology are connected by the generalization relationships of specialization or instantiation. Therefore, the knowledge can be propagated bottom-up by the specialization relation type or top-down by the instantiation relation type.



Figure 2-2: Cyc knowledge organization.

The peak of the pyramid constitutes the upper ontology that contains abstract concepts such as an idea of the event, individual, collection, temporal thing. Upper ontology also describes the relations between general concepts. At the very top of the upper ontology resides the most fundamental representation called A "Thing". Every element in the knowledge base is an instance of the "Thing". The next layer of the ontology is composed by the core theories that describe the space, time and causality relations. The rules described in the core theories build the fundament for the reasoning ability of the inference engine. The next layer is devoted to the domain-specific theories that cover the information about the broad number of diverse domains from banking and finance to healthcare and chemistry. This knowledge gives an inference engine the ability to perform the reasoning about the very specific domains of interest. The bottom layer of the pyramid consists of the domain-specific facts and data. This layer describes the specific ground level facts about the particular individuals or events and does not cover any theories.

The knowledge, represented in the ontology, is divided into large number of collections of assertions called the micro theories. The assertions are split into the micro theories based on the shared topics, assumptions or sources. Some of the micro theories characterize certain domain of knowledge when others contain information about the certain period in history or describe certain geographical regions. Every assertion must fall into at least one micro theory. The main function of the micro theories is to maintain the local consistency of knowledge. Theories and facts may be contradictory across the micro theories, but within a single micro theory, the assertions must be mutually consistent. Such constraints allow the inference engine to perform the reasoning about the knowledge more efficiently in narrowing down the scope of the facts and rules to a particular micro theory of interest. Micro theories are also organized in a form of a hierarchy linked by the generalization relations. The most general micro theory is called "BaseKB" which holds the basic rules that describe the behavior of all micro theories.

# 2.2.4.2 Cyc inference engine

Cyc development platform allows performing the deductive reasoning about the vast amount of knowledge it comprises with the help of the inference engine. In general, the inference mechanism allows concluding new facts from existing facts and rules defined in the ontology. For example, if ontology contains the fact that "A" is an ancestor

of "B" and "C", then the fact that "B" and "C" are the relatives does not have to be included in the knowledge base, but instead can be deducted by the inference engine. Every deduction performed by Cyc inference engine is concluded in a context of the particular micro theory with all corresponding inheritances to reduce the search domain. Cyc inference engine functionality is based on the general logic deduction, such as the universal and existential qualification, mathematical reasoning, quality and temporal inference. Inference engine uses CycL language to perform the deduction effectively by manipulating the knowledge inside the ontology.

Such a robust and powerful inference engine gives the Cyc development platform an indisputable advantage over the other knowledge-based systems. It allows not only reasoning about the existent knowledge and deducting novel information, but it is also capable of performing the natural language generation tasks, such as deriving English language equivalents of the concepts contained in the knowledge base.

### 2.2.5 <u>Conclusion</u>

Cyc knowledge based system is chosen as a backbone for KBS algorithm described in this dissertation. Cyc surpasses WordNet, BabelNet and ConceptNet in a number of characteristics, such as the breadth and depth of the knowledge represented in the system, the variety of relations between concepts, and the capabilities of the inference engine that allows robust knowledge reasoning.

#### 2.3 Advanced natural language processing techniques

Natural language processing (NLP) is a field of study that combines the ideas from the computer science, artificial intelligence and computational linguistics. NLP allows developing computer algorithms that can automatically process, analyze and represent human language [45]. NLP techniques range from simple word occurrence counting to complex analysis of the sentiment of a text passage. These techniques play a pivotal role during text the data preprocessing step, which is the process of transforming input data from the raw text to the format suitable for further interpretation and analysis.

Following are the main advanced NLP techniques that are frequently used to perform automatic text summarization:

- sentence segmentation;
- tokenization;
- lemmatization;
- part of speech tagging;
- dependency grammar analysis.

Sentence segmentation is a process of separating the text into individual sentences. Punctuation marks, such as a period or a question mark, are used to define sentence boundaries during the sentence segmentation process. Tokenization is a process of breaking up sentences into the separate words based on the primitive white space separator or more complex separator symbols. Tokenization is followed by the lemmatization, the process of reducing the inflectional and derivationally related word forms to a common form known as a lemma. Lemmatization performs the morphological analysis of the words derived by the tokenization to derive their base forms.

For example, words "dark", "darker" and "darkest" are all lemmatized to the base form "dark". Parts of speech tagging is a process of assigning a particular part of speech tag to a word in a sentence. There are four major parts of speech tags, also known as the open class tags: nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs. Sophisticated statistical methods are used to derive appropriate part of speech tags for the words in the text. The proper parts of speech tagging is crucial for the most of natural language processing techniques, including the lemmatization and syntactic parsing. There is a number of conventions used to denote parts of speech tags. In our research, we follow parts of speech tagging defined by the Universal Dependencies (UD) framework treebank for English language. Parts of speech tags with corresponding descriptions are provided in **Table 2-1**.

Parts of speech tag	Description
ADJ	Adjective
ADP	Adposition
AUX	Adverb
CCONJ	Coordination conjunction
DET	Determiner
INTJ	Interjection
NOUN	Noun
NUM	Numerical
PART	Particle
PRON	Pronoun
PROPN	Proper noun
PUNCT	Punctuation
SCONJ	Subordinating conjunction
SYM	Symbol
VERB	Verb
Х	Other

**Table 2-1:** Parts of speech tags from Universal Dependencies treebank.

Dependency grammar analysis derives the syntactic structure of the sentences based on the words and the grammatical relations that link these words. During the syntactic parsing, the sentence is being represented as a dependency tree. Such a tree structure has a root that states the head of the sentence and the nodes, represented by the words of the sentence. The nodes are connected by their syntactic relationships. For example, in the sentence, "I study computer science", the verb "study" is the root of the dependency tree, the pronoun "I" is the subject of the verb "study", the noun "science" is the object of the verb "study", and the noun "computer" is a compound modifier of the noun "science" [46]. There is a number of conventions used to denote the dependency relation tags. In our research, we use dependency tags defined by the Universal Dependencies (UD) framework scheme for the English language. Descriptions of the dependency tags are provided in **Table 2-2**.

**Table 2-2:** Syntactic dependency relationships tags from Universal Depenencies scheme.

Dependency relation tag	Description
ACOMP	Adjectival complement
ADVMOD	Adverbial modifier
AMOD	Adjectival modifier
CSUBJ	Clausal subject
CSUBJPASS	Clausal subject (passive)
DOBJ	Direct object
IOBJ	Indirect object
NSUBJ	Nominal subject
NSUBJPASS	Nominal subject (passive)
OPRD	Object predicate
OBJ	Object
POBJ	Object of preposition

# **CHAPTER 3**

# ABSTRACTIVE TEXT SUMMARIZATION USING CYC DEVELOPMENT PLATFORM

This chapter provides a detailed description of the underlying methodology of the proposed algorithm for abstractive text summarization.

The KBS algorithm described in pages 3 and 4 attempts to bring the machines one-step closer to the comprehension of the knowledge comprised in the text. The algorithm performs text summarization in three principal steps: the knowledge acquisition, the knowledge discovery, and the knowledge representation. During the knowledge acquisition step, the algorithm receives text documents as an input, performs deep syntactic analysis, and maps the words with their syntactic relationships into the Cyc knowledge base. During the knowledge discovery step, the KBS algorithm performs a generalization of new concepts by propagating the concepts that were mapped into Cyc knowledge base by the knowledge acquisition step. It also performs the task of the identification of the main topics of the text based on the mapped and generalized concepts. Finally, during the knowledge representation step, the KBS algorithm generates new sentences using knowledge derived from the input text documents and the capabilities of Cyc inference engine. The subsections of this chapter describe the workflow of three steps of the KBS summarization algorithm.

### 3.1 Knowledge acquisition

The knowledge acquisition consists of two sub-processes. The first sub-process extracts the syntactic structures from the given documents. This sub-process serves as a data preprocessing and transformation step. It normalizes raw text data and transforms it into syntactic representation. The second sub-process maps words from syntactic representation of the text to Cyc concepts. Mapped Cyc concepts are utilized for reasoning during subsequent steps of the algorithm.

### 3.1.1 Syntactic structure extraction

The syntactic structure extraction sub-process starts by separating input text into individual sentences. Then it applies the process of tokenization to separate sentences into individual words and uses lemmatization to normalize word forms. Next, it assigns the appropriate parts of speech tag for each lemmatized word in the sentence. Parts of speech tags are required during the mapping process and help to address the disambiguation issue. Only open class parts of speech tags such as noun, verb, adjective, and adverb are used for the analysis.

Next, the sub-process applies the syntactic dependency parses to discover the relationships between the words in the sentences. Syntactic dependency relationships are recorded in the following format: ("word" "relationship type" "head"), where "word" is the dependent element in the relationship, "relationship type" is the type of the relationship, and "head" is the leading element in the relationship. For example, applying syntactic parser on sentence "Rottweiler rarely eats raw veal" produces the following relationships: ("Rottweiler" "nsubj" "eats"), ("veal" "dobj" "eats"), ("rarely" "advmod" "eats"), ("raw" "amod" "veal"). Syntactic dependency relationships of the example

sentence are illustrated in **Figure 3-1**. Syntactic dependency relationships are crucial features for the new sentence generation sub-process of the knowledge representation step of the summarization algorithm.



Figure 3-1: Example of syntactic dependency relationships in a sentence.

Finally, the sub-process counts and records frequencies of the word occurrences and their relationships. These frequencies are used as weights for corresponding Cyc concepts and their relationships during mapping sub-process of the knowledge acquisition step.

The syntactic structure extraction sub-process produces syntactic representation of the input text that consists of words, their frequencies, parts of speech tags, syntactic dependency relationships and their frequencies. Workflow diagram of the sub-process is outlined in **Figure 3-2**.



Figure 3-2: Syntactic structure extraction sub-process workflow diagram.

# 3.1.2 Mapping words to Cyc concepts

The mapping words to Cyc concepts sub-process finds matching Cyc concept for each word in the input document. Once algorithm finds correspondent Cyc concept it assigns word's weight, its syntactic dependency relationships and their weights to the Cyc concept. Word's weight is a frequency, the number of times it is mentioned in the text. The dependency relationship is an association between two words in a sentence, derived by the syntactic dependency parser. Each dependency relationship has a weight associated with it that shows how frequently two words were used together in the text. Higher weights represent stronger syntactic dependency relationships. Our algorithm enhances Cyc semantic knowledge about the concepts with the syntactic structures derived from the input text. The semantic knowledge and the syntactic structures are two crucial parts that make abstractive summary cohesive and meaningful. The steps of the mapping words to Cyc concepts sub-process are outlined as follows:

- For each word in the syntactic representation obtained by the syntactic structure extraction sub-process:
  - Map word to the corresponding Cyc concept;
  - Assign the word's weight to the corresponding Cyc concept;
  - Map relationship head word to the corresponding Cyc concept;
  - Assign the word's relationship and relationship's weight to the corresponding Cyc concept.

Workflow diagram of the sub-process is illustrated in Figure 3-3.



Figure 3-3: Mapping words to Cyc concepts sub-process workflow diagram.

# 3.2 Knowledge discovery

The knowledge discovery step performs two tasks: it abstracts new concepts and identifies main topics described in the input text.

New concepts abstraction sub-process performs generalization of the information derived from the text. It finds the ancestors of mapped Cyc concepts and assigns the descendants' propagated weight and syntactic dependency relationships to the ancestors. It is an important part of abstractive summarization process as it allows deriving concepts that are not explicitly mentioned in the input text. For example, concepts like "cat", "tiger", "jaguar" and "lion" are generalized into more abstract "feline" concept. Another example of concepts propagation is illustrated in **Figure 3-4**. The relationship between descendant concepts "banana", "orange", "apple", "pear" and ancestor concept "edible fruit" in Cyc ontology is represented by the "#\$isA" Cyc predicate.



Figure 3-4: Upward concepts propagation in Cyc ontology.

The main topics identification sub-process detects topics described in the text with an assumption that they are represented by the most frequently used micro theories. Micro theories form the basis of knowledge organization in Cyc ontology being the clusters of Cyc concepts and facts, typically representing one specific domain of knowledge. For example, #\$BiologyMt is a micro theory containing biological knowledge, and #\$MathMt is a micro theory containing concepts and facts describing the field of mathematics. Each Cyc concept is defined within a micro theory.

#### 3.2.1 <u>New concepts abstraction</u>

The new concepts abstraction sub-process consists of two steps: concepts propagation step and concepts' weight and relationships accumulation step. Concepts propagation derives an ancestor concept for each mapped Cyc concept. Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation adds the descendant concepts' accumulated weight and relationships to ancestor concept based on the generalization parameter.

The concepts propagation starts by finding the ancestor concept for each concept that was mapped to Cyc ontology during knowledge acquisition step. Then it records ancestor-descendant relationship, updates the number of ancestor's descendant concepts and accumulated descendant's weight. Accumulated descendant weight is scaled by the generalization parameter  $\alpha$ . This step of the new concepts abstraction sub-process is described as follows:

- For each mapped Cyc concept:
  - Find the concept's ancestor;
  - Record the ancestor-descendant relation;
  - Update the ancestor's number of descendants;

- Update the ancestor's descendants accumulated weight;
  - Scale the descendant's weight by α.

Workflow diagram of the concepts propagation step is illustrated in Figure 3-6.



Figure 3-5: Concepts propagation step workflow diagram.

The concepts' weight and relationships accumulation step starts by calculating the descendant-ratio – the number of mapped descendants divided by the number of all descendants of a concept.

$$desc_ratio = \frac{\# mapped \ descendants}{\# \ of \ all \ descendants}$$
Eq. 3-1

Next, if the descendant-ratio is higher than the defined generalization parameter  $\beta$ , then the descendants' weight and descendants' relationships are added to the ancestor concept. Parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  regulate the desired level of generalization. Higher  $\alpha$  and lower  $\beta$  yield greater level of generalization giving more emphasis to ancestor concepts.

Concept's weight and relationships accumulation step of the new concepts abstraction sub-process is described as follows:

- For each ancestor Cyc concept:
  - Find the number of concept's mapped descendants;
  - Find the number of all concept's descendants;
  - Calculate descendants' ratio;
  - If descendant-ratio is larger than the defined threshold  $\beta$ :
    - Add descendants' accumulated weight to the ancestor's weight;
    - Add descendants' relationships to the ancestor's relationships;
      - Scale descendant's relationship weight by  $\alpha$ .

Workflow diagram of the concepts' weight and relationships accumulation step is

illustrated in Figure 3-6.



Figure 3-6: Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation step workflow diagram.

# 3.2.2 <u>Main topics identification</u>

The main topics of the input text are identified by the most frequent micro theories derived from the updated mapped Cyc concepts. The sub-process starts by finding defining micro theory for each mapped Cyc concept. Next, it counts frequencies of discovered micro theories. Then, it picks the top-n micro theories with the highest frequencies that will represent the main topics of the input text. The main topics identification sub-process is described as follows:

- For each mapped Cyc concept:
  - Find defining micro theories.
- Count the frequencies of discovered micro theories;
- Pick the top-n micro theories with the highest frequencies.

Workflow diagram of the sub-process is illustrated in Figure 3-7.



Figure 3-7: Main topics identification sub-process workflow diagram.

#### **3.3** Knowledge representation

The knowledge representation utilizes powerful capabilities of the Cyc inference engine to generate new sentences based on the information discovered during knowledge acquisition and knowledge discovery steps. This step uses mapped and generalized Cyc concepts, their syntactic dependency relationships, and most frequent micro theories as inputs. Knowledge representation step consists of two sub-processes – candidate subjects discovery and new sentences generation. Candidate subjects discovery sub-process identifies significant subject concepts out of all the mapped and generalized Cyc concepts. New sentences generation sub-process composes new sentences for each of the identified candidate subject concept. Generated sentences serve as a final summary of the input text.

# 3.3.1 <u>Candidate subjects discovery</u>

The candidate subjects discovery sub-process starts by finding all mapped Cyc concepts in each main topic derived during knowledge discovery process. Then it calculates the subjectivity ratio of each of the found Cyc concepts. Subjectivity ratio is defined as the number of concept's relationships labelled as subject relationship divided by the total number of all concept's relationships. This ratio allows identifying concepts that have more subject relationships and helps distinguish concepts with a stronger subject role in the input text.

$$subj\_ratio = \frac{\# of \ subject \ associations}{\# of \ all \ associations}$$
Eq. 3-2

Next, it calculates subjectivity rank for each found subject concepts. Subjectivity rank is defined as a product of concept weight and concept subjectivity ratio. Subjectivity rank scales the weight of the concept by the subjectivity ratio, which allows choosing subjects that are more semantically meaningful in the context of the given text.

Finally, concepts with the highest subjectivity rank are chosen as the candidate subject concepts and new sentences are being created for each of them during new sentence generation sub-process.

The candidate subjects discovery sub-process is described as follows:

- For each top-n micro theory:
  - For each concept mapped from the text:
    - Find the number of subject associations;
    - Find the number of all associations;
    - Calculate subjectivity ratio;
    - Calculate subjectivity rank;
  - Pick the top-n subjects with the highest subjectivity rank.

Workflow diagram of the sub-process is outlined in Figure 3-8.



Figure 3-8: Candidate subjects discovery sub-process workflow diagram.

### 3.3.2 <u>New sentences generation</u>

The new sentences generation sub-process uses subject concepts identified during the candidate subjects discovery sub-process and their syntactic dependency relationships discovered during the knowledge acquisition process. This sub-process creates new English sentences for each candidate subject concept to generate a summary of the input text based on the discovered knowledge. The basic structure of newly created sentences follows the shallow triplet model, where each sentence has subject, predicate and object elements. Such basic triplet structure is enhanced by the adjective modifiers for the subject and object elements and by the adverb modifiers for the predicate elements when available. Subject, predicate and object elements of the sentences are mandatory while adjective and adverb modifiers are optional. **Figure 3-9** illustrates the enhanced structure of newly created sentences.



Figure 3-9: Enhanced structure of newly created sentence.

Described sentence structure enhancement allows creating new sentences with a more complex structure that goes beyond simple subject-predicate-object model. Sentence creation process starts by identification of the corresponding predicate and object elements for each candidate subject based on the weights of the subject-predicate, predicate-object and subject-object syntactic dependency relationships. Then it proceeds by deriving the appropriate adjective and adverb modifiers for subject, predicate and object elements, based on the weights of subject-adjective, predicate-adverb and objectadjective syntactic dependency relationships.

Subject, predicate, object, adverb, and adjective elements of new sentences are derived from Cyc knowledge base as Cyc concepts that are expressed in a particular format having a "#\$" prefix. For example, dog is expressed as a "#\$Dog" concept in Cyc knowledge base. New sentence generation sub-process uses natural language generation capabilities of Cyc inference engine to derive English language representations of Cyc concepts. Cyc command "generate-phrase" allows retrieving natural language word or phrase equivalent of a Cyc concept. As an example, applying "generate-phrase" Cyc command to "#\$EatingEvent" Cyc concept produces the string "eat" as an output and applying it to "#\$Coyote-Animal" produces the string "coyote". This powerful natural language generation functionality of Cyc inference engine is another advantage of using Cyc development platform as a backbone.

The new sentence generation sub-process is outlined as follows:

- For each candidate subject:
  - Convert subject Cyc concept to natural language representation;
  - Pick the adjective with the highest subject-adjective relationship weight;
  - Convert adjective Cyc concept to natural language representation;
  - Pick the top-n predicates with the highest subject-predicate relationship weights;
  - For each predicate in the top-n predicates:

- Convert predicate Cyc concept to natural language representation;
- Pick the adverb with the highest predicate-adverb relationship weight;
- Convert adverb Cyc concept to natural language representation;
- Pick the top-n objects with the highest product of subjectobject and predicate-object relationships weights;
- For each object in the top-n objects:
  - Convert object Cyc concept to natural language representation;
  - Pick the adjective with highest object-adjective relationship weight;
  - Convert adjective Cyc concept to natural language representation;
  - Compose the new sentence using subject, subjectadjective, predicate, predicate-adverb, object, and object-adjective natural language representations.

Workflow diagram of the sub-process is outlined in Figure 3-10.



Figure 3-10: New sentences generation sub-process workflow diagram.

# **CHAPTER 4**

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ABSTRACTIVE TEXT SUMMARIZATION SYSTEM

KBS algorithm was implemented as an abstractive text summarization system. This chapter provides description of the system design and the technical details of the system implementation.

The system was implemented using Python programming language. Python was a natural choice because of the advanced Natural Language Processing tools and libraries supplied by the language. Sentence segmentation, tokenization, lemmatization, parts of speech tagging and dependency grammar analysis were implemented with the help of SpaCy – Python library for advanced natural language processing. This library is the fastest in the world with the accuracy within one percent of the current state of the art systems for parts of speech tagging and dependency grammar analysis [47].

#### 4.1 Cyc development platform integration

Our system uses Cyc knowledge base and its inference engine as a backbone for the semantic analysis. Cyc development platform supports communications with the knowledge base and utilization of the inference engine through the application programming interfaces (APIs) implemented in Java. We utilize Java-Python wrapper supported by JPype Python library to allow our system using Cyc Java API packages. JPype library provides a code written in Python convenient access to Java class libraries.

51

It is essentially an interface at a basic level of virtual machines. Such wrapper allows using Java API calls provided by Cyc development platform inside our system, which is developed in Python. JPype library requires starting Java Virtual Machine before Java packages or classes can be used within the Python code. Then any packages, methods or classes are accessible given an appropriate path to their jar file implementation [48]. Communication between our system and Cyc development platform is illustrated in **Figure 4-1**. To the best of our knowledge, our summarization system is the first Pythonbased system that allows communication with Cyc development platform.



**Figure 4-1:** Communication between summarization system and Cyc development platform.

# 4.2 Summarization system's design

We designed our abstractive summarization system as a modular and pipelined data-mining framework. Modularity provides the ability to conveniently maintain parts of the system and to add new functionality as needed. Pipelined design of the system allows comprehensible data flow between different modules.

The system consists of seven modules:

- A. Syntactic structure extraction;
- B. Mapping words to Cyc concepts;
- C. Concepts propagation;
- D. Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation;
- E. Main topics identification;
- F. Candidate subjects discovery;
- G. New sentences generation.

Modules A and B together constitute the knowledge acquisition step of the summarization algorithm. Modules C, D and E together make up the knowledge discovery step of the summarization algorithm. Modules F and G together form knowledge representation step of the summarization algorithm. Each module is implemented as a separate function with defined input parameters and generated outputs. Modular system's design is illustrated in **Figure 4-2**. The rest of the chapter provides the description of system's modules.



Figure 4-2: Modular design of the system.

# 4.2.1 <u>"Syntactic structure extraction" module</u>

The "Syntactic structure extraction" module is implemented using SpaCy -

Python library for advanced natural language processing. This module operates outside of

the Cyc development platform. The output of the module is a dictionary that contains words, their part of speech tags, weights and syntactic dependencies. This dictionary serves as an input for the "Mapping words to Cyc concepts" module. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.1

### 4.2.2 "Mapping words to Cyc concepts" module

The "Mapping words to Cyc concepts" module communicates with Cyc development platform and updates weight and syntactic dependency relationships of Cyc concepts. The output of the module are mapped Cyc concepts with assigned weights and syntactic dependency relationships. The mapped Cyc concepts serve as an input for "Concepts propagation" module. "Syntactic structure extraction" and "Mapping words to Cyc concepts" modules together constitute the knowledge acquisition step of the summarization process. **Table 4-1** provides description of Cyc commands used to map word to Cyc concept (a), assign the word's weight (b), the word's syntactic relationship and syntactic relationship's weight (c) to the Cyc concept. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.2.

ID	Cyc command	Description
(a)	(#\$and	Command uses built-in "#\$denotation"
	(#\$denotation ?Word ?POS ?Num	Cyc predicate to relate a "word", its
	?Concept) (#\$word-Forms ?Word	part of speech tag (?POS), and a sense
	?WordForm "word")	number (?Num) to concept (?Concept).
	(#\$genls ?POS ?POSTag))	It also uses "#\$wordForms" and
		"#\$genls" predicates to accommodate
		for all variations of word's lexical
		forms.
(b)	(#\$conceptWeight ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Weight)	"#\$conceptWeight" Cyc predicate that
		assigns the weight (?Weight) to the
		concept (?Concept).
(c)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Type ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate
		that assigns a specific type (?Type) of
		a syntactic dependency association, the
		leading element (?HeadConcept) and
		the weight (?Weight) to the concept
		(?Concept).

**Table 4-1:** Description of Cyc commands used by "Mapping words to Cyc concepts" module.

# 4.2.3 <u>"Concepts propagation" module</u>

The "Concepts propagation" module communicates with Cyc development platform to derive all mapped Cyc concepts (a), find closest ancestor concepts (b) and update ancestor concepts' relations (c, d). The output of the module are ancestor Cyc concepts with assigned descendant concepts' weights and counts and ancestor-descendant relations. The ancestor Cyc concepts are used by the "Concepts' weight and relationships
accumulation" module. Cyc commands used by the "Concepts propagation" module are described in **Table 4-2**. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.3.

ID	Cyc command	Description
(a)	(#\$conceptWeight ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Weight)	"#\$conceptWeight" Cyc predicate to
		retrieve concepts (?Concept) that have
		as-signed weights (?Weight).
(b)	(#\$min-genls ?Concept)	Command uses built-in "min-genls"
		Cyc predicate to retrieve the closest
		ancestor concept for the given concept
		(?Concept).
(c)	(#\$conceptDescendants ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Weight ?Count)	"#\$conceptDescendants" Cyc
		predicate to record the number of
		descendants (?Count) and their weight
		(?Weight) to the ancestor concept
		(?Concept).
(d)	(#\$conceptAncestorOf ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Descendant)	"#\$conceptAncestorOf" predicate to
		assign ancestor-descendant relation
		between the ancestor concept
		(?Concept) and the descendant concept
		(?Descendant).

**Table 4-2:** Description of Cyc commands used by "Concepts propagation" module.

## 4.2.4 <u>"Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" module</u>

The "Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" module communicates with Cyc development platform to derive all ancestor Cyc concepts (a), find the number of ancestor's mapped descendants (b), find the number of all ancestor's descendants (c) and update ancestor's weight and relations (d, e). The output of the module are the Cyc concepts with updated weights and syntactic dependency relationships. Updated Cyc concepts are used by the "Main topics identification" and the "Candidate subjects discovery" modules. Cyc commands used by the "Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" module are described in **Table 4-3**. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.4.

ID	Cyc command	Description
(a)	(#\$conceptDescendants ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Weight ?Count)	"#\$conceptDescendants" Cyc
		predicate to retrieve all concepts
		(?Concept) that have descendants.
(b)	(#\$conceptAncestorOf ?AncConcept	Command uses user-defined
	?MappedDesc)	"#\$conceptAncestorOf" predicate to
		retrieve mapped descendant concepts
		(?MappedDesc) of the given ancestor
		concept (?AncConcept).
(c)	(#\$genls ?AncConcept	Command uses built-in "#\$genls" Cyc
	?DescConcept)	predicate to retrieve all descendant
		concepts (?DescConcept) of the given
		ancestor concept (?AncConcept).
(d)	(#\$conceptWeight ?AncConcept	Command uses user-defined
	?DescWeight)	"#\$conceptWeight" Cyc predicate to
		assigns the descendant concepts'
		propagated weight (?DescWeight) to
		the ancestor concept (?AncConcept).

**Table 4-3:** Description of Cyc commands used by "Concepts weight and relationships accumulation" module.

(and	Command uses user-defined
(#\$conceptAncestorOf ?AncConcept	"#\$conceptAncestorOf" and
?DescConcept)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc
(#\$conceptAssociation ?DescConcept	predicates to assign descendant's
?Type ?Head-Concept ?Weight))	association (?DescConcept) and its
	propagated weight (?Weight) to the
	ancestor concept (?AncConcept).
	<pre>(and (#\$conceptAncestorOf ?AncConcept ?DescConcept) (#\$conceptAssociation ?DescConcept ?Type ?Head-Concept ?Weight))</pre>

## 4.2.5 <u>"Main topics identification" module</u>

The "Main topics identification" module communicates with Cyc development platform to derive defining micro theory for each mapped Cyc concept (a). Calculation of the derived micro theories' frequencies is handled outside of the Cyc development platform. The output of the module is the micro theories dictionary that contains top-n micro theories with the highest weights. This dictionary serves as an input for the "Candidate subjects discovery" module. The "Concepts propagation", the "Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" and the "Main topics identification" modules together constitute knowledge discovery step of the summarization process. **Table 4-4** provides the description of Cyc command used by the "Main topics identification" module. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.5.

ID	Cyc command	Description		
(a)	(#\$and	Command uses user-defined		
	(#\$conceptWeight ?Concept	"#\$conceptWeight" Cyc predicate and		
	?Weight)	built-in "definingMt" Cyc predicate to		
	(#\$definingMt ?Concept	derive defining micro theory		
	?MicroTheory))	(?MicroTheory) for each concept		
		(?Concept) that have assigned weight		
		(?Weight).		

Table 4-4: Description of Cyc command used by "Main topic identification" module.

#### 4.2.6 <u>"Candidate subjects discovery" module</u>

The "Candidate subjects discovery" module communicates with Cyc development platform to derive mapped Cyc concepts for each defining micro theory in the input dictionary (a) and to find the number of the concept's syntactic dependency associations labelled as "subject" relation (b) and the number of all syntactic dependency associations of the concept (c). Calculations of the subjectivity ratio and the subjectivity rank are handled outside of the Cyc development platform. The output of the module is the dictionary that contains top-n subjects with the highest subjectivity rank. This dictionary serves as an input for the "New sentences generation" module. **Table 4-5** provides the description of Cyc commands used by the "Candidate subjects discovery" module. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.6.

ID	Cyc command	Description
(a)	(#\$and	Command uses built-in
	(#\$definingMt ?Concept	"#\$definingMt" Cyc predicate and
	?MicroTheory)	user-defined "conceptWeight" Cyc
	(#\$conceptWeight ?Concept	predicate to derive concepts
	?Weight))	(?Concept) that have assigned weight
		(?Weight) for each micro theory
		(?MicroTheory) in micro theories
		dictionary.
(b)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	"nsubj" ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate
		with "nsubj" parameter to derive the
		concept's (?Concept) syntactic
		dependency associations labelled as
		"subject" relations.
(c)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	?Type ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate
		with no parameter specified (?Type) to
		derive all concept's (?Concept)
		syntactic dependency associations.

**Table 4-5:** Description of Cyc commands used by "Candidate subjects identification" module.

## 4.2.7 <u>"New sentences generation" module</u>

The "New sentences generation" module communicates with Cyc development platform to derive appropriate Cyc concepts for each sentence element based on the weights of their syntactic dependency relationships (a, b, c, d, e) and to derive their natural language representations (f). New sentences are composed outside of the Cyc development platform and serve as an output for the module and the whole summarization system. The "Candidate subjects identification" and the "New sentences generation" modules together constitute the knowledge representation step of the summarization process. **Table 4-6** provides the description of Cyc commands used by the "New sentences generation" module. Source code of the module implementation is provided in A.7.

ID	Cyc command	Description		
(a)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined		
	"amod" ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate		
		with "amod" parameter to derive Cyc		
		concept (?Concept) associations		
		labelled as adjective modifier syntactic		
		dependency relation.		
(b)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined		
	"pred" ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate		
		with "pred" parameter to derive Cyc		
		concept (?Concept) associations		
		labelled as predicate syntactic		
		dependency relation.		
(c)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined		
	"advmod" ?Head-Concept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate		
		with "advmod" parameter to derive		
		Cyc concept (?Concept) associations		
		labelled as adverb modifier syntactic		
		dependency relation.		
(d)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined		
	"obj" ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate		
		with "obj" parameter to derive Cyc		

**Table 4-6:** Description of Cyc commands used by "New sentences generation" module.

		concept (?Concept) associations
		labelled as object syntactic de-
		pendency relation.
(e)	(#\$conceptAssociation ?Concept	Command uses user-defined
	"subj-obj" ?HeadConcept ?Weight)	"#\$conceptAssociation" Cyc predicate
		with "subj-obj" parameter to derive
		Cyc concept (?Concept) associations
		labelled as subject-object syntactic
		dependency relation.
(f)	(#\$generate-phrase ?Concept)	Command uses built-in "#\$generate-
		phrase" Cyc predicate to retrieve
		corresponding natural language
		representation for a Cyc concept
		(?Concept).

# **CHAPTER 5**

## **EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS**

Several experiments were conducted to highlight different capabilities of proposed abstractive summarization system. The first experiment was performed using artificially generated sentences to illustrate the process of concepts generalization. Other experiments were conducted using real world data parsed from encyclopedia articles that described concepts from various domains.

## 5.1 Experiments conducted on artificially generated data

Two sets of sentences were created to perform experiments with an artificial data. The first set consisted of simple sentences, only containing subject, predicate and object elements. The sentences are listed in **Figure 5-1**.



Figure 5-1: Artificial sentences with simple structure used for testing.

The results of applying summarization system to the set of described sentences are illustrated in **Table 5-1**.

Sentences expressed by Cyc concepts	Natural language representation
#\$Dog #\$eatingEvent #\$Meat	Dog eating meat
#\$Dog #\$being #\$coloredThing	Dog being colored
#\$Dog #\$huntingEvent #\$Bird	Dog hunting bird

The results highlight the process of concepts generalization. Word "dog" represented by Cyc concept "#\$Dog" has not been mentioned in the input text implicitly and has been generalized as an ancestor concept from "Rottweiler", "Dachshund" and

"Poodle" descendant concepts, all being types of dog breeds. **Figure 5-2** illustrates described ancestor-descendant relationships.



Figure 5-2: "Dog" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology.

Following this analogy, the word "meat" represented by Cyc concept "#\$Meat" was generalized from "veal", "mutton" and "poultry" descendant concepts, all being types of meats. **Figure 5-3** illustrates described ancestor-descendant relationships.



Figure 5-3: "Meat" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology.

The word "bird" represented by Cyc concept "#\$Bird" was generalized from "pheasant", "sparrow", "wren" and "finch" descendant concepts, all being types of birds. **Figure 5-4** illustrates described ancestor-descendant relationships.



Figure 5-4: "Bird" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology.

The word "colored" represented by Cyc concept "#\$coloredThing" was generalized from "grey", "white", "brown", "blue" and "yellow" descendant concepts, all being different colors. **Figure 5-5** illustrates described ancestor-descendant relationships.



Figure 5-5: "Colored" concept ancestor-descendant relationships in Cyc ontology.

The second set of artificial data consisted of more complex sentences that were composed using adjective and adverb modifiers. Sentences are listed in **Figure 5-6**.





The results of applying summarization system to the set of described sentences are illustrated in **Table 5-2**.

**Table 5-2:** Summarization results of applying system to the second set of artifical data.

Sentences expressed by Cyc concepts	Natural language representation
#\$Dog #\$rarity #\$eatingEvent #\$rawThing #\$Meat	"Dog rarely eating raw meat"
#\$Dog #\$normalThing #\$being #\$darkness #\$coloredThing	"Dog normally being dark colored"
#\$Dog #\$huntingEvent #\$highRateEvent #\$Bird	"Dog hunting rapid bird"

In addition to exhibiting generalization capabilities ("dog", "meat", "bird" and

"colored" concepts), the presented results show that the system is able to create

sentences with the structure that extends beyond simple subject-predicate-object triplets utilizing adjective and adverb modifiers ("rarely", "raw", "normally", "dark" and "rapid" concepts).

## 5.2 Experiments conducted on encyclopedia articles

Several experiments were conducted using real world text data parsed from encyclopedia articles describing various topics.

First, the system was applied to Wikipedia articles representing information from different domains and describing domestic dog, personal computer and hamburger. Original articles are illustrated in **Figure B-1**, **Figure B-2**, and **Figure B-3**. Concepts and main topics derived from analyzed articles are summarized in **Table 5-3**.

Articlo	Topics		Concepts	
name	Cyc micro theory	Description	Cyc concept	Natural language
Dog	#\$BiologyMt	Micro theory that	#\$Dog	Dog
		describes concepts	#\$CanisGenus	Canine
		and relationships	#\$Person	Person
		related to the field	#\$BiologicalSubsp	Subspecies
		of Biology.	ecies	
	#\$NaivePhys	Micro theory that	#\$Breeder	Breeder
	icsMt	describes concepts		
		and relationships		
		represented as		
		Naïve physics		
		beliefs and		
		practices.		
Hamburger	#\$HumanFo	Micro theory that	#\$Food	Food
	odGMt	describes concepts	#\$Burger	Burger
		and relationships	#\$HamburgerSand	Hamburger
		related to the topic	wich	
		of food normally	#\$GroundBeef	Ground beef
		consumed by	#\$Cheese	Cheese
		humans.		
	#\$ProductG	Micro theory that		
	Mt	describes concepts		
		and relationships		
		related to the		
		broader field of		

**Table 5-3:** Concepts and main topics derived from Wikipedia articles describing various topics.

		various commodities.		
Computer	#\$Informatio	Micro theory that	#\$Computer	Computer
	nTerminolog	describes concepts	#\$ComputerProgra	Programmer
	yMt	and relationships	mmer	
		used to describe	#\$outputs	Outputs
		terminology related	#\$ComputerHardw	Computer
		to the information	areItem	hardware
		technology field.	#\$ControlDevice	Controller
	#\$HumanSoc	Micro theory that		
	ialLifeMt	describes concepts		
		and relationships		
		used to describe		
		various aspects of		
		human social life.		

Some of the new sentences generated by the summarization process are presented in **Figure 5-7**. The structure of each sentence consists of at least subject-predicate-object elements. In addition, auxiliary adjective and adverb modifiers enhance the structure of some sentences. Such enhancement is possible when subject, predicate or object sentence elements have strong subject-adjective, object-adjective and predicate-adverb relationships. "Dog being canis." "Dog having short external anatomic part." "Burger utilizing traditional mammal meat." "Ground beef being bovine meet." "Computer having computer program." "Computer hardware needing power."

Figure 5-7: New sentences created for Wikipedia articles describing various topics.

Next, an experiment was conducted using multiple encyclopedia articles describing grapefruit. The experiment consisted of three stages, where the number of analyzed articles was increased during each stage. Original articles are illustrated in **Figure B-4**, **Figure B-5**, and **Figure B-6**. Results of this experiment highlight the system's ability to improve summarization results by creating sentences that are more complex when additional data is provided. New sentences created by the system are demonstrated in **Figure 5-8**. The results exhibit the progression of newly created sentences' structure complexity which form simple subject-predicate-object triplet when only a single article was provided as an input (part (a)) to more complex structure extended by the adjective and adverb modifiers when more articles were processed by the algorithm (part (b) and part (c)).

```
"Grapefruit being fruit." (a)
```

"Grapefruit being colored edible fruit." (b)

```
"Colored grapefruit being sweet edible fruit." (c)
```

**Figure 5-8:** Test results of new sentences created for multiple articles about grapefruit; (a) – single article, (b) – two articles, (c) – three articles.

Finally, the system was applied to multiple Wikipedia articles describing different

types of felines: cat, tiger, cougar, jaguar and lion. Original articles are illustrated in

Figure B-7, Figure B-8, Figure B-9, Figure B-10, and Figure B-11. Table 5-4 outlines

the main topics and concepts obtained from the analyzed articles.

Topics		Concepts	
Cyc MT	Description	Cyc term	Natural language
#\$BiologyMt	Micro theory that	#\$Cat	Cat
	describes concepts	#\$DomesticCat	Domestic cat
	and relationships	#\$FelisGenus	Felis
	related to the field of	#\$FelidaeFamily	Feline
	Biology.	#\$Animal	Animal
#\$HumanSocialLifeMt	Micro theory that		
	describes concepts		
	and relationships		
	used to describe		
	various aspects of		
	human social life.		

**Table 5-4:** Concepts and main topics derived from Wikipedia articles describing felines.

**Figure 5-9** shows new sentences created by the system as a summary of the analyzed articles. Concepts like "canis", "mammal meat" and "felis" were generalized by the abstraction process and were not mentioned in the original text. The results of the final experiment illustrate the system's capability to derive main topics and concepts described in the text and to create new sentences that contain generalized concepts combining information from various parts of the input text.

"Cat usually being native animal." "Big felis usually being natural predatory animal." "Big felis usually being exotic animal." "Big felis often using killing method." "Big felis often using marking." "Male feline often killing prey." "Male feline living historical mountain range."

Figure 5-9: New sentences created as a summary for multiple articles about felines.

The algorithm proposed in this dissertation yields better results compared to the results reported by [49]. New sentences created by the algorithm have more complex syntactic structure and contain the information fused from different parts of the text. These peculiar properties allow the summary of the text to be more abstractive, informative, and meaningful.

#### 5.3 System performance

The computational complexity of our proposed system is upper bounded by the polynomial expression in the size of the vocabulary of the input documents and therefore,

the system is considered to be of the polynomial time complexity. Vocabulary of the document is the number of the unique lemmas contained in the document.

**Table 5-5** illustrates the performance of the system when applied to theencyclopedia articles. The experiments were conducted on a machine with 2.0 GHz IntelXeon E5-2620 CPU and 32 GB of RAM.

# of	Article name(s)		Vocabulary size	CPU Time
articles	Article name(s)	Source(s)	(Lemmas)	(Seconds)
1	"Dog"	Wikipedia	2087	2751
1	"Computer"	Wikipedia	1604	2245
1	"Hamburger"	Wikipedia	1348	1887
3	"Grapefruit"	Wikipedia, Morton, New World Encyclopedia	1988	2608
5	"Cat" "Tiger" "Cougar" "Jaguar" "Lion"	Wikipedia	5812	6974

 Table 5-5: System performance scores using encyclopedia articles.

## **CHAPTER 6**

## **CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

This dissertation describes a novel algorithm for creating an abstractive text summary. The task of producing purely abstractive summary of a given text is still considered challenging for people and therefore even more so for the machines. Human experts use the generalization and synthesis of information together with the domain competence to compose abstractive summary of a text. They rephrase the sentences and reformulate the information based on the knowledge deducted from the text. Such a summary becomes more informative and useful since it presents an aggregation and analysis of a given text to distill and provide the knowledge that is more general or not mentioned explicitly [6]. Described aggregation and generalization of the information is not feasible without analyzing the semantics of the text and utilizing the domain knowledge expertise. the analysis of the syntactic structure of the text also takes a significant part in the process of abstractive summarization as it allows representing the derived knowledge as grammatically correct sentences for the user convenience. KBS algorithm described in this dissertation uses Cyc knowledge base and its reasoning engine as a backbone to accommodate these capabilities. Employing the semantic features and the syntactic structure of the text together with the world's largest knowledge base system shows great potential in creating abstractive summaries. The algorithm creates a summary of a given text by composing new sentences that contain the information

78

aggregated from the various parts of the text. The structure of the summary sentences is enhanced from simple subject-predicate-object triplets to a more complex structure by adding the adjective and adverb modifiers. The appropriate modifiers are derived by the analysis of the syntactic relationships of the subjects, predicates and objects in the sentences of the original text.

The contributions of the described algorithm can be summarized as follows:

- Automatically derives main concepts and topics that describe the text;
- Generalizes and synthesizes information derived from the text;
- Creates new sentences using syntactic relations and aggregating information from various parts of the text;
- Enhances the structure of newly created summary sentences to include adjective and adverbs modifiers;
- Uses the world's largest ontology of commonsense knowledge and reasoning engine as a backbone for semantic analysis.

The proposed algorithm has been implemented as a modular pipelined system developed in Python programming language for the testing purposes. The experimental results showed that the algorithm is able to abstract new concepts not mentioned in the text, automatically identify main topics described in the text, and create new sentences that combine the information from different parts of the text. Information synthesis and complex structure of newly created sentences allows the described algorithm to yield better results than the algorithm presented by [49] that is the closest in terms of the functionality. The algorithm described in this dissertation showed promising results that open a number of the future directions in the area of the knowledge based abstractive text summarization. The first direction is to enhance the domain knowledge representation since the semantic knowledge and reasoning are only limited to functionality and performance of Cyc development platform. At this moment, the algorithm is as powerful as the capabilities of the Cyc knowledge base, which is the largest ontology of commonsense knowledge. For future improvement, the algorithm could use the information derived from the whole World Wide Web as a domain knowledge. This would possess challenging research questions such as information inconsistency and sense disambiguation. In addition, a robust inference engine would be required to process the information correctly and in a timely fashion.

The second future research direction could involve the improvement of the syntactic structure of newly created sentences. Proposed algorithm uses subjectpredicate-object triplets enhanced by adjective and adverb modifiers. Although such structure is more complex than the one used in previous research, it still does not resemble the structure of the sentences created by people. Structure of newly created sentences could be improved by using more sophisticated representation of syntactic structure of the sentence. As an example, graph representation of the sentence could capture and preserve more complex relations among words or phrases in a sentence. Using the graph structure as a basis for new sentence creation could yield sentences that have syntactic structures that are more complex.

The third direction for future research could be related to the problem of summary sentences connectedness. At this moment, sentences created by the algorithm as a

summary of the text are not conceptually connected to each other. Therefore, the summary overall does not look like a concise abstract of the text. Analyzing the relations and interactions of the main concepts of the text on the document level could help in preserving coherency of the sentences created as a summary. This problem could be approached by representing the whole document as a graph of connected concepts with various relationships among them and then creating new sentences based on these relationships.

The fourth future research direction could be the investigating of the parallelizability of the proposed summarization algorithm. Since algorithm operates on the enormous amounts of data comprised in Cyc knowledge base, its performance could benefit from allowing the algorithm to run on parallel and distributed computing platforms.

Finally, the fifth future research direction could be in developing a universal merit for the evaluation of purely abstractive text summarization algorithms. This improvement is not related directly to the proposed algorithm, but rather to the problem of abstractive text summarization in general. Currently, there is a number of merits that are used to statistically evaluate the performance of extractive summarization algorithms. Abstractive summarization algorithms in contrast are inherently more challenging to evaluate, since they tend to generalize and aggregate information in a given text, thus producing the summary that might not overlap much with the original text. Most of the abstractive summarization approaches try to compare their results to human experts created summaries, which are not always available or costly and time consuming to produce. Thus, developing an automatic and universal merit to evaluate the results of abstractive text summarization algorithms is an interesting and challenging area of future research in the abstractive text summarization.

# APPENDIX A

# SOURCE CODE

```
def preprocessing(dir):
        import spacy
        nlp = spacy.load('en_core_web_md')
        nouns = []
        nouns_dep = []
        verbs = []
        verbs_dep = []
        adverbs = []
        adverbs_dep = []
        adjectives = []
        adjectives_dep = []
        for filename in os.listdir(dir):
                 with open(filename) as file:
                          doc = nlp(file.read())
                          subj obj = []
                          # preprocess text, attach POS and dependency to each word
                          for sent in doc.sents:
                                   subjects = []
                                   objects = []
                                   for word in nlp(sent.text):
                                            if word.dep_ == 'nsubj':
                                                     subjects.append((word.lemma_, word.pos_))
                                                     assoc = 'nsubj'
                                            elif word.dep_ in ['acomp', 'ccomp', 'xcomp', 'dobj', 'iobj',
'pobj', 'attr', 'oprd']:
                                                     objects.append((word.lemma , word.pos ))
                                                     assoc = 'obj'
                                            else:
                                                     assoc = word.dep
                                            if word.pos_ in ['NOUN', 'PROPN']:
                                                     nouns.append(""+word.lemma_+"")
                                                     nouns_dep.append(('"'+word.lemma_+"'',
(""+assoc+"", ""+word.head.lemma_+"", ""+word.head.pos_+"")))
elif word.pos_ == 'VERB':
                                                     verbs.append(""+word.lemma_+"")
                                                     verbs_dep.append(('"'+word.lemma_+'"',
(""+assoc+"", ""+word.head.lemma_+"", ""+word.head.pos_+"")))
                                            elif word.pos_ == 'ADV':
                                                     adverbs.append(""+word.lemma_+"")
                                                     adverbs_dep.append(('"'+word.lemma_+"",
(""+assoc+"", ""+word.head.lemma_+"", ""+word.head.pos_+"")))
                                            elif word.pos_ == 'ADJ':
                                                     adjectives.append(""+word.lemma +"")
                                                     adjectives_dep.append((""+word.lemma_+"",
(''''+assoc+'''', ''''+word.head.lemma_+'''', ''''+word.head.pos_+'''')))
                                   for sub in subjects:
                                            for obj in objects:
                                                     if sub[1] in ['NOUN', 'PROPN']:
                                                              subj_obj.append(('"'+sub[0]+'"', ('"subj-
obj"', ""+obj[0]+""', ""+obj[1]+"")))
                          nouns_dep_tot = nouns_dep + subj_obj
        # create a dictionary for each POS counting word and dependency frequencies
        noun_dict = defaultdict(set)
```

```
for word, deps in collections.Counter(nouns_dep_tot).items():
                  noun dict[word[0]].add(word[1]+(deps,))
         for k, v in collections.Counter(nouns).items():
                  noun dict[k].add(v)
         verb dict = defaultdict(set)
         for word, deps in collections.Counter(verbs_dep).items():
                  verb_dict[word[0]].add(word[1]+(deps,))
         for k, v in collections.Counter(verbs).items():
                  verb_dict[k].add(v)
         adj_dict = defaultdict(set)
         for word, deps in collections.Counter(adjectives_dep).items():
                  adj_dict[word[0]].add(word[1]+(deps,))
         for k, v in collections.Counter(adjectives).items():
                  adj dict[k].add(v)
         adv_dict = defaultdict(set)
         for word, deps in collections.Counter(adverbs_dep).items():
                  adv_dict[word[0]].add(word[1]+(deps,))
         for k, v in collections.Counter(adverbs).items():
                  adv dict[k].add(v)
         # create a dictionary for the whole text, organizing the words by POS and record dependencies
         doc dict = defaultdict(dict)
         for k, v in noun dict.items():
                  doc_dict[Noun'].update({k : {'weight': [i for i in list(v) if type(i) == int], 'deps': [i for i in
list(v) if type(i) == tuple]}})
         for k, v in verb dict.items():
                  doc_dict[Verb'].update({k : {'weight': [i for i in list(v) if type(i) == int], 'deps': [i for i in
list(v) if type(i) == tuple]})
         for k, v in adj_dict.items():
                  doc_dict['Adjective'].update({k : {'weight': [i for i in list(v) if type(i) == int], 'deps': [i for
i in list(v) if type(i) == tuple]}})
         for k, v in adv_dict.items():
                  doc_dict['Adverb'].update({k : {'weight': [i for i in list(v) if type(i) == int], 'deps': [i for i
in list(v) if type(i) == tuple]}})
         return doc_dict
```

## A.2 "Mapping words to Cyc concepts" function

```
def mapping(inp_dict):
  from jpype import *
  # packages, classes and method from Java CYC Api
  client = JPackage("com.cyc.kb.client")
  base = JPackage("com.cyc.base")
  fact_impl = client.FactImpl
  cyc_access_mgr = base.CycAccessManager
  access = cyc_access_mgr.getCurrentAccess()
        # for each key (word) and value (frequency count) in input dictionary:
  # use key in a query to map word to CYC concept
  # use value to assign weight to a concept
  for global POS, global values in inp dict.iteritems():
     for word, attributes in global values.iteritems():
       # keep track of words part-of-speech tags to use them in "denotation" function
       if global POS == 'Noun':
         global string = "nounStrings"
       elif global_POS == 'Verb':
         global_string = "verbStrings"
```

```
elif global_POS == 'Adjective':
         global string = "adjStrings"
       else:
         global string = "adverbStrings"
       # construct query to map word to CYC concept through "denotation" function
       try:
         denotation terms = access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?TERM '(#$and
(#$denotation ?WORD ?POS ?NUM ?TERM) (#$wordForms ?WORD #${2} {0}) (#$genls ?POS #${1}))
#$InferencePSC)".format(word, global_POS, global_string))
       except:
         print "CYC api error was raised, while mapping word: {0}".format(word)
       if str(denotation_terms) != "NIL":
         # go through each item in result set derived from a query
         for term in set(denotation terms):
            # accumulate all weights of the mapped concept in case any words were mapped to it before
            c weight = 0
            try:
              if '(' in str(term):
                 initial w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?IWEIGHT
'(#$conceptWeight {0} ?IWEIGHT) #$InferencePSC)".format(str(term).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', '
#$').replace('(', ' (#$'))))
              else:
                 initial_w = str((access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?IWEIGHT
'(#$conceptWeight #${0} ?IWEIGHT) #$InferencePSC)".format(term))))
            except:
              initial_w = "NIL"
            try:
              if initial w != "NIL":
                 c_weight = sum(map(lambda x: float(x), initial_w.strip('()').split()))
                 for j in initial_w.strip('()').split():
                   fact_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptWeight {0} {1})".format(term, j), "BaseKB").delete()
              fact_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptWeight {0} {1})".format(term, str(attributes['weight'][0] +
float(c_weight))), "BaseKB")
            except:
              print "CYC api error was raised, while updating weight for term {0}.".format(term)
            # map dependency words to CYC concepts
            # keep track of words part-of-speech tags to use them in "denotation" function
            for dep attributes in attributes['deps']:
              # record only subject, predicate, object and modifier associations types
              if dep_attributes[0] in ["nsubj", "obj", "subj-obj", "amod", "advmod"]:
                 if dep_attributes[2] in ["NOUN"', "PROPN"']:
                   head_string = "nounStrings"
                   head_pos = 'Noun'
                 elif dep_attributes[2] == "'VERB"':
                   head_string = "verbStrings"
                   head pos = 'Verb'
                 elif dep attributes[2] == "ADJ"':
                   head_string = "adjStrings"
                   head pos = 'Adjective'
                 elif dep_attributes[2] == "'ADV"':
                   head_string = "adverbStrings"
                   head pos = 'Adverb'
                 # construct query to map word from dependency to CYC concept through "denotation"
```

function

head\_denotation\_terms = access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?HTERM '(#\$and (#\$denotation ?HWORD ?HPOS ?HNUM ?HTERM) (#\$wordForms ?HWORD #\${2} {0}) (#\$genls ?HPOS #\${1})) #\$InferencePSC)".format(dep\_attributes[1], head\_pos, head\_string)) # check if denotation head word is mapped to Cyc Concept if str(head denotation terms) != "NIL": # go through each item in result set derived from a query for head\_term in set(head\_denotation\_terms): assoc weight = 0try: if '(' in str(term) and '(' in str(head\_term): assoc\_init\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?W '(#\$conceptAssociation {0} {1} {2} ?W) #\$InferencePSC)".format(str(term).replace(' (, '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), dep attributes[0], str(head term).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))) elif '(' in str(term) and '(' not in str(head term): assoc\_init\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?W '(#\$conceptAssociation {0} {1} #\${2} ?W) #\$InferencePSC)".format(str(term).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), dep\_attributes[0], head\_term))) elif '(' not in str(term) and '(' in str(head\_term): assoc init w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?W '(#\$conceptAssociation #\${0} {1} {2} ?W) #\$InferencePSC)".format(term, dep\_attributes[0], str(head\_term).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))) else: assoc\_init\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?W '(#\$conceptAssociation #\${0} {1} #\${2} ?W) #\$InferencePSC)".format(term, dep\_attributes[0], head term))) except: assoc\_init\_w = "NIL" if assoc init w != "NIL": assoc\_weight = sum(map(lambda x: float(x), assoc\_init\_w.strip('()').split())) for i in assoc\_init\_w.strip('()').split(): fact\_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptAssociation {0} {1} {2} {3})".format(term, dep\_attributes[0], head\_term, i), "BaseKB").delete() total\_mapped\_weight = (assoc\_weight + dep\_attributes[3]) # use TERM as a parameter to assign dependencies to mapped CYC concept try: fact impl.findOrCreate("(conceptAssociation {0} {1} {2} {3})".format(term, dep attributes[0], head term, str(total mapped weight)), "BaseKB") except: print "Association cannot be created in current microtheory." return A.3 "Concepts propagation" function

def propagation():
 from jpype import \*
 # packages, classes and method from Java CYC Api
 query = JPackage("com.cyc.query")
 client = JPackage("com.cyc.kb.client")
 kb = JPackage("com.cyc.kb")
 base = JPackage("com.cyc.base")
 query\_factory = query.QueryFactory
 fact\_impl = client.FactImpl
 cyc\_access\_mgr = base.CycAccessManager
 access = cyc\_access\_mgr.getCurrentAccess()
 # query for CYC concepts that have assigned weights

```
q_weight = query_factory.getQuery("(conceptWeight ?TERM1 ?CWEIGHT)")
  res weight = q weight.getResultSet()
  while res weight.next():
     # filter TERM and CWEIGHT variables from query results output
    # TERM - CYC concept to be propagated
    # CWEIGHT - weight of CYC concept to be propagated
    term3 = str(res_weight.getKBObject("?TERM1", kb.KBIndividual))
    cweight = str(res_weight.getKBObject("?CWEIGHT", kb.KBIndividual))
    # generalization step
    # use "min-genls" CYC command to find closest parent of CYC concept to be generalized
    try:
      # use formatting scheme in case CYC concept is composite
      if '(' in term3:
         min_genls = access.converse().converseCycObject("(min-genls '{0})".format(term3.replace(' (',
'(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
       else:
         min_genls = access.converse().converseCycObject("(min-genls #${0})".format(term3))
    except:
       print "CYC Api error - constant: {0} was not found".format(term3)
    # check if CYC concept was successfully generalized
    if len(min_genls) != 0:
       for i in range(len(min genls)):
         # output generalized CYC concept
         print "1st level generalized term: {0}".format(min_genls[i])
         d count = 0
         d_weight = 0
         q_gen_weight = query_factory.getQuery('(conceptDescendants {0} ?WEIGHT
?COUNT)'.format(min genls[i]))
         res_sum_q_gen = q_gen_weight.getResultSet()
         while res_sum_q_gen.next():
           try:
              d_weight = str(res_sum_q_gen.getKBObject("?WEIGHT", kb.KBIndividual))
              d_count = str(res_sum_q_gen.getKBObject("?COUNT", kb.KBIndividual))
              fact_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptDescendants {0} {1} {2})".format(min_genls[i],
str(d_weight), str(d_count)), "BaseKB").delete()
           except:
              print "CYC Api error while propagating: {0}".format(min_genls[i])
         total_weight = (float(cweight) * 0.1 + float(d_weight))
         total count = float(d count) + 1
         # assign accumulated weight of generalized CYC concept (initial weight + propagated weight)
         fact_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptDescendants {0} {1} {2})".format(min_genls[i],
str(total_weight), str(total_count)), "BaseKB")
         # record ancestor-descendant relation
         fact_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptAncestorOf {0} {1})".format(min_genls[i], term3'), "BaseKB")
```

```
return
```

### A.4 "Concepts' weight and relationships accumulation" function

```
def accumulate_descendants():
    from jpype import *
    # packages, classes and method from Java CYC Api
    query = JPackage("com.cyc.query")
    client = JPackage("com.cyc.kb.client")
    kb = JPackage("com.cyc.kb")
    base = JPackage("com.cyc.base")
```

query\_factory = query.QueryFactory fact impl = client.FactImpl cyc\_access\_mgr = base.CycAccessManager access = cyc access mgr.getCurrentAccess() # query for CYC concepts that have descendants concept\_descendants\_q = query\_factory.getQuery("(conceptDescendants ?ANCTERM ?PROPWEIGHT ?DCOUNT)") concept\_descendants = concept\_descendants\_q.getResultSet() while concept\_descendants.next(): ancestor\_concept = str(concept\_descendants.getKBObject("?ANCTERM", kb.KBIndividual)) desc\_weight = str(concept\_descendants.getKBObject("?PROPWEIGHT", kb.KBIndividual)) # calculate "descendants percentage" measure = # of concept descendants with weight / total # of concept descendants try: if '(' in ancestor\_concept: ancestor mapped desc = access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?M '(#\$conceptAncestorOf {0} ?M) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'))) ancestor total desc = access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?T '(#\$genls ?T {0})) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'))) else: ancestor mapped desc = access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?M '(#\$conceptAncestorOf #\${0} ?M) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept)) ancestor\_total\_desc = access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?T '(#\$genls ?T #\${0})) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor concept)) desc\_percentage = float(len(ancestor\_mapped\_desc)) / float(len(ancestor\_total\_desc)) except: print "CYC Api error while retrieving descendants for concept: {0}\n".format(ancestor concept) ancestor\_mapped\_desc = 0ancestor\_total\_desc = 0desc percentage = 0# if "descendants percentage" is higher than a threshold then add propagated descendants weight to initial concept weight if desc percentage > 0.5: # query for parent's initial concept weight try: if '(' in ancestor concept: init\_weight = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?WEIGHT '(#\$conceptWeight ({0}) ?WEIGHT) #\$InferencePSC '(:max-number 1))".format(ancestor\_concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))).strip('()') else: init\_weight = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?WEIGHT '(#\$conceptWeight #\${0} ?WEIGHT) #\$InferencePSC '(:max-number 1))".format(ancestor\_concept))).strip('()') except: print "CYC Api error while retrieving weight for concept: {0}\n".format(ancestor concept) init weight = "NIL" # if parent has concept weight then accumulate it with its descendant propagated weight if init weight != "NIL": total dweight = float(init weight) + float(desc weight) fact\_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptWeight {0} {1})".format(ancestor\_concept, str(init\_weight)), "BaseKB").delete() fact\_impl.findOrCreate("(conceptWeight {0} {1})".format(ancestor\_concept, total\_dweight), "BaseKB") # if parent does not have concept weight then use its descendants propagated weight else:

total\_dweight = desc\_weight

fact impl.findOrCreate("(conceptWeight {0} {1})".format(ancestor concept, str(total dweight)), "BaseKB")

# adding direct associations to propagated ancestors

q accum = query factory.getQuery('(and (conceptAncestorOf  $\{0\}$ ?DESC) (conceptAssociation ?DESC ?ATYPE ?AHEAD ?DESW))'.format(ancestor\_concept))

res\_q\_accum = q\_accum.getResultSet()

while res q accum.next():

desc\_concept = str(res\_q\_accum.getKBObject("?DESC", kb.KBIndividual)) desc\_level = str(res\_q\_accum.getKBObject("?LEVEL", kb.KBIndividual)) a\_type = str(res\_q\_accum.getKBObject("?ATYPE", kb.KBIndividual)) a\_head = str(res\_q\_accum.getKBObject("?AHEAD", kb.KBIndividual)) desc a weight = str(res q accum.getKBObject("?DESW", kb.KBIndividual)) association w = 0

try:

# handles multi-member concepts

if '(' in ancestor\_concept and '(' in a\_head:

anc\_association\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?ANCW '(#\$conceptAssociation {0} \"{1}\" {2} ?ANCW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), a\_type, a\_head.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))).strip('()')

elif '(' in ancestor concept and '(' not in a head:

anc\_association\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?ANCW '(#\$conceptAssociation {0} \"{1}\" #\${2} ?ANCW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), a\_type, a\_head))).strip('()')

elif '(' not in ancestor\_concept and '(' in a\_head:

anc\_association\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?ANCW '(#\$conceptAssociation #\${0} \"{1}\" {2} ?ANCW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor concept, a type, a\_head.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))).strip('()') else:

anc association w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?ANCW '(#\$conceptAssociation #\${0} \"{1}\" #\${2} ?ANCW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept, a\_type, a\_head))).strip('()')

if anc association w != "NIL":

association w = anc association w

fact impl.findOrCreate('(conceptAssociation {0} "{1}" {2} {3})'.format(ancestor concept, a\_type, a\_head, anc\_association\_w), "BaseKB").delete()

# use 0.1 scaling for propagation

p\_prop\_weight = float(association\_w) + 0.1 \* float(desc a weight)

# assign propagated weight to parent association

fact impl.findOrCreate(

'(conceptAssociation {0} "{1}" {2} {3})'.format(ancestor\_concept, a\_type, a\_head, str(p\_prop\_weight)), "BaseKB")

except:

print "CYC Api error while mapping concept: {0}".format(ancestor\_concept) # adding indirect associations to propagated ancestors

q m accum = query factory.getQuery('(and (conceptAncestorOf {0} ?MDESC))

(conceptAssociation ?MTERM ?MATYPE ?MDESC ?MDESW))'.format(ancestor concept))

res\_q\_m\_accum = q\_m\_accum.getResultSet()

while res q m accum.next():

m\_desc\_concept = str(res\_q\_m\_accum.getKBObject("?MDESC", kb.KBIndividual)) m\_desc\_level = str(res\_q\_m\_accum.getKBObject("?MLEVEL", kb.KBIndividual)) m\_a\_type = str(res\_q\_m\_accum.getKBObject("?MATYPE", kb.KBIndividual)) m\_a\_term = str(res\_q\_m\_accum.getKBObject("?MTERM", kb.KBIndividual)) m\_desc\_a\_weight = str(res\_q\_m\_accum.getKBObject("?MDESW", kb.KBIndividual))  $m_{association_w = 0}$ 

try:

# handles multi-member concepts

if '(' in ancestor\_concept and '(' in m\_a\_term:

 $\label{eq:m_anc_association_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?MANCW '(#$conceptAssociation {2} \"{1}\" {0} ?MANCW) #$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor_concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$'), m_a_type, m_a_term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))).strip('()')$ 

elif '(' in ancestor\_concept and '(' not in m\_a\_term:

 $\label{eq:m_anc_association_w} m_anc_association_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?MANCW '(#$conceptAssociation #${2} \"{1}\" {0} ?MANCW)$ 

#\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), m\_a\_type, m\_a\_term))).strip('()')

elif '(' not in ancestor\_concept and '(' in m\_a\_term:

m\_anc\_association\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?MANCW '(#\$conceptAssociation {2} \"{1}\" #\${0} ?MANCW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept, m a type, m a term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', '(#\$')))).strip('()')

else:

m\_anc\_association\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable '?MANCW '(#\$conceptAssociation #\${2} \"{1}\" #\${0} ?MANCW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(ancestor\_concept, m\_a\_type, m\_a\_term)).strip('()')

if m\_anc\_association\_w != "NIL":

 $m\_association\_w = m\_anc\_association\_w$ 

 $fact\_impl.findOrCreate('(conceptAssociation \{2\} "\{1\}" \{0\} \{3\})'.format(ancestor\_concept, m_a\_type, m\_a\_term, m\_anc\_association\_w), "BaseKB").delete()$ 

# use 0.1 scaling for propagation

 $m_p_p_weight = float(m_association_w) + 0.1 * float(m_desc_a_weight)$ 

# assign propagated weight to parent association

fact\_impl.findOrCreate('(conceptAssociation {2} "{1}" {0} {3})'.format(ancestor\_concept,

m\_a\_type, m\_a\_term, str(m\_p\_prop\_weight)), "BaseKB")

except:

print "CYC Api error while mapping concept:  $\{0\}$ ".format(m\_a\_term)

return

#### A.5 "Main topics identification" function

def top mts(n): from jpype import \* # packages, classes and method from Java CYC Api base = JPackage("com.cyc.base") cyc access mgr = base.CycAccessManager access = cyc\_access\_mgr.getCurrentAccess() mts list = []terms = access.converse().converseObject("(new-cyc-query '(#\$and (#\$conceptWeight ?T ?W) (#\$definingMt ?T ?MT)) #\$InferencePSC)") for i in range(len(terms)): mts list.append(str(terms[i][2][1])) mtc dict = defaultdict(set) for mt, mtc in Counter(mts list).items(): mtc dict[mt] = mtc mts count = OrderedDict(sorted(mtc dict.iteritems(), key=operator.itemgetter(1), reverse=True)[:n]) return mts\_count

## A.6 "Candidate subjects discovery" function

def top\_subjects(mts, s):

```
from jpype import *
  # packages, classes and method from Java CYC Api
  base = JPackage("com.cyc.base")
  cyc access mgr = base.CycAccessManager
  access = cyc_access_mgr.getCurrentAccess()
  term_dict = \{\}
  for mt in mts:
    terms = access.converse().converseObject("(new-cyc-query '(#$and (#$definingMt ?T #${0}))
(#$conceptWeight ?T ?W)) #$InferencePSC)".format(mt))
    for t in terms:
       term = str(t[0][1])
       weight = str(t[1][1])
       if term not in term dict.keys():
         if '(' in term:
            try:
              subj associations = access.converse().converseObject("(cyc-query '(#$conceptAssociation
{0} \"nsubj\" ?SAHEAD ?SAWEIGHT) #$InferencePSC)".format(term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', '
#$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
              tot associations = access.converse().converseObject("(cyc-query '(#$conceptAssociation
{0} ?ATYPE ?SAHEAD ?SAWEIGHT) #$InferencePSC)".format(term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', '
#$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
            except:
                                                    subj_associations = 0
              tot associations = 0
         else:
            try:
              subj_associations = access.converse().converseObject("(cyc-query '(#$conceptAssociation
#${0} \"nsubj\" ?SAHEAD ?SAWEIGHT) #$InferencePSC)".format(term))
              tot_associations = access.converse().converseObject("(cyc-query '(#$conceptAssociation
#${0} ?ATYPE ?SAHEAD ?SAWEIGHT) #$InferencePSC)".format(term))
            except:
              subj_associations = 0
                                                    tot_associations = 0
            subj ratio = float(len(subj associations)) / float(len(tot associations))
         rank = (float(weight) * subj_ratio)
         term dict[term] = rank
  subject terms = OrderedDict(sorted(term dict.iteritems(), key=operator.itemgetter(1), reverse=True)[:s])
```

return subject\_terms

### A.7 "New sentences generation" function

```
def summarization(path, subjects):
    from jpype import *
        # packages, classes and method from Java CYC Api
        query = JPackage("com.cyc.query")
        kb = JPackage("com.cyc.kb")
        base = JPackage("com.cyc.base")
        query_factory = query.QueryFactory
        cyc_access_mgr = base.CycAccessManager
        access = cyc_access_mgr.getCurrentAccess()
        # clear output file
        open(path, 'w').close()
        # empty dictionary to serve as a final summary
        summary = {}
        # SUBJECT
```
```
# go through subject CYC concepts
  for k, v in subjects.iteritems():
     # find subject CYC concept natural language phrase
    try:
       if '(' in k:
         subj_nl = access.converse().converseString("(generate-phrase '{0})".format(k.replace(' (',
'(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
       else:
         subj_nl = access.converse().converseString('(generate-phrase #${0})'.format(k))
     except:
       print "CYC Api error when retrieving NL phrase for subject: {0}".format(k)
       subj_nl = "
    # SUBJECT-ADJECTIVE
                 adj count = \{\}
                 # find all adjective associated with subject/object CYC concepts
                 # query for CYC concepts with "amod" dependency type
                 if '(' in term:
                          # use formatting scheme in case CYC concept is composite
                          adj term = query factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation ?ADJTERM "amod"
{0} ?ADJW)'.format(term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
                 else:
                          adj term = query factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation ?ADJTERM "amod"
#${0} ?ADJW)'.format(term))
                 try:
                          adj term res = adj term.getResultSet()
                 except:
                          print 'CYC Api error when finding adjective for term: {0}'.format(term)
                 while adj term res.next():
                          # filter TERM1 and W1 variables from query results output
                          # TERM1 - adjective CYC concept
                          # W1 - adjective dependency weight
                          adj = str(adj_term_res.getKBObject("?ADJTERM", kb.KBIndividual))
                          adj_dep_w = str(adj_term_res.getKBObject("?ADJW", kb.KBIndividual))
                          # record adjective weight times its dependency weight
                          adj_count[adj] = float(adj_dep_w)
                 if len(adj count) != 0:
                          top adjective = dict(sorted(adj count.iteritems(), key=operator.itemgetter(1),
reverse=True)[:1])
                          subj adj term = top adjective.keys()[0]
                          subj_adj_weight = top_adjective.values()[0]
                          # derive natural language phrase of adjective CYC concept
                          try:
                                   if '(' in subj_adj_term:
                                            subj_adj_nl = access.converse().converseString("(generate-
phrase '{0})".format(subj_adj_term. replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
                                   else:
                                            subj adj nl = access.converse().converseString('(generate-
phrase #${0})'.format(subj_adj_term))
                          except:
                                   print "CYC Api error when retrieving NL phrase for adjective:
{0}".format(subj_adj_term)
                                   subj adj nl = "
                 else:
                          subj_adj_weight = 0
                          subj_adj_term = None
                          subj_adj_nl = "
```

```
# PREDICATE
    # query for CYC concepts with "nsubj" dependency type
    pred count = \{\}
    if '(' in k:
       try:
         # use formatting scheme in case CYC concept is composite
         pred_term_query = query_factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation {0} "nsubj" ?PTERM
?PW)'.format(k.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
       except:
         print "CYC Api error when finding term: {0}".format(k)
         pred_term_query = 'NIL'
    else:
       pred term query = query factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation #${0} "nsubj" ?PTERM
?PW)'.format(k))
    pred_term_res = pred_term_query.getResultSet()
     while pred term res.next():
       # filter TERM1 and W1 variables from query results output
       # TERM1 - predicate CYC concept
       #W1 - predicate dependency weight
       pred = str(pred_term_res.getKBObject("?PTERM", kb.KBIndividual))
       pred_dep_w = str(pred_term_res.getKBObject("?PW", kb.KBIndividual))
       # record predicate weight times its dependency weight
       pred_count[pred] = float(pred_dep_w)
    top_predicate = OrderedDict(sorted(pred_count.iteritems(), key=operator.itemgetter(1),
reverse=True)[:5])
     for pred_keys, pred_values in top_predicate.iteritems():
       # generate natural language phrase for predicate with strongest (highest weight) relation
       if '(' in pred keys:
         predicate_nl = access.converse().converseString("(generate-phrase
'{0})".format(pred_keys.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
       else:
         predicate_nl = access.converse().converseString('(generate-phrase #${0})'.format(pred_keys))
       # PREDICATE-ADVERB
       # find adverb CYC concepts assotiated with predicates concepts
                          if '(' in pred_keys:
                                  adv query = query factory.getOuery('(conceptAssociation
?ADVTERM "advmod" {0} ?ADVW)'.format(pred_keys.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #$').replace('(', ' (#$')))
                          else:
                                  adv_query = query_factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation
?ADVTERM "advmod" #${0} ?ADVW)'.format(pred keys))
                          adv_query_res = adv_query.getResultSet()
                          adv_count = \{\}
                          while adv_query_res.next():
                                  # filter TERM1 and W1 variables from query results output
                                  # TERM1 - adverb CYC concept
                                  # W1 - adverb dependency weight
                                  adv = str(adv query res.getKBObject("?ADVTERM",
kb.KBIndividual))
                                  adv_dep_w = str(adv_query_res.getKBObject("?ADVW",
kb.KBIndividual))
                                  # record adverb weight times its dependency weight
                                  adv_count[adv] = float(adv_dep_w)
                          if len(adv_count) != 0:
                                  top_adverb = dict(sorted(adv_count.iteritems(),
key=operator.itemgetter(1), reverse=True)[:1])
                                  pred_adv_term = top_adverb.keys()[0]
```

pred\_adv\_weight = top\_adverb.values()[0]
try:

if '(' in pred\_adv\_term:

access.converse().converseString("(generate-phrase '{0})".format(pred\_adv\_term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', '#\$'))replace(' (', '(#\$')))

else:

```
pred adv nl =
```

access.converse().converseString('(generate-phrase #\${0})'.format(pred\_adv\_term))

except:

print "Natural language word for adverb '{0}' cannot be

derived.".format(pred\_adv\_term)

pred adv nl = "

else:

print "No adverb was found." pred\_adv\_weight = 0 pred\_adv\_term = None pred\_adv\_nl = "

#### **# OBJECT**

# check all possible object associations obj count =  $\{\}$ # find objects concepts associated with predicates if '(' in pred\_keys: try: # use formatting scheme in case CYC concept is composite q\_obj = query\_factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation ?OTERM "obj" {0} ?OW)'.format(pred\_keys.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'))) except: print "CYC Api error when finding object for term: {0} via 'dobj'.".format(pred\_keys)  $q_{obj} = 'NIL'$ else: q\_obj = query\_factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation ?OTERM "obj" #\${0} ?OW)'.format(pred\_keys)) q\_obj\_res = q\_obj.getResultSet() # keep track of all objects associated with predicates while q obj res.next(): obj = str(q\_obj\_res.getKBObject("?OTERM", kb.KBIndividual)) obj\_dep\_w = str(q\_obj\_res.getKBObject("?OW", kb.KBIndividual)) # find subject-object relation weight try: if '(' in k and '(' in obj: subj\_obj\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable "SOW'(#\$conceptAssociation {0} \"subj-obj\" {1} ?SOW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(str(k).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), str(obj).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))).strip('()') elif '(' in k and '(' not in obj: subj obj w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable "?SOW'(#\$conceptAssociation {0} \"subj-obj\" #\${1} ?SOW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(str(k).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'), obj))).strip('()') elif '(' not in k and '(' in obj: subj\_obj\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable "?SOW'(#\$conceptAssociation #\${0} \"subj-obj\" {1} ?SOW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(k, str(obj).replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))).strip('()') else: subj\_obj\_w = str(access.converse().converseObject("(query-variable "SOW'(#\$conceptAssociation #\${0} \"subj-obj\" #\${1} ?SOW) #\$InferencePSC)".format(k, obj))).strip('()')

except:  $subj_obj_w = 0$ if subj\_obj\_w != "NIL": obj\_rank = ((sum(map(lambda x: float(x), str(subj\_obj\_w).split()))) + float(obj\_dep\_w)) else: obj\_rank = float(obj\_obj\_rankdep\_w) obj\_count[obj] = float(obj\_rank) if len(obj count) != 0: top\_object = OrderedDict(sorted(obj\_count.iteritems(), key=operator.itemgetter(1), reverse=True)[:5]) for obj\_keys, obj\_values in top\_object.iteritems(): try: if '(' in obj keys: object\_nl = access.converse().converseString("(generate-phrase '{0})".format(obj\_keys.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'))) else: object\_nl = access.converse().converseString('(generate-phrase #\${0})'.format(obj\_keys)) except: print "CYC Api error when retrieving NL phrase for object: {0}".format(obj\_keys) object\_nl = " **# OBJECT-ADJECTIVE**  $adj_count = \{\}$ # find all adjective associated with subject/object CYC concepts # query for CYC concepts with "amod" dependency type if '(' in term: # use formatting scheme in case CYC concept is composite adj\_term = query\_factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation ?ADJTERM "amod" {0} ?ADJW)'.format(term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$'))) else: adj\_term = query\_factory.getQuery('(conceptAssociation ?ADJTERM "amod" #\${0} ?ADJW)'.format(term)) try: adj\_term\_res = adj\_term.getResultSet() except: print 'CYC Api error when finding adjective for term: {0}'.format(term) while adj\_term\_res.next(): # filter TERM1 and W1 variables from query results output # TERM1 - adjective CYC concept # W1 - adjective dependency weight adj = str(adj\_term\_res.getKBObject("?ADJTERM", kb.KBIndividual))  $adj_dep_w =$ str(adj\_term\_res.getKBObject("?ADJW", kb.KBIndividual)) # record adjective weight times its dependency weight adj\_count[adj] = float(adj\_dep\_w) if len(adj\_count) != 0: top\_adjective = dict(sorted(adj\_count.iteritems(), key=operator.itemgetter(1), reverse=True)[:1]) obj\_adj\_term = top\_adjective.keys()[0] obj\_adj\_weight = top\_adjective.values()[0]

# derive natural language phrase of adjective CYC

try:

if '(' in obj\_adj\_term: obj\_adj\_nl =

access.converse().converseString("(generate-phrase '{0})".format(obj\_adj\_term.replace(' (', '(').replace(' ', ' #\$').replace('(', ' (#\$')))

else:

obj\_adj\_nl =

 $access.converse().converseString('(generate-phrase \mbox{\#}\{0\})'.format(obj\_adj\_term))$ 

except:

obj\_adj\_nl = "

print "CYC Api error when retrieving NL

phrase for adjective: {0}".format(obj\_adj\_term)

else:

obj\_adj\_weight = 0 obj\_adj\_term = None obj\_adj\_nl = "

# SUMMARY

# record each Subject - Subject-Adjective - Predicate - Predicate-Adverb - Object - Object-

Adjective

# into an output file as a newly created sentence

with open(path, 'a') as f:

 $f.write("{0} / {1} | {2} / {3} | {4} / {5} | {6} / {7} | {8} / {9} | {10} / {11} n{12} | {13} | {14} | {15} | {16} | {17} nn".format(subj_adj_term, subj_adj_weight, k, v, pred_adv_term, pred_adv_weight, pred_keys, pred_values, obj_adj_term, obj_adj_weight, obj_keys, obj_values,$ 

subj\_adj\_nl, subj\_nl, pred\_adv\_nl, predicate\_nl, obj\_adj\_nl, object\_nl))

else:

obj\_values = 0 obj\_keys = None object\_nl = " obj\_adj\_term = None obj\_adj\_weight = 0 obj\_adj\_nl = " with open(path, 'a') as f:

 $f.write("\{0\} / \{1\} | \{2\} / \{3\} | \{4\} / \{5\} | \{6\} / \{7\} | \{8\} / \{9\} | \{10\} / \{11\} | \{13\} | \{14\} | \{15\} | \{16\} | \{17\} | nn".format(subj_adj_term, subj_adj_weight, k, v, pred_adv_term, pred_adv_weight, pred_keys, pred_values, obj_adj_term, obj_adj_weight, obj_keys, obj_values, subj_adj_nl, subj_nl, pred_adv_nl, predicate_nl, obj_adj_nl, object_nl)) return$ 

concept

# **APPENDIX B**

## **DOCUMENTS USED FOR TESTING**

#### **B.1** "Dog" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-1: Screenshot of the first page of "Dog" Wikipedia article.

#### **B.2** "Computer" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-2: Screenshot of the first page of "Computer" Wikipedia article.

#### **B.3** "Hamburger" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-3: Screenshot of the first page of "Hamburger" Wikipedia article.

#### **B.4** "Grapefruit" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-4: Screenshot of the first page of "Grapefruit" Wikipedia article.

#### B.5 "Grapefruit" Morton encyclopedia article.

The article was accessed in March 2018.



cm) long, and 15/4 to 5 in (4.5-7.) cm) wide; dark-green above, lighter beneath, with minute, rounded teeth on the margins, and dotted with tiny oil glands; the petiole has broad, oblanceolate or obovate wings. The white, 4petalled flowers, are 13/4 to 2 in (4.5-5 cm) across and borne singly or in clusters in the leaf axils. The fruit is nearly round or oblate to slightly pear-shaped, 4 to 6 in (10-15 cm) wide with smooth, finely dotted peel, up to 3/8 in (1 cm) thick, pale-lemon, sometimes blushed with pink, and aromatic outwardly; white, spongy and bitter inside. The center may be solid or semi-hollow. The pale-yellow, nearly whitish, or pink, or even deep-red pulp is in 11 to

14 segments with thin, membranous, somewhat bitter walls; very juicy, acid to sweet-acid in flavor when fully ripe. Plate XVIII: NAVEL GRAPEFRUIT, Cltrus × paradizi While some fruits are seedless or nearly so, there may be up to 90 white, elliptical, pointed seeds about 1/2 in (1.25 cm) in length. Unlike those of the pummelo, grapefruit seeds are usually polyembryonic. The number of fruits in a cluster varies greatly; a dozen is unusual but there have been as many as 20.

Figure B-5: Screenshot of the first page of "Grapefruit" Morton article.

#### **B.6** "Grapefruit" New World Encyclopedia article.

The article was accessed in March 2018.



globose to elongated, 4 - 30 centimeters (1.6 - 11.8 inches) long, and 4 - 20 centimeters (1.6 - 7.9 inches) in diameter. The rind is leathery and the segments, or "liths," are filled with pulp vesicles.

Figure B-6: Screenshot of the first page of "Grapefruit" New World Encyclopedia article.

The article was accessed in March 2018.



Figure B-7: Screenshot of the first page of "Cat" Wikipedia article.

#### **B.8** "Tiger" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-8: Screenshot of the first page of "Tiger" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-9: Screenshot of the first page of "Cougar" Wikipedia article.

#### B.10 "Jaguar" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-10: Screenshot of the first page of "Jaguar" Wikipedia article.

#### **B.11** "Lion" Wikipedia article.



Figure B-11: Screenshot of the first page of "Lion" Wikipedia article.

### REFERENCES

- [1] IBM Marketing Cloud, "10 Key Marketing Trends for 2017," 2017.
- [2] A. Lincoln, "FYI: TMI: Toward a holistic social theory of information overload," *First Monday*, vol. 16, no. 3, 2011.
- [3] G. Chakraborty and M. K. Pagolu, "Analysis of unstructured data: Applications of text analytics and sentiment mining," SAS global forum, pp. 1288-2014, 2014.
- [4] J. Hirschberg and C. D. Manning, "Advances in natural language processing," vol. *349, no.* 6245, pp. 261-266, 2015.
- [5] J.-g. Yao, X. Wan and J. Xiao, "Recent advances in document summarization," *Knowledge and Information Systems*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 297-336, 2017.
- [6] J. C. K. Cheung and G. Penn, "Towards Robust Abstractive Multi-Document Summarization: A Caseframe Analysis of Centrality and Domain," in ACL, 2013.
- [7] Cycorp, "Home," July 2017. [Online]. Available: http://www.cyc.com/. [Accessed July 2017].
- [8] A. Nenkova and K. McKeown, "A survey of text summarization techniques," in Mining *Text data*, Boston, Springer, 2012, pp. 43-76.
- [9] H. P. Luhn, "The automatic creation of literature abstracts," IBM *Journal of Research and Development, pp.* 159-165, 1958.
- [10] A. Nenkova and L. Vanderwende, "The impact of frequency on summarization," Microsoft Research, Redmond, Washington, Tech. Rep. MSR-TR-2005, vol. 101, 2005.
- [11] W.-t. Yih, J. Goodman, L. Vanderwende and H. Suzuki, "Multi-Document Summarization by Maximizing Informative Content-Words," in Proceedings of the Twentieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Hyderabad, India, 2007.

- [12] E. Hovy and C.-Y. Lin, "Automated text summarization and the SUMMARIST system," in Proceedings of a workshop on held at Baltimore, Maryland: October 13-15, Baltimore, Maryland, 1998.
- [13] D. R. Radev, H. Jing, M. Styś and D. Tam, "Centroid-based summarization of multiple documents," Information Processing & *Management*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 919-938, 2004.
- [14] E. Filatova and V. Hatzivassiloglou, "A formal model for information selection in multi-sentence text extraction," in Proceedings of *the 20th international conference on Computational Linguistics, 2004.*
- [15] C.-Y. Lin and E. Hovy, "The automated acquisition of topic signatures for text summarization," in Proceedings of *the 18th conference on Computational linguistics - Volume 1*, Saarbrücken, Germany, 2000.
- [16] J. M. Conroy, J. D. Schlesinger, J. Goldstein and D. P. O'leary, "Left-brain/rightbrain multi-document summarization," in In Proceedings of the Document Understanding Conference (DUC 2004), 2004.
- [17] S. Gupta, A. Nenkova and D. Jurafsky, "Measuring importance and query relevance in topic-focused multi-document summarization," in Proceedings of the 45th Annual Meeting of the ACL on Interactive Poster and Demonstration Sessions, 2007.
- [18] R. Mihalcea and P. Tarau, "TextRank: Bringing Order into Text," in Proceedings of the 2004 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Barcelona, Spain, 2004.
- [19] G. Erkan and D. Radev, "Lexrank: Graph-based lexical centrality as salience in text summarization," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, no. 22, pp. 457-479, 2004.
- [20] J. Leskovec, N. Milic-Frayling and M. Grobelnik, "Impact of Linguistic Analysis on the Semantic Graph Coverage and Learning of Document Extracts," in *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2005.
- [21] X. Wan and J. Yang, "Improved affinity graph based multi-document summarization," in *Proceedings of the Human Language Technology Conference of the NAACL, Companion Volume: Short Papers*, 2006.
- [22] J. Kupiec, J. Pedersen and F. Chen, "A trainable document summarizer," in Proceedings of the 18th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval, 1995.

- [23] J. M. Conroy and D. P. O'Leary, "Text Summarization via Hidden Markov Models and Pivoted QR," in Proceedings of the 24th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval, 2001.
- [24] D. Shen, J.-T. Sun, H. Li, Q. Yang and Z. Chen, "Document Summarization Using Conditional Random Fields," in *Proceedings of International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Hyderabad, India, 2007.
- [25] M. Fuentes, E. Alfonseca and H. Rod, "Support vector machines for query-focused summarization trained and evaluated on pyramid data," in *Proceedings* of the 45th Annual Meeting of the ACL on Interactive Poster and Demonstration Sessions, 2007.
- [26] K.-F. Wong, M. Wu and W. Li, "Extractive summarization using supervised and semi-supervised learning," in *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Computational Linguistics-Volume 1*, Manchester, United Kingdom, 2008.
- [27] R. Barzilay and M. Elhadad, "Using Lexical Chains for Text Summarization," Advances in automatic text summarization, pp. 111-121, 1999.
- [28] H. G. Silber and K. F. McCoy, "Efficiently computed lexical chains as an intermediate representation for automatic text summarization," *Computational Linguistics*, pp. 487-496, 2002.
- [29] S. Ye, T.-S. Chua, M.-Y. Kan and L. Qui, "Document concept lattice for text understanding and summarization," *Information Processing & Management*, pp. 1643-1662, 2007.
- [30] Y. Gong and X. Liu, "Generic text summarization using relevance measure and latent semantic analysis," in *Proceedings of the 24th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, 2001.
- [31] M. G. Ozsoy, I. Cicekli and F. Nur Alpaslan, "Text summarization of turkish texts using latent semantic analysis," in *Proceedings of the 23rd international* conference on computational linguistics, 2010.
- [32] K. Filippova, "Multi-sentence compression: Finding shortest paths in word graphs," in Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Computational Linguistics, 2010.
- [33] E. Lloret and M. Palomar, "Analyzing the Use of Word Graphs for Abstractive Text Summarization," in *Proceedings of the First International Conference*

on Advances in Information Mining and Management, Barcelona, Spain, 2011.

- [34] I. F. Moawad and M. Aref, "Semantic Graph Reduction Approach for Abstractive Text Summarization," in *Computer Engineering & Systems (ICCES)*, 2012 Seventh International Conference on, 2012.
- [35] L. Bing, P. Li, Y. Liao, W. Lam, W. Gu and R. J. Passonneau, "Abstractive multidocument summarization," in *Proceedings of the ACL-IJCNLP*, 2015.
- [36] S. Gerani, Y. Mehdad, G. Carenini, R. T. Ng and B. Nejat, "Abstractive Summarization of Product Reviews Using Discourse Structure," in *EMNLP*, 2014.
- [37] F. Liu, J. Flanigan, S. Thomson, N. Sadeh and N. A. Smith, "Toward Abstractive Summarization Using Semantic Representations," in *Proceedings of the North American Association*, 2015.
- [38] J. C. K. Cheung and G. Penn, "Unsupervised Sentence Enhancement for Automatic Summarization," in *EMNLP*, 2015.
- [39] P. S. Sajja and R. Akerkar, "Knowledge-based systems for development," in Advanced Knowledge Based Systems: Model, Applications & Research, 2010, pp. 1-11.
- [40] R. G. Smith, Knowledge-based systems: Concepts, techniques, examples, 1985.
- [41] G. A. Miller, R. Beckwith, C. Fellbaum, D. Gross and K. J. Miller, "Introduction to WordNet: An on-line lexical database," *International journal of lexicography*, pp. 235-244, 1990.
- [42] R. Navigli and S. P. Ponzetto, "BabelNet: Building a very large multilingual semantic network," in *Proceedings of the 48th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics*, 2010.
- [43] H. Liu and P. Singh, "ConceptNet—a practical commonsense reasoning tool-kit," BT technology journal, pp. 211-226, 2004.
- [44] Cycorp, "Cyc: Knowledge Base," 8 March 2018. [Online]. Available: http://www.cyc.com/kb/. [Accessed 8 March 2018].
- [45] E. Cambria and B. White, "Jumping NLP curves: A review of natural language processing research," IEEE Computational intelligence magazine, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 48-57, 2014.

- [46] D. Jurafsky and J. H. Martin, Speech and language processing, vol. 3, London: Pearson, 2014.
- [47] M. Honnibal and M. Johnson, "An Improved Non-monotonic Transition System for Dependency Parsing," in *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Lisbon, Portugal, 2015.
- [48] JPype, "JPype Java to Python integration," 2017. [Online]. Available: http://jpype.sourceforge.net/. [Accessed July 2017].
- [49] B. Choi and X. Huang, "Creating New Sentences to Summarize Documents," in The 10th IASTED International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Application (AIA 2010), Innsbruck, Austria, 2010.
- [50] P. Baxendale, "Machine-made index for technical literature—an experiment," *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, pp. 354-361, 1958.
- [51] H. Edmundson, "New methods in automatic extracting," *Journal of the ACM*, pp. 264-285, 1969.